

### Determination Under Executive Order 12866

Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866. This notice is not required to be cleared by the Office of Management and Budget.

### Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*) requires Federal agencies to do a regulatory flexibility analysis if a final rule is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and there is a legal requirement to issue a general notice of proposed rulemaking. Western has determined this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis since it is a rulemaking of particular applicability involving rates or services applicable to public property.

### Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

Western determined this rule is exempt from congressional notification requirements under 5 U.S.C. 801 because the action is a rulemaking of particular applicability relating to rates or services and involves matters of procedure.

### Availability of Information

Information about this rate adjustment, including PRSs, letters, memorandums, and other supporting material made or kept by Western used to develop the provisional rates, is available for public review. This information is in the Power Marketing Manager's office, Sierra Nevada Customer Service Region, Western Area Power Administration, 114 Parkshore Drive, Folsom, California.

### Submission to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

The provisional rates herein confirmed, approved, and placed into effect, together with supporting documents, will be submitted to FERC for confirmation and final approval.

### Order

In view of the foregoing and under the authority delegated to me by the Secretary of Energy, I confirm and approve on an interim basis, effective October 1, 2000, Rate Schedule SNF-5 for the Washoe Project, Stampede Division, for the Western Area Power Administration. The rate schedule will remain in effect on an interim basis, pending FERC confirmation and approval of it or substitute rates on a final basis through September 30, 2005.

Dated: August 22, 2000.

T.J. Glauthier,  
*Deputy Secretary of Energy.*

### Rate Schedule for Nonfirm Power Service

**Effective:** The first day of the first full billing period beginning on or after October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2005.

**Available:** In the area served by the Stampede Division.

**Applicable:** To power customers for general power service.

**Character and Conditions of Service:** Alternating current, 60-hertz, three-phase, delivered and metered at the voltages and points established by the contract.

**Demand Charge:** None.

**Energy Charge:** There is a floor and ceiling rate for nonfirm energy sales from Stampede. The floor rate is 85 percent of the then effective, nontime differentiated rate as provided in Sierra Pacific Power Company's California Quarterly Short-Term Purchase Price Schedule for as-available purchases from qualifying facilities with capacities of 100 kW or less. The ceiling rate is calculated by dividing the revenue requirement needed to repay all annual reimbursable power costs (less any revenue for sales made at less than the ceiling rate) by the nonfirm energy remaining after providing project use service. The ceiling rate will be calculated and customers told of changes in the ceiling rate by April 1 each year. Sales of nonfirm energy will be made at the floor or ceiling rates or at a rate between the floor and ceiling rates.

**Adjustments:** Stampede energy will be adjusted for losses and delivered at Donner Summit Metering Station, Gonder Substation, or another point of delivery on Sierra Pacific Power Company's system.

[FR Doc. 00-22512 Filed 8-31-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6863-2]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information

Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: State Clean Air Act Section 507 Program Cooperative Agreement Outreach Pilot Program Evaluation, ICR No. 1958.01; this is a new collection. This generic ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before October 2, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments, referencing ICR No. 1958.01, to the following address: Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Collection Strategies Division (Mail Code 2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by e-mail at farmer.sandy@epa.gov, or download off of the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 1958.01. For technical questions about the ICR contact Karen V. Brown, at EPA, by telephone (202) 260-1390, via FAX on (202) 401-2302 or, by e-mail brown.karen@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Title:** State Clean Air Act Section 507 Program Cooperative Agreement Demonstration Outreach Pilot Program Evaluation; EPA ICR No. 1958.01 (new collection).

**Abstract:** The EPA Office of the Small Business Ombudsman was authorized and funded by the fiscal year 1999 VA/ HUD & Independent Agencies Appropriations Act to award grants or cooperative agreements to "Strengthen State Small Business Ombudsman (SBO) and State Technical Assistance Programs (SBAP) Created Under Section 507 of The Clean Air Act Amendments." The ultimate objective of these awards is to make improvements and strengthen these programs. The Congress further emphasized the important role these programs can play in promoting small business compliance with emission limitations set under State Implementation Plans to attain National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Under the Congressional mandate, EPA must report on "the grants (cooperative agreements), their use and effectiveness," and also provide Congress with an estimate of emission reductions achieved by these projects more generally. Thus, through a

