

Construction and Operation Revised Information for the Water Storage Project on Four Islands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Approval of Permits, San Joaquin and Contra Costa Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns that the project will yield degradation of Delta water with respect to its beneficial use as a source for drinking water. EPA requested that more stringent criteria for total organic carbons be used as a goal in the FEIS.

ERP No. DS-COE-K36083-CA Rating EC2, Guadalupe River Flood Control Plan, Improvement, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding effects on the aquatic environment associated with the potential release of mercury-contaminated sediments and with the degradation and loss wetlands and riparian habitat in the Guadalupe River watershed. EPA urged the Corps and the local project sponsor to avoid and minimize such impacts to the fullest extent and identify appropriate mitigation measures.

ERP No. DS-FHW-L40198-WA Rating EC2, North Spokane Freeway Project, Improvements Transportation through the City of Spokane and Spokane County between I-90, Spokane County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding potential wetland impacts and the lack of analysis of proposed road building activities associated with possible hazardous waste impacts.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FHW-G40154-TX, Loop 1 Extension Project, From Farm-to-Market Road FM-734 (Palmer Lander) to I-35, Funding, Travis and Williamson Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA finds that the final EIS responded reasonably to EPA's comments on the draft EIS, therefore EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40163-CA, CA-238 Construction, near Industrial Parkway to CA-238/I-580 Interchange, Funding, and 404 Permit, City of Hayward, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed continuing objections to the environmental impacts of induced travel demand and cumulative impacts generated by the proposed project. EPA requested monitoring and mitigation for induced travel demand and the implementation of controls to prevent growth inducement.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-23810 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-01-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6869-7]

Intent To Grant an Exclusive Patent License

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of intent to grant an exclusive patent license.

SUMMARY: This is a correction and reissue of the notice of intent to grant an exclusive license originally published in the August 29, 2000 issue of the **Federal Register** (65 FR 52426). The deadline for comments is also extended. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 207 and 37 CFR part 404, EPA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive, royalty-bearing revocable license to practice the invention described and claimed in the patent application listed below, all U.S. patents issuing therefrom, all corresponding patents granted and issued throughout the world, and all reexamined patents and reissued patents granted in connection with such patent application to Horiba Instruments, Incorporated, Irvine, California. The patent application is:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/226,920, entitled "Real-Time On-Road Vehicle Exhaust Gas Modular Flowmeter and Emissions Reporting System," filed January 5, 1999.

The invention was announced as being available for licensing in the March 1, 1999 issue of the **Federal Register** (60 FR 20490). The proposed exclusive license will contain appropriate terms, limitations and conditions to be negotiated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209 and the U.S. Government patent licensing regulations at 37 CFR part 404.

EPA will negotiate the final terms and conditions and grant the exclusive license, unless within 60 days from the date of this Notice, EPA receives, at the address below, written objections to the grant, together with supporting documentation. The documentation from objecting parties having an interest in practicing the above patent application should include an application for exclusive or nonexclusive license with the information set forth in 37 CFR 404.8. The EPA Patent Counsel and other EPA

officials will review all written responses and then make recommendations on a final decision to the Director and Deputy Director, Office of Transportation Air Quality, both of whom have been delegated the authority to issue patent licenses under 35 U.S.C. 207.

DATES: Comments to this notice must be received by EPA at the address listed below by November 14, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Ehrlich, Patent Counsel, Office of General Counsel (Mail Code 2377A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone (202) 564-5457.

Dated: September 7, 2000.

Marla E. Diamond,

Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-23777 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

September 8, 2000.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before November 14,

2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1-A804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060-0757.
Title: FCC Auctions Customer Survey.
Form No.: N/A.
Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.
Respondents: Individuals or households; Business or other for profit.
Number of Respondents: 2,000.
Estimate Hour Per Response: .25 hours per response (2,000 x .25 hrs = 500 hrs.).

Total Annual Burden: 500 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Costs: None.
Frequency of Response: Reporting; On occasion.

Needs and Uses: The information will be used by the Commission to evaluate the competitive bidding methodologies and other operational processes used to date and to improve these techniques for use in future auctions.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0774.
Title: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45 (47 CFR Part 54)

Form No.: N/A.
Type of Review: Extension.
Respondents: Business or Other for Profit; Not for Profit Institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 5,735,638.
Estimated Time Per Response: .34 hrs (avg.).

Total Annual Burden: 1,984,119 Hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.
Frequency of Response: On occasion; Quarterly, Annually, Every five years, Third Party Disclosure, Recordkeeping .

Needs and Uses: Congress directed the Commission to implement a new set of universal service support mechanisms that are explicit and sufficient to advance the universal service principles enumerated in 47 U.S.C. Section 254 and other such principles as the Commission believes are necessary and appropriate for the protection of the public interest, convenience and necessity, and are

consistent with the Act. Part 54 promulgates the rules and requirements to preserve and advance universal service. The collections are necessary to implement Section 254.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0810.
Title: Procedures for Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Form No.: N/A.
Type of Review: Extension.
Respondents: Business or Other for Profit.

Number of Respondents: 110.
Estimated Time Per Response: 56.3 Hour (avg.).

Total Annual Burden: 6200 Hours.
Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.
Frequency of Response: On occasion; Third Party Disclosure.

Needs and Uses: 47 U.S.C. Section 214(e)(6) states that a telecommunications carrier that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a state may request that the Commission determine whether it is eligible. The Commission must evaluate whether such telecommunications carriers meet the eligibility criteria set forth in the Act, specified in the Public Notice, and also the Order issued in CC Docket 96-45 (FCC 00-208). Carriers seeking designation for service provided on non-tribal lands must provide an affirmative statement from a court of competent jurisdiction or the state commission that the state lacks jurisdiction over the carrier.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-23690 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

September 8, 2000.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Shoko B.

Hair, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060-0848.
Expiration Date: 03/31/2001.
Title: Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Docket No. 98-147.

Form No.: N/A.
Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1700 respondents; 95.76 hours per response (avg.); 162,800 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.
Frequency of Response: On occasion; Third Party Disclosure.

Description: In the Advanced Services First Report and Order, issued in CC Docket 98-147, the Commission adopted measures to facilitate the development of competition in the advanced services market. These measures include strengthened collocation rules adopted pursuant to section 251(c)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended which imposes a statutory duty on incumbent local exchange carriers to provide collocation to requesting telecommunications carriers. Recently in an Order on Reconsideration issued in this proceeding, the Commission further strengthens its collocation rules. The following collections of information are necessary to implement Section 251 and to Congress's goal of promoting innovation and investment by all participating in the telecommunications marketplace, in order to stimulate competition for all services, including advanced services. In furtherance of this goal, the Commission imposes certain collections of information on incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs), seeking to deploy advanced services in order to assist incumbent LECs in protecting network integrity.

a. Processing of Collocation Applications. Where neither the state nor the parties to an interconnection agreement set a different deadline, an incumbent LEC must tell the requesting telecommunications carrier whether a collocation application has been accepted or denied within ten calendar days after receiving the application. If the incumbent LEC deems that application unacceptable, it must advise the competitive LEC of any deficiencies within this ten calendar day period. The incumbent LEC must provide sufficient detail so that the requesting carrier has a reasonable opportunity to cure each deficiency. The competitive LEC must