

((202) 219-5096 ext. 151 or by E-mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Title:* Job Corps Application Data.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0025.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Form	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response	Estimated total burden
ETA 652	94,792	One-time .....	94,792	25 Min .....	39,497
ETA 655	91,732	One-time .....	91,732	5 Min .....	7,644
ETA 682	7,768	On occasion .....	7,768	5 Min .....	640
Totals	.....	.....	.....	.....	47,781

*Total annualized capital/startup costs:* \$2,680,000.

*Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* These forms are used to obtain information for screening and enrollment purposes to determine eligibility for the Job Corps Program. They are prepared by the admissions counselor for each applicant and have no further impact on the public.

**Ira L. Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Office.*

[FR Doc. 00-18350 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

TA-W-37, 435 and NAFTA-3754; Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Distribution Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin (July 10, 2000)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18357 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,603]

#### A. Schulman, Inc., Dispersion Division, Orange, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 28, 2000, the petitioner, PACE Local 4-836, requests administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on May 25, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40135).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The workers at A. Schulman, Inc., Dispersion Division, Orange, Texas, produce polypropylene and polyethylene products (TPPs and PBAs). The workers were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that criterion (3) of the worker group eligibility requirements of Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

The petitioner asserts that the production equipment moved to Mexico will be used to produce articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the workers of A. Schulman, Inc. at the Orange, Texas plant.

The Trade Act of 1974 does not contain provisions to certify a worker group based on a shift in production to a foreign country.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,435 and NAFTA-3754]

#### Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Distribution Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Distribution Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18352 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,820]

#### **Ametek U.S. Gauge, Inc., Sellersville, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 19, 2000, in response to a petition which was filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers on behalf of workers at Ametek U.S. Gauge, Inc., Sellersville, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has withdrawn the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of June, 2000.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18363 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37, 612]

#### **AST Research, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at AST Research, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37, 612; AST Research, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas (July 10, 2000)

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18355 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,647 and 647D]

#### **Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc. the Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, AL and Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, GA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on September 16, 1999, applicable to workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., The Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, Alabama. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 1999 (64 FR 55750).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of men's shirts. New information shows that worker separations will occur at the Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, Georgia location of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc. The workers provide administration and support function services for the subject firm's production facilities located in Alabama and Georgia.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, Georgia.

The Intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc. adversely affected by increased imports of men's shirts.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-36,647 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., The Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, Alabama (TA-W-36,647) and Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, Georgia (TA-W-36,647D) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 10, 1998 through September 16, 2001 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington D.C. this 30th day of June, 2000.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18360 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37, 608]

#### **Concord Fabrics, Inc., New York City, New York; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Concord Fabrics, Inc., New York City, New York. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37,608; Concord Fabrics, Inc. New York City, New York (July 12, 2000)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18356 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,796]

#### **Invensys Best Power, Necedah, Wisconsin; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 19, 2000, in response to a petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Invensys Best Power, Necedah, Wisconsin. The workers produce power protection equipment.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.