Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 109

Tuesday, June 6, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Forms FNS-806— A, Claim for Reimbursement (National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs), and FNS-806—B, Claim for Reimbursement (Special Milk Program for Children)

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the public to comment on the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) use of Forms FNS–806–A and FNS–806–B, Claims for Reimbursement. The Forms are used to collect data to determine the amount of reimbursement school food authorities participating in the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), School Breakfast Program (SBP), and Special Milk Programs for Children (SMP) are eligible to receive.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before August 7, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments or requests for copies of this information collection to Terry A. Hallberg, Chief, Program Analysis and Monitoring Branch, Child Nutrition Division, Food and Nutrition Service, USDA, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1008, Alexandria, Virginia 22302.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Hallberg, (703) 305–2590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Titles: Forms FNS–806–A, Claim for Reimbursement, (National School Lunch, and School Breakfast Programs), and FNS–806–B, Claim for Reimbursement (Special Milk Program).

OMB Number: 0584–0284. Expiration Date: May 31, 2000. Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The NSLP, SBP and SMP claims for reimbursement forms, FNS-806-A and FNS-806-B, are used to collect meal and cost data from school food authorities whose participation in these programs are administered directly by FNS Regional Offices (Regional Office Administered Programs, or ROAP). In order to determine the amount of reimbursement school food authorities are entitled to receive for meals and milk served, they must complete these forms. The completed forms are submitted to FNS' Regional Offices where they are entered into a computerized payment system. The payment system computes earned reimbursement.

Earned reimbursement in the NSLP, SBP and SMP is based on performance which is measured as an assigned rate per meal or half pint of milk served, with cost comparisons for free milk and severe need breakfasts. To fulfill the earned reimbursement requirements set forth in NSLP, SBP and SMP regulations issued by the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 210.8, 220.11, 215.10), the meal and cost data must be collected on forms FNS-806-A and FNS-806-B. These forms are an intrinsic part of the accounting system being used currently by the subject programs to ensure proper reimbursement as well as to facilitate adequate recordkeeping.

The claims for reimbursement for the NSLP and SBP are on the FNS–806–A and the claims for reimbursement for SMP are on the FNS–806–B.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information

is estimated to average .5 hours per response.

Respondents: The respondents are school food authorities and facilities participating in the NSLP, SBP, and SMP under the auspices of the FNS ROAP.

Estimated Number of Respondents Form 806–A: 340.

Estimated Number of Respondents Form 806–B: 180.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent Form 806–A: 10.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent Form 806–B: 10.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents Form 806–A: 3400.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents Form 806–B: 1800.

Estimated Total Number of Respondents: 520.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 10.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 5200.

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Samuel Chambers, Jr.,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–14095 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–30–U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for the Intermountain Region; Utah, Idaho, Nevada, and Wyoming

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all ranger districts, forests, and the Regional Office of the Intermountain Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 36 CFR 217. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices of decisions, thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are

made on or after June 1, 2000. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until December 1, 2000, when another notice will be published in the Federal Register.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barbara Schuster, Regional Appeals Manager, Intermountain Region, 324 25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401, Phone (801) 625-5301.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The administrative appeal procedures 36 CFR 215 and 36 CFR 217, of the Forest Service require publication of legal notice in a newspaper of general circulation of all decisions subject to appeal. This newspaper publication of notices of decisions is in addition to direct notice to those who have requested notice in writing and to those known to be interested and affected by a specific decision.

The legal notice is to identify: the decision by title and subject matter; the date of the decision; the name and title of the official making the decision; and how to obtain copies of the decision. In addition, the notice is to state the date the appeal period begins which is the day following publication of the notice.

