Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1999–2000 Ferrari Modena 360

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 44262 (August 13, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–327

8. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6350

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1978–1980 Toyota Land Cruiser

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1978–1980 Toyota Land Cruiser

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 56564 (October 20, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–320

9. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6340

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1991–1992 Toyota Previa

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1991–1992 Toyota Previa Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 56380 (October 19, 1999)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–326

10. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6339

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1990–1992 Audi 100

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1990–1992 Audi 100 Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 56381 (October 19, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–317

11. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6384

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1994 Eagle Vision

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1994 Eagle Vision Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 58126 (October 28, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–323

12. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6349

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1993–1996 Mercedes-Benz SL Series

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1993–1996 Mercedes-Benz SL Series

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 56568 (October 20, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–329

13. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6348

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1998–1999 Mercedes-Benz S Class

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1998–1999 Mercedes-Benz S Class

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 56566 (October 20, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–325

14. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6601

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1990–1991 Toyota MR2

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1990–1991 Toyota MR2

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 69585 (December 13, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–324

15. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6347

Nonconforming Vehicles: 2000 Harley Davidson FX, FL, and XL motorcycles

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 2000 Harley Davidson FX, FL, and XL motorcycles

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 56566 (October 20, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–321

16. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6383

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1998 Jaguar

XK–8 Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1998 Jaguar XK–8 Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 58125 (October 28, 1999)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–330

17. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6524

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1996 Ford Escort (Nicaragua)

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1996 Ford Escort Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR

67601 (December 2, 1999) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–322

18. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6668

Nonconforming Vehicles; 1991 Mercedes-Benz 560SEC

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1991 Mercedes-Benz 560SEC

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 73118 (December 29, 1999)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–333 19. Docket No. NHTSA–99–6525 Nonconforming Vehicles: 1994 Saab 9000

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1994 Saab 9000

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 69069 (December 9, 1999)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP-334 20. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6526 Nonconforming Vehicles: 1998-200V

Volvo S70 Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1998–2000 Volvo S70

Notice of Petition published at: 64 FR 67600 (December 2, 1999)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP-335 21. Docket No. NHTSA-99-6820 Nonconforming Vehicles: 1997-2000 Audi A8

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1997–2000 Audi A8

Notice of Petition published at: 65 FR 5019 (February 2, 2000)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–337 22. Docket No. NHTSA–2000–6941 Nonconforming Vehicles: 1998 Jeep Wrangler Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1998 Jeep Wrangler Notice of Petition published at: 65 FR 8759 (February 22, 2000) Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–341

23. Docket No. NHTSA-2000-7002

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1976–1985 Rolls Royce Corniche

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1976–1985 Rolls Royce Corniche

Notice of Petition published at: 65 FR 19040 (April 10, 2000)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–339

24. Docket No. NHTSA-2000-7112

Nonconforming Vehicles: 1987–1989 Bentley

Substantially similar U.S.-certified vehicles: 1987–1989 Bentley Notice of Petition published at: 65 FR 19428 (April 11, 2000)

Vehicle Eligibility Number: VSP–340

[FR Doc. 00–15851 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33882]

# Kasgro Rail Corp.—Lease and Operation Exemption—EASX Corporation and Rail Services Corporation

Kasgro Rail Corp., a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to lease from **EASX Corporation and Rail Services** Corporation and operate (through its Kasgro Rail Lines Division) two branches of rail line totaling approximately 3.5 miles in length in New Castle, Lawrence County, PA. The lines are as follows: (1) the "New Castle Branch" which begins at New Castle Branch Monumented Base Line (MBL), at Survey Station 10+00, opposite the former Pittsburgh and Lake Erie Railroad's (P&LE) Main Line MBL Survey Station 2580+10, and extends in a generally northeasterly direction to the former P&LE Valuation Station 146+10; and (2)(a) the "Big Run Branch" which begins at P&LE New Castle Branch Baseline of Survey, at Survey Station 84+44, and extends in a generally southwesterly direction to the connection with trackage of CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT), and (b) approximately 0.7 miles of rail line known as the "Sample Spur," which begins at Valuation Station 2+00 off of CSXT's main line between Cumberland, MD, and Willard, OH, and continues in a generally northwardly direction to

Valuation Station 40+75.7, where it connects with ISS Railroad, Inc.

