changes to the program to account for clerical errors. In addition, we revised our results to reflect information received from the U.S. Customs Service. The final weighted-average dumping margin for Yancheng Haiteng is listed below in the section entitled Final Results of the Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Gilgunn or Sarah Ellerman, AD/ CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0648 or (202) 482– 4106, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On March 15, 2000, the Department published preliminary results of a new shipper review of the antidumping order on crawfish from the PRC for Yancheng Haiteng (65 FR 13939). We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. We received no comments. The Department has conducted this new shipper review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is freshwater crawfish tail meat, in all its forms (whether washed or with fat on, whether purged or unpurged), grades and sizes; whether frozen, fresh, or chilled; and regardless of how it is packed, preserved, or prepared. Excluded from the scope of the order are live crawfish and other whole crawfish, whether boiled, frozen, fresh, or chilled. Also excluded are saltwater crawfish of any type, and parts thereof. Freshwater crawfish tail meat is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 0306.19.00.10 and 0306.29.00.00. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Comments From Interested Parties and Changes Since the Preliminary Results

We received no comments from interested parties in response to our preliminary results. We corrected clerical errors made in the preliminary results. For more information regarding these corrections, see the *Memorandum to the File From Sarah Ellerman; Analysis for the Final Results of New Shipper Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Yancheng Haiteng Aquatic Products and Foods, Co., Ltd.,* dated July 24, 2000.

In addition, we received certain information from the U.S. Customs Service. As a result of this new information, we applied facts available to Yancheng Haiteng. For more information, refer to the Memorandum to Troy H. Cribb from Joseph A. Spetrini; Issues for the Final Results of New Shipper Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China; Yancheng Haiteng Aquatic Products and Foods Co., Ltd., dated July 24, 2000.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period September 1, 1998 through February 28, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Yancheng Haiteng Aquatic Prod- ucts & Foods Co., Ltd	36.42

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service. Furthermore, the following cash deposit rates will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for Yancheng Haiteng, which was found to merit a separate rate for the final results of this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rate stated above; (2) for previously-reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, 201.63 percent; and (4) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable

to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Dated: July 24, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 00–19829 Filed 8–3–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-805]

Notice of Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Silicomanganese From Ukraine

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits for the preliminary results of administrative review of the suspension agreement on silicomanganese from Ukraine.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the administrative review on the suspension agreement on silicomanganese from Ukraine.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Blozy or Rick Johnson; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0165 or (202) 482– 3818, respectively.

Extension of Preliminary Results

The Department published its notice of initiation of this review in the Federal Register on December 21, 1999 (64 FR 72644). Because it is not practicable to issue the preliminary results of review by the current deadline of August 1, 2000, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the aforementioned review 120 days, to November 29, 2000, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994 (for a further discussion, see the August 1, 2000 Decision Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Richard O. Weible: Request to Extend Preliminary Results

in the Review of the Antidumping Duty Suspension Agreement on Silicomanganese from Ukraine).

This extension of time limits is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: July 31, 2000.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III. [FR Doc. 00–19823 Filed 8–3–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

Silicon Metal From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review and notice of intent not to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by American Silicon Technologies, Elkem Metals Company, and Globe Metallurgical, Inc. (collectively "petitioners"), and by Companhia Brasileira Carbureto De Calcio ("CBCC"), Ligas de Aluminio S.A. ("LIASA"), Eletrosilex S.A. ("Eletrosilex"), RIMA Industrial S.A. ("RIMA") and Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais—Minasligas ("Minasligas"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 1998 through June 30, 1999.

We preliminarily determine that two respondents sold subject merchandise at less than normal value ("NV") during the POR. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct Customs to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We invite interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. Parties who submit comments in this proceeding should also submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue(s), and (2) a brief summary of the argument (not to exceed five pages). Further, we would appreciate it if parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional copy of

the public version of any such comments on diskette.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor (RIMA), telephone: (202) 482–5831; Nova Daly (Eletrosilex), 482– 0989; Mark Manning (LIASA), 482– 3936, Zev Primor (CBCC), 482–4114; Alexander Amdur (Minasligas), 482– 5346, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office IV, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

On July 31, 1991, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil (56 FR 36135). On July 15, 1999, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil for the period July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999 (64 FR 38181). On July 27, 1999, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), LIASA requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its sales and revoke the order with respect to LIASA pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(e). Also on July 27, 1999, RIMA and Minasligas requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their respective sales. On July 28, 1999, Eletrosilex requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its sales. Also on July 28, 1999, CBCC requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its sales and revoke the order with respect to CBCC pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(e).

On July 30, 1999, petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of sales made by CBCC, Eletrosilex, LIASA, Minasligas, and RIMA. On August 30, 1999, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1), the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review (64 FR 47167).

The Department issued questionnaires on October 19, 1999, to CBCC, Eletrosilex, LIASA, Minasligas, and RIMA, and received responses to Section A on December 2, 1999, from all respondents. The Department received responses to sections B, C, and D of the questionnaire from Eletrosilex on December 17, 1999, and from CBCC, LIASA, Minasligas, and RIMA on December 27, 2000. The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to LIASA on February 25, 2000, March 23, 2000, and June 6, 2000, and received responses on March 27, 2000, April 18, 2000, and June 12, 2000. The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to Minasligas on February 25, 2000, May 11, 2000, and June 2, 2000, and received responses on March 27, 2000, May 26, 2000, and June 7, 2000. The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to CBCC and Rima on February 25, 2000, and received responses on March 27, 2000. The Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to Eletrosilex on March 2, 2000, and did not receive a response.

On March 2, 2000, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department published in the **Federal Register** its notice extending the deadline for the preliminary results until July 30, 2000 (65 FR 11285). The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this administrative review is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this administrative review is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.