

a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Central Time, Monday through Friday.

(2) *Regions.* Information in the report will be aggregated and reported by geographic regions. Geographic regions will be defined in such a manner as to avoid divulging data on individual firms' operations and may be modified from time to time.

(3) *Reported information.* The monthly report will provide the following information:

(i) The types of existing contracts for each geographic region.

(ii) The types of contracts currently being offered to additional producers or available for renewal to currently contracted producers in each geographic region.

(iii) The sum of packers' reported estimates of total number of swine committed by contract for delivery during the next 6 and 12 months beginning with the month the report is published. The report will indicate the number of swine committed by geographic reporting region and by type of contract.

(iv) The types of conditions or circumstances as reported by packers that could result in expansion in the numbers of swine to be delivered under the terms of expansion provisions in the contracts at any time during the ensuing 12 calendar months.

(v) The sum of packers' reported estimates of the maximum total number of swine that potentially could be delivered during each of the next 6 and 12 months if all expansion provisions in current contracts are executed. The report will indicate the sum of estimated maximum potential deliveries by geographic reporting region and by type of contract.

Dated: August 28, 2000.

**JoAnn Waterfield,**

*Acting Administrator Grain Inspection,  
Packers and Stockyards Administration.  
[FR Doc. 00-22393 Filed 9-1-00; 8:45 am]*

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### 28 CFR Part 16

[AAG/A Order No. 205-2000]

#### Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice proposes to exempt a Privacy Act system of records from the following subsections of the Privacy Act: The system of records is CaseLink Document

Database for Office of Special Counsel—Waco, JUSTICE/OSCW-001 as described in today's notice section of the **Federal Register**. The system of records may contain information which relates to official Federal investigation. The exemptions are necessary to protect law enforcement and investigatory information and functions as described in the proposed rule and will be applied only to the investigatory information contained in this system.

**DATES:** Submit any comments by October 5, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments to Thomas E. Wack, Office of Special Counsel—Waco, 200 N. Broadway, 15th Floor, St. Louis, Missouri 63102.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

This order relates to individuals rather than small business entities. Nevertheless, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, this order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Executive Order No. 12866

The Attorney General has determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order No. 12866, and accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

#### List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 16

Administrative Practices and Procedures, Courts, Freedom of Information Act, Privacy Act, and Government in Sunshine Act.

Dated: August 28, 2000.

**Stephen R. Colgate,**

*Assistant Attorney General for Administration.*

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 5 U.S.C. 552a and delegated to me by Attorney General Order No. 793-78, it is proposed to amend 28 CFR part 16 as follows:

1. The authority for Part 16 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C 301, 552, 552a, 552b(g), 553; 18 U.S.C. 4203(a)(1); 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 534; 31 U.S.C. 3717, 9701.

2. It is proposed to amend 28 CFR Part 16 by adding to Subpart E—Exemption of Records Systems under the Privacy Act, § 16.104 to read as follows:

#### § 16.104 Exemption of Office of Special Counsel—Waco System

(a) The following system of records is exempted from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d)(1), (2), (3), and (4); (e)(1), (2), (3), (5), and (8); and (g) of the Privacy Act

pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k): CaseLink Document Database for Office of Special Counsel—Waco, JUSTICE/OSCW-001. These exemptions apply only to the extent that information in a record is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k).

(b) Only that portion of this system which consists of criminal or civil investigatory information is exempted for the reasons set forth from the following subsections:

(1) Subsection (c)(3). To provide the subject of a criminal or civil matter or case under investigation with an accounting of disclosures of records concerning him or her would inform that individual of the existence, nature, or scope of that investigation and thereby seriously impede law enforcement efforts by permitting the record subject and other persons to whom he might disclose the records to avoid criminal penalties and civil remedies.

(2) Subsection (c)(4). This subsection is inapplicable to the extent that an exemption is being claimed for subsection (d).

(3) Subsection (d)(1). Disclosure of investigatory information could interfere with the investigation, reveal the identity of confidential sources, and result in an unwarranted invasion of the privacy of others.

(4) Subsection (d)(2). Amendment of the records would interfere with ongoing criminal law enforcement proceedings and impose an impossible administrative burden by requiring criminal investigations to be continuously reinvestigated.

(5) Subsections (d)(3) and (4). These subsections are inapplicable to the extent exemption is claimed from (d)(1) and (2).

(6) Subsections (e)(1) and (5). It is often impossible to determine in advance if investigatory records contained in this system are accurate, relevant, timely and complete; but, in the interests of effective law enforcement, it is necessary to retain this information to aid in establishing patterns of activity and provide leads in criminal investigations.

(7) Subsection (e)(2). To collect information from the subject individual would serve notice that he or she is the subject of criminal investigative or law enforcement activity and thereby present a serious impediment to law enforcement.

(8) Subsection (e)(3). To inform individuals as required by this subsection would reveal the existence of an investigation and compromise law enforcement efforts.

(9) Subsection (e)(8). To serve notice would give persons sufficient warning to evade law enforcement efforts.

(10) Subsection (g). This subsection is inapplicable to the extent that the system is exempt from other specific subsections of the Privacy Act.

[FR Doc. 00-22673 Filed 9-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-CJ-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[TX-116-1-7437b; FRL-6862-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Control of Air Pollution From Volatile Organic Compounds, Transfer Operations, Loading and Unloading of Volatile Organic Compounds

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to take direct final action on revisions to the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern Control of Air Pollution from Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Transfer Operations, specifically, the loading and unloading of VOCs from gasoline terminals and bulk plants in the ozone nonattainment areas and in the eastern half of Texas. The EPA is approving these revisions to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comment.

The EPA has explained its reasons for this approval in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no relevant adverse comments, the EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comment, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. The EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by October 5, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs,

Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), at the EPA Region 6 Office listed below. Copies of documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least two working days in advance.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Office of Air Quality, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Alan Shar, P.E., Air Planning Section (6PD-L), EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-6691.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Control of Air Pollution from VOC Transfer Operations, specifically, the loading and unloading of VOCs from gasoline terminals and bulk plants in the ozone nonattainment areas and in the eastern half of Texas. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: August 2, 2000.

**Gregg A. Cooke,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 00-22515 Filed 9-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 241-0241b; FRL-6854-4]

#### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management Districts (SMAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns emergency episodes. We are proposing to approve a local rule to regulate emergency episodes under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by October 5, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, 777 12th Street, 3rd Floor, Sacramento, California 95814-1908.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744-1189.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses SMAQMD Rule 701. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving this rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: July 28, 2000.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00-22652 Filed 9-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[MD-103-3055b; FRL-6862-3]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Air Quality Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Maryland; Control of Emissions From Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).