

United States for recovery of response costs incurred by the United States in connection with the Skinner Landfill Superfund Site (Site) in West Chester, Ohio, under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), against Elsa Morgan-Skinner and seventy-two (72) other potentially responsible parties (PRPs) that contributed hazardous substances to the Site. Under the terms of the Consent Decree (the Remedial Action of "RA Consent Decree"), the Settling Generator/Transporter Defendants, including approximately sixty-six (66) companies, (Work Parties) will implement an EPA-approved remedial action which includes, among other things, the construction of a cap over a former dump and buried waste lagoon area; and the interception, capture and treatment of contaminated groundwater located down-gradient from the capped area. The Settling Owner/Operator Defendant Elsa Morgan-Skinner, the current Site owner, agrees to grant access to and restrictive use covenants on the Site, and resolves her liability by selling an option to purchase the site for \$5,000 to the Work Parties. A portion of the proceeds of any such sale will be deposited into an account known as the Skinner Landfill Special Account. Two Settling Federal Agencies, the General Services Administration and the Defense Logistics Agency, will pay \$602,599.12 into the Skinner Landfill Special Account. Finally, the Settling *De Minimis* Federal Agencies, including the United States Army, United States Air Force, United States Information Agency and the United States Postal Service, each of which contributed less than 1% of the total volume of waste at the Site, will pay \$87,804.29 into the Skinner Landfill Special Account. Eighty percent of the funds in the Special Account will be available for disbursement to the Work Parties for their remediation work. In exchange for these payments and performance of the remedial action, each of the Settling Defendants under the RA Consent Decree will receive covenants not to sue and contribution protection.

The second Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims for recovery of response costs incurred at the Site against seven municipalities, including the Cities of Blue Ash, Deer Park, Madiera, Mason, Sharonville and the Villages of Lincoln Heights and Monroe, each of which contributed municipal solid waste (MSW) to the Site. Under the terms of this Consent Decree (known as the "MSW Consent Decree") the

Settling Municipalities will pay a total of \$17,218 into the Skinner Special Account. These funds will be made available to the Work Parties for their remediation work. In exchange for this payment, each of the Settling Municipalities will receive a covenant not to sue and contribution protection.

From June 9, 2000, through July 10, 2000, the Department of Justice accepted comments on the proposed Consent Decrees. The Department of Justice will receive for an additional period of two weeks from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania, NW, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Elsa Morgan-Skinner et al.* Civ. Action No. C-1-00-424; D.J. Ref. Nos. 90-11-3-1620, 90-11-6-118, 90-11-6-128.

The Consent Decrees may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 220 United States Post Office & Courthouse, 100 E. 5th Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590, or on the United States Environmental Protection Agency's internet website at www.epa.gov/region5/sites. A copy of the Consent Decrees may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library in amount of \$65.50 for both Consent Decrees; or \$60.00 (240 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction cost) for the RA Consent Decree; or \$5.50 (22 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction cost) for the MSW Consent Decree.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment & Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-19832 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under The Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that, on July 20, 2000, a Consent Decree in *United States, Plaintiff, and States of Arkansas, Louisiana, and South Carolina Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Plaintiff-Intervenors v. Willamette Industries, Inc.* Civil Action No. CV-00-1001-HA, was lodged in the United States District Court for the District of Oregon.

In this action the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors sought injunctive relief and civil penalties under Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) against Willamette Industries, Inc. ("Willamette"). The alleged violations include the failure to install pollution control devices and obtain permits, required by the CAA, at wood product manufacturing facilities owned and operated by Willamette in: Emerson and Malvern Arkansas; Dodson, Ruston, Zwolle, Lillie, Taylor and Simsboro Louisiana; Bend, Eugene, Foster, Springfield and Sweet Home Oregon, and Chester South Carolina. The Consent Decree resolves all of these claims. The Consent Decree requires Willamette to pay a civil penalty of just over \$11.2 million, to perform Supplemental Environmental Projects costing at least \$8 million, to install pollution control devices on its facilities, and to perform environmental audits of its facilities.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044 and refer to *United States et al. v. Willamette Industries, Inc.*, Civil Action No. CV-00-1001-HA (D. Oregon), DJ # 90-5-2-1-2186.

Copies of the Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Oregon, 1000 S.W. Third Ave., Suite 600, Portland OR 97204. An electronic copy of the Consent Decree is available online at: <http://es.epa.gov/oeca/ore/aed/willamette/index.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail at the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044. When requesting a copy of the proposed modification to the Consent Decree by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$12.75 (twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the "Consent Decree Library."

Joel Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division,
U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 00-19384 Filed 7-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Digital Imaging Group, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 4, 2000, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Digital Imaging Group, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ofoto, Berkeley, CA; PhotoTablet, Inc., Sebastopol, CA; The Workbook, Los Angeles, CA; Amazingmail.com, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ; House of Images, Inc., Beverly Hills, CA; Kablink, Sand Diego, CA; Pixami, Inc., San Ramon, CA; EZ Prints, Atlanta, GA; Zing, Inc., San Francisco, CA; and Fileflow As, Oslo, Norway have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Intellectual Protocols, Nannet, NY; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trundheim, Norway; Ditto.com (formerly Arribasoft), Emeryville, CA; and Tower Semiconductor Ltd., Migdal Haemek, Israel have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Digital Imaging Group, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 25, 1997, Digital Imaging Group, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 10, 1997 (62 FR 60530).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 11, 2000. A

notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (64 FR 40129).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

JOINT VENTURE WORKSHEET	
[Supplemental Filings Only]	
A. Name of venture: Digital Imaging group, Inc. Nature of notification: supplemental Concise statement of purpose (if purpose has changed): Same as before—no changes.	
B. For ventures involving research and development only:	
Identity of parties added to venture:	Identity of parties dropped from venture:
1. Ofoto, Berkely, CA	1. Intellectual Protocols, Nannet, NY.
2. PhotoTablet, Inc., Sebastopol, CA	2. Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trundheim, NORWAY.
3. The Workbook Lose Angeles, CA	3. Ditto.com (formerly Arribasoft), Emeryville, CA.
4. Amazingmai-l.com, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ	4. Tower Semiconductor Ltd., Migdal Haemek, ISRAEL.
5. House of Images, Inc., Beverly Hills, CA.	
6. Kablink, San Diego, CA.	
7. Pixami, Inc., San Ramon, CA.	
8. EZ Prints, Atlanta, GA.	
9. Zing, Inc., San Francisco, CA.	
10. Fileflow As, Oslo, NORWAY.	

[FR Doc. 00–19388 Filed 7–31–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—J Consotrium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 20, 2000, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), J Consotrium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney

General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, E–SIM, San Diego, CA; Bull Smart Cards and Terminals, Foster City, CA; and UK Ministry of Defence, Weymouth, United Kingdom have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and J Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 9, 1999, J Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 21, 2000 (65 FR 15175).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 20, 2000. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2000 (65 FR 38596).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

Joint Venture Worksheet

[Supplemental Filings Only]	
A. Name of venture: J. Consortium, Inc. Nature of notification: Supplemental Concise statement of purpose (if purpose has changed): Same as before—no changes.	
B. For ventures involving research and development only:	
Identity of parties added to venture:	
1. E–SIM, San Diego, CA	
2. Bull Smart Cards and Terminals, Foster City, CA	
3. UK Ministry of Defence, Weymouth, UNITED KINGDOM	
Identity of parties dropped from venture:	
C. For ventures involving production:	
Identity and nationality of parties to joint production venture:	

Identity	Nationality	Place of incorporation	Location of principal executive offices
----------	-------------	------------------------	---