The timeframe for appeal shall be based on the date of publication of the notice in the first (principal) newspaper listed for each unit.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Regional Forester, Intermountain Region

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Idaho: The Idaho Statesman, Boise,

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Nevada: The Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno Nevada

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Wyoming: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Utah: Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah

If the decision made by the Regional Forester affects all National Forests in the Intermountain Region, it will appear in: Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah

Ashley National Forest

Ashley Forest Supervisors decisions: Vernal Express, Vernal, Utah

Vernal District Ranger decisions: Vernal Express, Vernal, Utah

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Wyoming: Casper Star Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Utah: Vernal Express, Vernal, Utah

Roosevelt and Duchesne District Ranger decisions: Uintah Basin Standard, Roosevelt, Utah

Boise National Forest

Boise Forest Supervisor decisions: The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho Mountain Home District Ranger

decisions: The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho

Idaho City District Ranger decisions: The Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho Cascade District Ranger decisions: The

Long Valley Advocate, Cascade, Idaho Lowman District Ranger decisions: The Idaho World, Garden Valley, Idaho

Emmett District Ranger decisions: The Messenger-Index, Emmett, Idaho

Bridger-Teton National Forest

Bridger-Teton Forest Supervisor decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Jackson District Ranger decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Buffalo District Ranger decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Big Piney District Ranger decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wvoming

Pinedale District Ranger decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Greys River District Ranger decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Kemmerer District Ranger decisions: Casper Star-Tribune, Casper, Wyoming

Caribou-Targhee National Forest

Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions for the Caribou portion: Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho Soda Springs District Ranger decisions:

Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho Montpelier District Ranger decisions:

Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho Westside District Ranger decisions:

Idaho State Journal, Pocatello, Idaho Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions for the Targhee Portion: The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dubois District Ranger decisions: The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho

Island Park District Ranger decisions: The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho Ashton District Ranger decisions: The

Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho Palisades District Ranger decisions: The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho

Teton Basin District Ranger decisions: The Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dixie National Forest

Dixie Forest Supervisor decisions: The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah

Pine Valley District Ranger decisions: The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah Cedar City District Ranger decisions:

The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah Powell District Ranger decisions: The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah

Escalante District Ranger decisions: The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah

Teasdale District Ranger decisions: The Daily Spectrum, St. George, Utah

Fishlake National Forest

Fishlake Forest Supervisor decisions: Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah

Loa District Ranger decisions: Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah

Richfield District Ranger decisions: Richfield Reaper, Richfield, Utah

Beaver District Ranger decisions: Richfield Reaper, Beaver, Utah

Fillmore District Ranger decisions: Richfield Reaper, Fillmore, Utah

Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forests

Humboldt-Toivabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Humboldt portion: Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Toiyabe portion: Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada

Sierra Ecosystem Coordination Center (SECO):

Carson District Ranger decisions: Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada

Bridgeport District Ranger decisions: The Review-Herald, Mammoth Lakes, California

Spring Mountains National Recreation Area Ecosystem (SMNRAE):

Spring Mountains National Recreation Area District Ranger decisions: Las Vegas Review Journal, Las Vegas, Nevada

Central Nevada Ecosystem (CNECO):

Austin District Ranger decisions: Reno Gazette-Journal, Reno, Nevada

Tonopah District Ranger decisions: Tonopah Times Bonanza-Goldfield News, Tonopah, Nevada

Ely District Ranger decisions: Ely Daily Times, Ely, Nevada

Northeast Nevada Ecosystem (NNECO):

Mountain City District Ranger decisions: Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada

Ruby Mountains District Ranger decisions: Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada

Jarbidge District Ranger decisions: Elko Daily Free Press, Elko, Nevada

Santa Rosa District Ranger decisions: Humboldt Sun, Winnemucca, Nevada

Manti-LaSal National Forest

Manti-LaSal Forest Supervisor decisions: Sun Advocate, Price, Utah Sanpete District Ranger decisions: The Pyramid, Mt. Pleasant, Utah Ferron District Ranger decisions: Emery County Progress, Castle Dale, Utah Price District Ranger decisions: Sun Advocate, Price, Utah Moab District Ranger decisions: The Times Independent, Moab, Utah Monticello District Ranger decisions: The San Juan Record, Monticello, Utah

Payette National Forest

Payette Forest Supervisor decisions:
Idaho Statesman, Boise, Idaho
Weiser District Ranger decisions: Signal
American, Weiser, Idaho
Council District Ranger decisions:
Council Record, Council, Idaho
New Meadows, McCall, and Krassel
District Ranger decisions: Star News,
McCall, Idaho