competitive process, ten (10) State cooperative agreement awardees are required to measure the results/impacts of their innovative developmental work and outreach efforts. In order to do this, some, but not all, awardees will need to solicit information from the small business community that voluntarily use these programs. This information will be confidential. This is a "generic" information request (ICR) to enable some of the 10 State SBO or SBAP Programs to collect information on the results/effectiveness of their projects so that the States and EPA can better understand which types of outreach were most effective. State SBOs/SBAPs and EPA are interested in judging the results of various measurement methods such as via comment/response cards, on-site interviews, mailed/Internet-surveys/on-site questionnaires and, telephone surveys.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on 04/18/00 (FR Vol. 65, No. 75); pages 20819–20. No comments were received.

EPA would like to again solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden proposed for the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of future information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are responding, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection is estimated to average 1.1 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed

to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Owners and operators within the water heater and boiler manufacturing industry, reinforced plastics and boat manufacturing industry, gasoline dispensing sites and transport vehicles, auto repair and salvage yards, and others.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** 3,900.  
**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 3,900.

**Frequency of Response:** once per respondent.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 4,200.

**Estimated Total Annualized & Capital Cost Burden:** 0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1958.01 in any correspondence.

Dated: August 28, 2000.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 00–22524 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6610–5]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

**RESPONSIBLE AGENCY:** Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or [www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa).

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed August 21, 2000 Through August 25, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000293, FINAL EIS, NPS, MT, Lake McDonald/Park Headquarters Wastewater Treatment System Rehabilitation, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, Glacier National Park, A Portion of Waterton-Glacier

International Peace Park, Flathead and Glacier Counties, MT, Due: October 02, 2000, Contact: Mary Riddle (406) 888–7898.

EIS No. 000294, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, COE, MO, St. Johns Bayou and New Madrid Floodway Project, Channel Enlargement and Improvement, Flood Control, National Economic Development (NED) New Madrid, Mississippi and Scott Counties, MO, Due: October 02, 2000, Contact: John Rumancik (901) 544–3975.

EIS No. 000295, FINAL EIS, AFS, PA, Duck and Sheriff Project Area (DSPA), Timber Management, Road Construction and Reconstruction, Trail Maintenance, Wildlife Habitat Improvement, and Recreation Management, Allegheny National Forest, Bradford Ranger District, Cherry Grove Township of Warren County, and Howe Township of Forest County, PA, Due: October 02, 2000, Contact: John Schultz (814) 362–4613.

EIS No. 000296, REVISED DRAFT EIS, AFS, CA, Programmatic EIS-Ansel Adams, John Muir and Dinkey Lakes Wildernesses, Proposed New Management Direction, Amending the Land and Resource Management Plans for the Inyo and Sierra National Forests, Implementation, Inyo, Madera, Mono and Fresno Counties, CA, Due: December 01, 2000, Contact: Mary Beth Hennessy (760) 873–2448.

EIS No. 000297, DRAFT EIS, AFS, OR, Rimrock Ecosystem Restoration Project, To Promote Healthy and Sustainable Watershed Conditions, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, Heppner Ranger District, Grant, Morrow and Wheeler Counties, OR, Due: October 16, 2000, Contact: Andrei Rykoff (541) 678–9187.

EIS No. 000298, FINAL EIS, FHW, OH, Lancaster Bypass (FAI–US 22/US 33–9.59/9.95) Construction, Funding, Greenfield, Hocking, Berne and Pleasant Townships, Fairfield County, OH, Due: October 02, 2000, Contact: Leonard E. Brown (614) 280–6896.

EIS No. 000299, FINAL EIS, BIA, CA, Cortina Integrated Solid Waste Management Project, Development and Operation, Approval of Land Lease Cortina Indian Rancheria of Wintin Indians, Colusa County, CA, Due: October 02, 2000, Contact: William Allan (916) 978–6043.

EIS No. 000300, DRAFT EIS, BLM, NV, Newmont Gold Mining, South Operations Area Project Amendment, Operation and Expansion, Plan of Operations, Elko and Eureka Counties, NV, Due: October 31, 2000, Contact: Roger Congdon (775) 753–0200.