

Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs, and 45 CFR part 100, Intergovernmental Review of Department of Health and Human Services Program and Activities. Under the Order, States may design their own processes for reviewing and commenting on proposed Federal assistance under covered programs.

All States Territories except Alabama, Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Palau, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and American Samoa have elected to participate in the Executive Order process and have established Single Points of Contact (SPOCs). Applicants from these twenty-three jurisdictions need take no action regarding E.O. 12372. Applicants for projects to be administered by Federally-recognized Indian Tribes are also exempt from the requirements of E.O. 12372. Otherwise, applicant should contact their SPOCs as soon as possible to alert them of the prospective applications and receive any necessary instructions. Applicants must submit any required material to the SPOCs as soon as possible so that the program office can obtain and review SPOC comments as part of the award process. It is imperative that the applicant submit all required materials, if any, to the SPOC and indicate the date of this submittal (or the date of contact if no submittal is required) on the Application for Federal Assistance, Standard Form 424, item 16.

Under 45 CFR 100.8(a)(2), a SPOC has 60 days from the application deadline to comment on proposed new or competing continuation awards. A list of the Single Points of Contact for each State and Territory can be found on the web site <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/spoc.html>. or by calling the ACYF Operations Center at 1-800-351-2293.

Dated: May 12, 2000.

James A. Harrell,

Deputy Commissioner, Administration on Children, Youth, and Families.

[FR Doc. 00-12376 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Advisory Council; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), announcement is made of the following National Advisory body scheduled to meet during the month of June 2000.

Name: Advisory Committee on Infant Mortality (ACIM).

Date and Time: June 28, 2000; 9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m.; June 29, 2000; 8:30 a.m.–3:00 p.m.

Place: DoubleTree Park Terrace Hotel, 1515 Rhode Island Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 232-7000.

The meeting is open to the public.

Purpose: The Committee provides advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Health and Human Services on the following: Department programs which are directed at reducing infant mortality and improving the health status of pregnant women and infants; factors affecting the continuum of care with respect to maternal and child health care, including outcomes following childbirth; factors determining the length of hospital stay following childbirth; strategies to coordinate the variety of Federal, State, and local and private programs and efforts that are designed to deal with the health and social problems impacting on infant mortality; and the implementation of the Healthy Start initiative and infant mortality objectives from *Healthy People 2010*.

Agenda: Topics that will be discussed include: Early Postpartum Discharge; Low-Birth Weight; Disparities in Infant Mortality; and the Healthy Start Program.

Anyone requiring information regarding the Committee should contact Peter C. van Dyck, M.D., M.P.H., Executive Secretary, ACIM, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Room 18-05, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone (301) 443-2170.

Individuals who are interested in attending any portion of the meeting or who have questions regarding the meeting should contact Ms. Kerry P. Nesseler, HRSA, Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Telephone (301) 443-2170.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities are further determined.

Dated: May 9, 2000.

Jane M. Harrison,

Director, Division of Policy Review and Coordination.

[FR Doc. 00-12324 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Species Permit Application

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of application.

The following applicant has applied for a permit to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*).

Permit No. TE 810834-5

Applicant: Francesca J. Cuthbert, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MI.

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass) piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*) in the states of Michigan and Wisconsin. Activities are proposed for studies to aid in the enhancement of survival of the species in the wild.

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, MI 55111-4056, and must be received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Documents and other information submitted with this application are available for review by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, MI 55111-4056. Telephone: (612/713-5343); FAX: (612/713-5292).

Dated: May 11, 2000.

Charles M. Wooley,

Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services, Region 3, Fort Snelling, MI.

[FR Doc. 00-12427 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-U

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Geological Survey

Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC); Public Review of the National Hydrographic Data Content Standard for Coastal and Inland Waterways

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FGDC is conducting a public review of the National Hydrographic Data Content Standard for Coastal and Inland Waterways (hereafter called the Hydrographic Standard). The purpose of this public review is to

provide software vendors and data users and producers an opportunity to comment on this standard in order to ensure that it meets their needs.

Participants in the public review are encouraged to provide comments that address specific issues/changes/additions that may result in revisions to the draft Hydrographic Standard. After formal endorsement of the standard by the FGDC, the standard and analysis of the comments will be made available to the public.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 31, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The draft standard may be downloaded via Internet address http://www.fgdc.gov/standards/status/sub5_5.html.

Request for printed copies of the standard should be addressed to "Hydrographic Data Content Standard," FGDC Secretariat, U.S. Geological Survey, 590 National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Virginia 20192 or facsimile 703-648-5755 or Internet at gdc@usgs.gov.

