CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long-term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) as the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) to advise CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff. BDAC has established a subcommittee called the Ecosystem Roundtable to provide input on annual workplans to implement ecosystem restoration projects and programs.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: January 18, 2000.

Lester A. Snow,

Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region. [FR Doc. 00–1594 Filed 1–21–00; 8:45am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigations Nos. 731–TA–539–C, E and F (Review); Uranium From Russia, Ukraine and Uzbekistan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty order on uranium from Ukraine and the suspended investigations on uranium from Russia and Uzbekistan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on uranium from Ukraine

and the termination of the suspended investigations on uranium from Russia and Uzbekistan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 14, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry Reavis (202-205-3185), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 4, 1999, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (64 FR 62691, November 17, 1999). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Participation in the reviews and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the subject merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in these reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, by 45 days after publication of this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not

file an additional notice of appearance. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these reviews available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the reviews, provided that the application is made by 45 days after publication of this notice. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C. § 1677(9), who are parties to the reviews. A party granted access to BPI following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not reapply for such access. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Staff report.—The prehearing staff report in the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 8, 2000, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.64 of the Commission's rules.

Hearing.—The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with the review beginning at 9:30 a.m. on May 25, 2000, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed in writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before May 15, 2000. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the hearing. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on May 19, 2000, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), 207.24, and 207.66 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony in camera no later than 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.

Written submissions.—Each party to the reviews may submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.65 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is May 17, 2000. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.67 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is June 5, 2000; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the review may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the review on or before June 5, 2000. On June 28, 2000, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before June 30, 2000, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.68 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: January 14, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–1636 Filed 1–21–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act and the Solid Waste Disposal Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in the action entitled *United States* v. *Ambroid Company, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 97–11377–JLT,

was lodged on January 13, 2000, with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The proposed consent decree resolves the claims of the United States against J. Frank Strauss and Robert M. Kuzara in a complaint filed against these parties, and several others, pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607. In the complaint, which was filed on June 17, 1997, the United States sought the recovery of past unreimbursed response costs incurred by the United States in connection with a drum removal action performed at the Yankee Chemical Superfund Site, located at 600 West Water Street, in Taunton, Massachusetts (the "Site"). The settlement also resolves the claims of the United States against Bank Hapoalim, B.M., a thirdparty defendant in the action. Pursuant to the proposed settlement, the Settling Defendants will reimburse the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund in the amount of \$50,000. The United States has provided a covenant not to sue under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607, as well as pursuant to Section 7003 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, with respect to the site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Any comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Amoroid* Company, Inc., DOJ Ref. Number 90-11-3-1747. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6973(d).

The proposed consent decree may be examined at EPA Region 1, located at One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114 (contact Peter DeCambre, 617–918–1890). A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of

\$7.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs).

Joel M. Gross,

Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 00–1645 Filed 1–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Oil Pollution Act of 1990

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in the action entitled United States v. Amity Products Carriers, Inc., Civil Action No. 00-11-P-H, was lodged on January 7, 2000, with the United States District Court for the District of Maine. The proposed consent decree resolves the claims of the United States under Section 1002(b)(2)(A) of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA"), 33 U.S.C. § 2702(b)(2)(A), against Amity Products Carriers, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"), in connection with the oil spill that occurred, on September 27, 1996, as a result of the collision of the Tank Vessel Julie N with the Million Dollar Bridge spanning the Fore River from Portland to South Portland, Maine, which resulted in the discharge of oil into the Fore River. The proposed consent decree also resolves the claims of the United States against Maritime Overseas Corporation, OSG Ship Management, Inc., as well as the officers, directors, and employees of those companies, as well as of the Settling Defendant, to the extent that their liability arises from actions taken in their official capacities as officers, directors, and employees of these corporations. The proposed settlement resolves the claims filed in a complaint on January 7, 2000. The complaint alleges, pursuant to Section 1002(b)(2)(A) of OPA, 33 U.S.C. § 2702(b)(2)(A), that Settling Defendant, the owner of the Julie N at the time of the spill, is liable for damages for injury to, destruction of, loss of, or loss of use of, natural resources, including the reasonable costs of assessing the damage. The proposed consent decree also resolves the claims of the State of Maine set forth in a similar complaint filed on January 7, 2000. See State of Maine v. Amity Products Carriers, Inc., Civil Action No. 00–12–P–H.

Pursuant to the proposed consent decree, the Settling Defendant will make a payment of \$1 million to the *Julie N* Oil Spill Restoration Account, which shall be used by Federal and State natural resource trustees to plan,