

addition, the plan must maintain for six years such records as are necessary to enable the Department, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), plan participants, beneficiaries, participating employers, and others to determine whether the conditions of the exemptions have been met. Part C permits plans to lease office space and provide administrative services or sell goods to a participating employer or union or to another plan. Under Part C, the plan must maintain for six years following the date of termination of the lease or of the provision of services such records as are necessary to enable persons from the Department, IRS, and other related parties to determine whether the conditions of the exemption have been met.

Information collection under Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 77-10 requires that a multiple employer plan which shares office space, administrative services, or goods or which provides administrative services or goods (as under Part C of Prohibited Transaction Exemption 76-1), maintain, during the time of the transactions and six years from the time of termination, such records as are necessary to enable the Department, IRS, and other related parties to determine whether the conditions of the exemption have been met. The recordkeeping requirements are intended to protect the interests of plan participants and beneficiaries and are essentially the same recordkeeping requirements as under Part C of Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 76-1.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection of information.

Agency: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

Titles: Prohibited Transaction Class Exemptions 76-1 and 77-10.

OMB Number: 1210-0058.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions.

Respondents: 3,000.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Responses: 3,000.

Average Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 750.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 20, 2000.

Gerald B. Lindrew,

Deputy Director, Office of Policy and Research, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-1784 Filed 1-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-423]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al., Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3; Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Facility; Operating License and Conforming Amendment, and Opportunity for a Hearing; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 2000 (64 FR 2990). This action is necessary to correct the comment period expiration dates.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David L. Meyer, Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, telephone 301-415-7162, e-mail dlm1@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. On page 2991, in the second column, the first complete paragraph, in the first line, February 7, 2000, is corrected to read February 8, 2000.

2. On page 2991, in the third column, the first complete paragraph, in the third line, February 17, 2000, is corrected to read February 18, 2000.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of January 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Meyer,

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-1811 Filed 1-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362]

Southern California Edison Company, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 2000 (64 FR 2991). This action is necessary to correct the comment period expiration date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David L. Meyer, Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, telephone 301-415-7162, e-mail dlm1@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On page 2992, in the third column, the third complete paragraph, in the first line, February 17, 2000, is corrected to read February 18, 2000.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of January 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Meyer,

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-1809 Filed 1-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362]

Southern California Edison Company, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 2000 (64 FR 2993). This

action is necessary to correct the comment period expiration date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David L. Meyer, Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone 301-415-7162, e-mail dlm1@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 2994, in the second column, the fifth complete paragraph, in the first line, February 17, 2000, is corrected to read February 18, 2000.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of January 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Meyer,

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-1810 Filed 1-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-305]

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License DPR-43 Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendment to Facility Operating License DPR-43 issued to Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant, located in Kewaunee County, Wisconsin.

The proposed amendment would change Technical Specification (TS) Section 4.2.b, "Steam Generator Tubes," to extend the use of the length-based pressure boundary definition (L criterion) for the Westinghouse steam generator hybrid expansion joint sleeved tubes through the operating cycle 24 (approximately from May 2000 to Fall of 2001). The existing TS 4.2.b.4.c restricts use of L criterion to operating cycle 23 which is scheduled to end in mid-April 2000.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no

significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does operation of the facility with the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The extension of the L criterion for cycle 24 does not change the results of the structural testing performed in 1998. The physical characteristic (undegraded hardroll length) of the pressure boundary definition also does not change. The L criterion will continue to be implemented as described in the original, approved amendment. The conservatism upon which NRC approval was based still exist. Therefore, the conservatism still provide assurance that safety margins will continue to be met and uncertainties will remain acceptably low. Extending the use of the L criterion does not increase the probability of a MSLB [main steam line break] event. Based on the above, it may be concluded that application of the parent tube pressure boundary L criterion through cycle 24 will not result in a significant increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

The conservatively bounding primary-to-secondary MSLB leak rate of 1 gpm [gallons-per-minute], which was approved for cycle 23, will continue to be applied to the calculation for postulated MSLB leakage for cycle 24. Application of this leak rate to the postulated leakage calculation will continue to ensure primary-to-secondary leakage will not exceed the current maximum allowable during a MSLB event. Maintenance of the current maximum allowable primary-to-secondary leak rate during a MSLB event ensures off-site doses will not exceed a small fraction of 10 CFR 100 and control room doses will not exceed GDC [General Design Criteria] -19 criteria. Therefore, it may be concluded that the application of the parent tube pressure boundary L criterion through cycle 24 will not increase the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does operation of the facility with the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The extension of the L criterion through cycle 24 will not introduce a change to the design basis or operation of the plant. Neither the physical characteristics nor implementation of the L criterion has been changed. As determined in the original L criterion submittal, the continued implementation of a parent tube pressure boundary does not effect or interact with

other portions of the reactor coolant system. Continued implementation of the L criterion does not effect any other tubes outside the repaired area or any other components. The qualification testing performed in 1998 remains valid and supports the conclusion that the joint retains structural integrity consistent with RG [Regulatory Guide]—1.121 and leakage integrity with regards to 10 CFR [Code of Federal Regulations] 100 and GDC-19. Any hypothetical accident as a result of PTIs [parent tube indications] left in service by the L criterion continues to be bounded by the existing tube rupture analysis. Therefore, application of the L criterion through cycle 24 will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does operation of the facility with the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The safety factors used to establish the L criterion continue to be consistent with safety factors in the ASME [American Society of Mechanical Engineers] Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code used in the SG [steam generator] design. Based on the sleeve-to-tube geometry, it is unrealistic to consider that application of L criterion could result in single tube leak rates exceeding the normal makeup capacity during normal operating conditions. The performance characteristics of postulated degraded HEJ [hybrid expansion joint] sleeves have been verified through testing to retain structural integrity and preclude significant leakage during both normal operating and MSLB conditions. Conservatism that allowed approval of the L criterion for cycle 23 still exist and apply as discussed in the safety evaluation of this submittal. Leakage rates determined and approved for the original L criterion submittal will continue to be implemented. Therefore, there is not a significant reduction in the margin of safety for extension of the L criterion through cycle 24.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the