Reviewer's comments may be sent to FGDC via Internet mail to gdc-hydro@www.fgdc.gov. Reviewer's comments may also be sent to the FGDC Secretariat at the above address. Please send one hardcopy version of the comments and a softcopy version on 3.5-inch diskette in WordPerfect, Microsoft Word, or Rich Text Format. Reviewers are strongly urged to use the template for sending comments that may be downloaded from Internet address <http://www.fgdc.gov/standards/directives/dir2d.html>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

Geospatial hydrographic data for waterways, shorelines, coastlines, etc. that supports transportation applications has been specified as one of the key framework information layers for the National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI). The objective of the Hydrographic Standard project is to develop a nationally focused hydrographic data content standard for spatial data that supports safety of navigation. When complete, this standard will provide a consistent catalog of terms and definitions (semantics) to ensure uniform interpretation of information across a variety of organizations that develop and use hydrographic feature data and applications. This standard is based upon a well known logical data model for geospatial data of features, attributes, and domain values that is consistent with the spatial Data Transfer Standard/

Federal Information Processing Standard (SDTS/FIPS 173 part 2).

Scope

The scope of this Hydrographic Standard project first focused on developing a catalog of hydrographic feature terms and definitions pertaining to navigation of coastal and inland waterways. In that the guidance from the NSDI concentrated on transportation/navigation, the team limited the scope to information relating to charting and electronic chart display applications. This standard will *not* address data distribution formats, extraction criteria, or accuracy reporting methods.

Justification/Benefits

There has never been a national data content standard for hydrographic data that support navigation applications; yet there has been interest from federal agencies, private industry, and the public for a uniform presentation of this type information for some time. A data content standard that supports navigation applications will ensure effective use of geospatial data across different agencies, organizations, and other users.

Standards Development Process

This standard was developed under the guidance and procedures specified by the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) under the authority of the Bathymetric Subcommittee. The FGDC announced the initiation of this Hydrographic Standard project in the **Federal Register** approximately a year ago and issued a call for any interested party to participate on the project development team. Composed of experts from the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Coast Guard, several pilot associations, and private industry representatives, the project team has been working together for the past year to develop this Hydrographic Standard. In addition to the expertise brought to this project team from the various organizations represented, key documents were used in the development of this standard. These are cited as references below.

The first step after the formation of a Hydrographic Standard project team was to agree upon the scope of this Hydrographic Standard. The project team then reviewed key documents that consisted of adopted standards and systems that had developed and used hydrographic feature data. The next step for the project team was to develop a

master list of candidate features extracted from the following standards documents:

- International Hydrographic Organization's S57 (IHO S-57) Appendix A, Object Catalog for Digital Hydrographic Data, an intergovernmental consultative and technical organization working to support the safety of navigation and the protection of the marine environment.
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization's (NATO) Digital Geographic Information Exchange Standard (DIGEST) Part 4, Feature Attribute Coding Catalog (FACC), a comprehensive coding scheme for features and their attributes. This allows for joint naval operations between sovereign countries and requires naval personnel to have familiarity amongst traditional S-57 and FACC.
- Tri-Service Spatial Data Standard (TSSDS Release 1.8), which is primarily used for civil and military installation mapping and facility management.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Regional Engineering and Environmental Geographic Information System (REEGIS) project's data dictionary for inland waterways and primarily used by the USACE for engineering, navigation and flood control structures along the Mississippi River.

Next, the project team reviewed the master feature list and eliminated those clearly outside of the agreed to scope. A detailed comparison of feature terms and definitions extracted from the aforementioned standards was conducted. From this effort, the team was able to derive a standard feature term and definition for each feature. As a byproduct of this activity, a matrix was developed, which provides a mapping to related terms, or features, contained in each or the source standards. These matrices are included as appendices.

The project team has extracted all the attributes derived from the aforementioned standards and culled this list of attributes down to a subset of core attributes to include in the hydrographic standard. The project team created a domain list for each "category" of feature to facilitate the cross-reference. Other attributes have been grouped into logical collections applicable to individual features to ease implementation. Finally, a draft Hydrographic Standard document was generated to include the features, attributes, and domain terms and definitions lists, and additional descriptive documentation as specified by the FGDC directives on creating an NSDI standard.