The parties report that they intend to consummate the transaction on the effective date of the exemption. The earliest the transaction can be consummated is June 12, 2000, 7 days after the exemption was filed.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 33882, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Richard H. Streeter, Esq., Barnes & Thornburg, 1401 Eye Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20005.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "WWW.STB.DOT.GOV."

Decided: June 14, 2000.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

### Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–15679 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 16, 2000.

The Department of the Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220. **DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before July 24, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

#### **Internal Revenue Service (IRS)**

OMB Number: 1545–1099. Form Number: IRS Form 8811. Type of Review: Extension. Title: Information Return for Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits (REMICs) and Issuers of Collateralized Debt Obligations.

Description: Form 8811 is used to collet the name, address, and phone number of a representative of a REMIC who can provide brokers with the correct income amounts that the broker's clients must report on their income tax returns. The form allows the IRS to provide the REMIC industry the information necessary to issue correct information returns to investors.

*Respondents:* Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Number of Respondents/ Recordkeepers: 1,000.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent/Recordkeeper:

Recordkeeping—3 hr., 50 min. Learning about the law or the form— 35 min.

Preparing, copying, assembling, and sending the form to the IRS—41 min.

Frequency of Response: Other (One-time for each obligation.)

Estimated Total Reporting/ Recordkeeping Burden: 5,110 hours.

Clearance Officer: Garrick Shear, Internal Revenue Service, Room 5244, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20224.

OMB Reviewer: Alexander T. Hunt, (202) 395–7860, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

### Mary A. Able,

Departmental Reports, Management Officer. [FR Doc. 00–15726 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

### **Customs Service**

## Solicitation of Applications for Membership on Customs COBRA Fees Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury. **ACTION:** General notice.

SUMMARY: This document sets forth amended criteria for membership on the Customs COBRA Fees Advisory Committee and requests that new applications be submitted for membership on the committee. The amended criteria limit membership on the Committee to one U.S. Customs representative and up to eight parties that are directly subject to the payment of COBRA user fees. Also, the amended criteria make clear that a party is ineligible to serve on the Committee if

the party serves on another advisory committee chartered by the Department of the Treasury, including any separate bureau, service or other office within the Department of the Treasury. Applications previously received for membership on the Committee will need to be resubmitted for consideration under this document.

**DATES:** New applications for membership will be accepted until July 24, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Applications should be addressed to Richard Coleman, Trade Compliance Team, U.S. Customs Service, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Room 5.2–A, Washington, D.C. 20229, Attention: COBRA 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Coleman, Trade Compliance Team, U.S. Customs Service, 202–927–0563.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

By enactment of Public Law 106–36, the Miscellaneous Trade and Technical Corrections Act of 1999, section 13031 of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c) was amended by adding language which directs the Commissioner of Customs to establish an advisory committee whose membership will consist of representatives from the airline, cruise ship and other transportation industries that may be subject to fees under section 13031.

The Committee, in accordance with the statute, will advise the Commissioner of Customs on issues related to the performance of inspectional services of the United States Customs Service. This advice will include, but not be limited to, issues such as the time periods during which inspectional services should be performed, the proper number and deployment of inspectional officers, the level of fees and the appropriateness of any proposed fee.

In accordance with the direction to create the advisory committee, Customs published a document in the Federal Register (65 FR 6254) on February 8, 2000, establishing criteria and procedures for the selection of members on a Customs COBRA Fees Advisory Committee. Customs subsequently decided to amend these criteria principally to limit membership to applicants who are directly subject to COBRA user fees. Customs believes that these parties would be better-suited to serve on the committee. A revised charter for the committee will be duly filed. This document sets forth the