### **Notices**

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 194

Thursday, October 5, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

#### Renewal of Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations

**AGENCY:** Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

**ACTION:** Renewal of Advisory Committee.

**SUMMARY:** The Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries announces the renewal of the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations.

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gloria Walker, 202–694–1854.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Committee is to advise the Joint Board on examinations in actuarial mathematics and methodology. The Joint Board administers such examinations in discharging its statutory mandate to enroll individuals who wish to perform actuarial services with respect to pension plans subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The Committee's advisory functions will include, but will not necessarily be limited to: (1) Considering areas of actuarial knowledge that should be treated on the examinations; (2) developing examination questions; (3) recommending proposed examinations and pass marks; and (4), as requested by the Joint Board, making recommendations relative to the examination program.

Dated: September 29, 2000.

#### Paulette Tino,

Chairman, Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

[FR Doc. 00–25653 Filed 10–4–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-580-815]

#### Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has received a request to conduct a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain coldrolled carbon steel flat products ("coldrolled") from Korea, which has an August anniversary date. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214 (d), we are initiating this new shipper administrative review.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 5, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Bolling or James Doyle, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

#### **Background**

On August 31, 2000, the Department received a timely request from Hyundai Pipe Co., Ltd. ("HDP") in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from Korea, which has an August anniversary date. See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea, 58 FR 44159 (August 19, 1993).

#### **Initiation of Review**

In its request of August 31, 2000, HDP, as required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i) and (b)(2)(iii)(A), certified that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI") January 1, 1992 through June 30, 1992, and that since the investigation was initiated on July 20, 1992, (57 FR 33488, July 29, 1992), it has not been affiliated with any company which exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), HDP submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first entered the subject merchandise to the United States, the volume of that first shipment, and the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping order on cold-rolled steel from Korea. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(h)(1), we intend to issue preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the date of initiation.

In accordance with section 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A) of the Department's regulations, the period of review ("POR") for a new shipper review initiated in the month immediately following the annual anniversary month is the twelve-month period preceding the anniversary month. Therefore, the POR for this new shipper is August 1, 1999 through July 31, 2000.

Concurrent with publication of this notice and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by the above listed company, until the completion of this review.

The interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: September 28, 2000.

#### Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/ CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 00-25619 Filed 10-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### International Trade Administration

[A-580-812]

Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors ("DRAMs") of One Megabit and Above From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Full Sunset Review and Revocation of Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of full sunset review: Revocation of antidumping duty order on DRAMs of one megabit and above from the Republic of Korea.

SUMMARY: On May 30, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on DRAMs of one megabit and above from the Republic of Korea (65 FR 34439) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received comments from both domestic and respondent interested parties. Subsequently, on September 27, 2000, we received a letter from the petitioner, Micron Technology, Inc. ("Micron"), withdrawing its notice of intent to participate in this sunset review, originally filed on November 16, 1999. Further, Micron withdrew its responses from this review and stated its support for revocation of the antidumping order on DRAMs of One Megabit and Above from the Republic of Korea ("DRAMs from Korea''). Because no domestic interested party is now participating in this sunset review, the Department is revoking the order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John P. Maloney, Jr. or James Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1503 or (202) 482–3330, respectively.

#### **Statute and Regulations**

This review was conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations"), and in 19 CFR Part 351 (1999) in general.

Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

#### **Background**

On May 30, 2000, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on DRAMs of one megabit and above from the Republic of Korea pursuant to the Act. In our preliminary results, we determined that revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. In addition, we preliminarily determined that the following margins are likely to prevail for respective manufactures/exporters if the order were revoked: Hyundai-20.88 percent and All Others—4.55 percent.

On July 11, 2000, and on July 12, 2000, we received final versions of case briefs from Hyundai and Micron Technology, Inc. ("Micron"). respectively, within the deadline provided for in 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Also, on July 17, 2000, and on July 18, 2000, Micron and Hyundai, respectively, submitted final versions of rebuttal briefs within the deadline provided for in 19 CFR 351.309(d). The Department held a public hearing on August 9, 2000. Subsequently, on September 27, 2000, Micron withdrew its interest in this sunset review and withdrew its responses from the record.

#### Scope of Review

The products covered by this review include DRAMs of one megabit and above from Korea. Assembled DRAMs include all package types. Unassembled DRAMs include processed wafers, uncut die, and cut die. Processed wafers produced in Korea, but packaged or assembled into memory modules in a third country, are included in the scope; wafers produced in a third country and assembled or packaged in Korea are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review includes memory modules. A memory module is a collection of DRAMs, the sole function of which is memory. Modules include single in-line processing modules ("SIPs"), single in-line memory modules ("SIMMs"), or other collections of DRAMs, whether unmounted or mounted on a circuit board. Modules that contain other parts that are needed to support the function of memory are covered. Only those modules which contain additional items which alter the function of the module to something other than memory, such as video graphics adapter ("VGA") boards and cards, are not included in the scope.

The scope of this review also includes video random access memory semiconductors ("VRAMS"), as well as any future packaging and assembling of DRAMs, and removable memory modules placed on motherboards, with or without a central processing unit ("CPU"), unless the importer of motherboards certifies with the Customs Service that neither it nor a party related to it or under contract to it will remove the modules from the motherboards after importation. The scope of this review does not include DRAMs or memory modules that are re-imported for repair or replacement.

The DRAMs and modules subject to this review are classifiable under subheadings 8471.50.0085, 8471.91.8085, 8542.11.0024, 8542.11.8026, 8542.13.8034, 8471.50.4000, 8473.30.1000, 8542.11.0026, 8542.11.8034, 8471.50.8095, 8473.30.4000, 8542.11.0034, 8542.13.8005, 8471.91.0090, 8473.30.8000, 8542.11.8001, 8542.13.8024, 8471.91.4000, 8542.11.0001, 8542.11.8024 and 8542.13.8026 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS").

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of this review remains dispositive.

#### **Final Results of Review**

Determination To Revoke

Because it withdrew both its notice of intent to participate in this sunset review and its responses from the record, the Department now determines that Micron has not responded to the Notice of Initiation as set out in section 751(c) of the Act. Without responses from Micron or any other domestic interested party on the record of this sunset review, the Department no longer finds that revocation of the order would