Target Authorization Body

The Bathymetric Subcommittee originally proposed the development of this Hydrographic Standard as an FGDC standard. The Bathymetric Subcommittee and the Standards Working Group of the FGDC will pursue a joint FGDC and American National Standards Institute (ANSI) adoption of this standard. This joint approach will require the development of an ANSI standard proposal and potentially a joint ANSI and FGDC public review. The Bathymetric Subcommittee will consider (at a later date) promoting parts of this standard (e.g., inland waterways information) that are not currently part of the S-57 standard to International Hydrographic Organization for inclusion in their standard.

Conclusion

This Hydrographic Data Content Standard for Coastal and Inland Waterways will facilitate semantic consistency when capturing geospatial hydrographic information in a GIS or CADD database. This standard will support the exchange of spatial data between different GIS/CADD software packages. The standard will also facilitate effective exchange of geospatial data across multiple agencies, organizations and other users. This standard will provide for consistency of semantics contained in spatial hydrographic data that enable the development of consistent military and commercial navigation applications for that query, analyze this information and interpreted this information for display of electronic charts. Cost savings associated with reducing the translating geospatial hydrographic information and building navigation applications should also be realized.

Maintenance

The U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Ocean Service (NOS), NOAA Coastal Services Center will maintain the National Hydrographic Data Content Standard for Coastal and Inland Waterways for the Federal Geographic Data Committee. Address general questions concerning the content of this standard to David Stein, Secretary, FGDC Bathymetric Subcommittee at NOAA Coastal Services Center; 2234 South Hobson Avenue, Charleston, SC 29405-2413 or by E-mail: Dave.Stein@noaa.gov.

Dated: May 8, 2000.

Richard E. Witmer,

Chief, National Mapping Division.

[FR Doc. 00-12333 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-Y7-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[OR-958-1430-01; GP0-0206; OR-55688]

Notice of Redesignation of Public Domain (PD) land to Oregon & California Railroad (O&C) and Coos Bay Wagon Road (CBWR) Status in Lane, Douglas, Coos, and Curry Counties; OR

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This action informs the public of the transfer of PD lands into trust for the Coquille Tribe and designated as the Coquille Forest.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregg Nelson, BLM Coos Bay District, 1300 Airport Land, North Bend, Oregon 97459, 541-751-4473.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title V, Sec. 501(a) of the Oregon Resource Conservation Act of 1996, contained in Division B of the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriation Act of 1997, Public Law 104-208, mandated the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to transfer into trust certain federal lands in perpetuity for the Coquille Tribe. The legislation directed that the transferred land be designated as the Coquille Forest. That land transfer was completed on September 30, 1998. The legislation also required redesignation of sufficient land to revested O&C and reconveyed CBWR grant land status to maintain the current (pre-transfer) flow of revenue to the O&C counties. Other than the proposed change in status, redesignated lands will continue to be managed in accordance with the current Coos Bay District Resource Management Plan completed in May, 1995.

The following PD lands in Lane, Douglas, and Curry Counties, Oregon have been examined and found suitable for redesignation and conversion to O&C status for management under the provisions of the O&C Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat. 874):

Willamette Meridian

T. 26 S., R. 08 W.,
Sec. 10, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, S $\frac{1}{2}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 34, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 27 S., R. 08 W.,
Sec. 2, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 22 S., R. 09 W.,
Sec. 22, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28, lots 14 through 19, inclusive, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 20 S., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 1, lots 1 and 2 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 2, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 30 S., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 32, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 31 S., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 6, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 30 S., R. 14 W.,
Sec. 23, lots 1 and 2.
T. 31 S., R. 14 W.,
Sec. 1, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9, lots 1 through 5, inclusive;
Sec. 15, lots 5 through 9, inclusive;
Sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, lots 5, 6, and 7, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 34 S., R. 14 W.,
Sec. 1, lots 1 through 4, inclusive, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 2, lots 1 through 4, inclusive, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 32 S., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 4, lots 1 through 4, inclusive, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, lot 1;
Sec. 25, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 33 S., R. 15 W.,
Sec. 12, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The above mentioned lands total 5,451.16 acres, more or less.

The following PD lands in Coos County, Oregon has been examined and found suitable for redesignation and conversion to CBWR status for management under the provisions of the O&C Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat. 874):

Willamette Meridian

T. 29 S., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, lot 7;
Sec. 24, lot 4;
Sec. 28, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 27 S., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 26, lots 11 and 14.
T. 28 S., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 32, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 29 S., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 4, lots 5 through 8, inclusive;
Sec. 6, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8, lots 5 and 6;
Sec. 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 22, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 30 S., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 4, lot 3 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 6, lots 6 and 7, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ (does not include E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$);