Salmon-Challis National Forests

Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor decisions for the Salmon portion: The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor decisions for the Challis portion: The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho North Fork District Ranger decisions: The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho Leadore District Ranger decisions: The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho Salmon/Cobalt District Ranger decisions: The Recorder-Herald, Salmon, Idaho Middle Fork District Ranger decisions:

Middle Fork District Ranger decisions:

The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho
Challis District Ranger decisions: The
Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho
Yankee Fork District Ranger decisions:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho
Lost River District Ranger decisions:
The Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho

Sawtooth National Forest

Sawtooth Forest Supervisor decisions: *The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho Burley District Ranger decisions:

Ogden Standard Examiner, Ogden, Utah, for those decisions on the Burley District involving the Raft River Unit.

South Idaho Press, Burley Idaho, for decisions issued on the Idaho portions of the Burley District

Twin Falls District Ranger decisions: The Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho Ketchum District Ranger decisions: Idaho Mountain Express, Ketchum, Idaho

Sawtooth National Recreation Area: Challis Messenger, Challis, Idaho Fairfield District Ranger Decisions: The Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho

Uinta National Forest

Uinta Forest Supervisor decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah Pleasant Grove District Ranger decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

Heber District Ranger decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah, and Spanish Fork District Ranger decisions: *The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

Wasatch-Cache National Forest

Wasatch-Cache Forest Supervisor decisions: Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah Salt Lake District Ranger decisions: Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah Kamas District Ranger decisions: Salt Lake Tribune, Salt Lake City, Utah Evanston District Ranger decisions: Uintah County Herald, Evanston, Wyoming

Mountain View District Ranger decisions: *Uintah County Herald*, Evanston, Wyoming

Ogden District Ranger decisions: Ogden Standard Examiner, Ogden, Utah Logan District Ranger decisions: Logan Herald Journal, Logan, Utah

Dated: May 31, 2000.

Jack A. Blackwell,

Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 00–14096 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Douglas Project Area Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to provide a supply of timber for the Tongass National Forest timber sale program, to identify recreation opportunities and to develop a road management plan for the forest roads connected to the City of Kake. The Record of Decision will disclose where, if any, the Forest Service has decided to provide timber harvest units, associated timber harvesting facilities, identify dispersed recreation opportunities and propose any changes to the existing forest road system. The proposed action is to harvest timber on an estimated 1,600 acres and to provide multiple timber sale opportunities for a total of approximately 35 million board feet (mmbf) of timber. Timber harvest will be accomplished using a variety of

silvicultural prescriptions and harvest methods to meet the standards and guidelines of the Tongass Forest Plan. This project would include the construction of up to 30 miles of road and may include one new log transfer facility (LTF) within the project area. This project may also include an analysis and decision for a nonsignificant amendment to the Forest Plan to revise the location of small Old Growth Reserve(s) within the project area. Recreational opportunities for enhancement may include dispersed sites for camping, and improved access for hunting and subsistence users. A road management plan will be developed to safely accommodate public traffic. A range of alternatives responsive to significant issues will be developed and will include a no-action alternative. The Douglas project area is approximately 95,500 acres in size and is located in Value Comparison Units 430, 431, 432, 433 and a portion of 429 on Kupreanof Island, Alaska, on the Petersburg Ranger District of the Tongass National Forest. The project area is within an Inventoried Roadless Area (South Kupreanof #214 as identified by the Tongass Land and Resource Management Plan).

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of this project should be received by July 7, 2000 (30 days from expected publication).

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments to the Petersburg Ranger District, Tongass National Forest; Attn: Dan McMahon; Douglas Project Area EIS; PO Box 1328; Petersburg, AK 99833. The FAX number is (907) 772–5995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposal and EIS should be directed to Patricia Grantham, District Ranger, Petersburg Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, PO Box 1328, Petersburg, AK 99833; telephone (907) 772–3871 or Dan McMahon, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, Petersburg Ranger District, PO Box 1328, Petersburg, AK 99833; telephone (907) 772–3871.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public participation has been an integral component of the study process and will be especially important at several points during the analysis. During the last year, the Forest Service has been seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, and local agencies Federally-recognized Indian tribes, and individuals and organizations that may be interested in, or affected by, the proposed activities. Written scoping comments have been solicited through an informal scoping