Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on September 29, 2000.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–26234 Filed 10–13–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00-ACE-22]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Elkhart, KS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Elkhart, KS.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 65 FR 45707 is effective on 0901 UTC, November 30, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the Federal Register on July 25, 2000 (65 FR 45707). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a noncontroversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on November 30, 2000. No adverse comments were received, and thus this document confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on September 28, 2000.

Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,

 ${\it Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.} \\ {\it [FR Doc. 00-26525 Filed 10-13-00; 8:45 am]}$

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00-ACE-21]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Columbia, MO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Columbia, MO

DATES: The direct final rule published at 65 FR 43686 is effective on 0901 UTC, November 30, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda Mumper, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520A, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2524.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the Federal Register on July 14, 2000 (65 FR 43686). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a noncontroversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on November 30, 2000. No adverse comments were received, and thus this document confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on September 28, 2000.

Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region. [FR Doc. 00–26526 Filed 10–13–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00-ASW-6]

Amendment of Federal Airways in the Vicinity of Dallas/Fort Worth; TX

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends thirteen Federal airways in the vicinity of Dallas/Fort Worth, TX. In the NPRM for this action, the FAA proposed to modify 14 airways. However, after considering aircraft routing through the Shreveport, LA, Approach Control terminal airspace and retention of transition fixes for the Shreveport Regional Airport and Barksdale Air Force Base (AFB), the FAA has decided not to make the proposed modification of V–566. The FAA is taking this action to simplify the airway structure, thereby, enhancing the management of aircraft operations in the area.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, November 30, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bil Nelson, Airspace and Rules Division, ATA-400, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

As a result of a recent airspace review, the FAA has determined that airways in the vicinity of Dallas/Fort Worth, TX, should be amended to improve management of the aircraft operations. On June 12, 2000, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 36805) a proposal to amend fourteen Federal airways. However, after considering aircraft routing through the Shreveport, LA, Approach Control terminal airspace and retention of transition fixes for the Shreveport Regional Airport and Barksdale AFB, the FAA decided not to make the proposed modification of V–566.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. No comments were received. Except for editorial changes, and the decision not to amend V–566, this amendment is the same as that proposed in the NPRM.

The Rule

The FAA is amending part 71 of Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations to revise thirteen Federal airways in the vicinity of Dallas/Fort Worth, TX. The rule amends the following Federal airways: V–15, V–16, and V–17 by modifying the route descriptions; V–63, V–69, V–131, V–305, V–507, V–573 by amending the start points; and V–66, V–163, V–358, and V–407 by modifying the end points. The FAA is taking this action to simplify the airway structure, thereby, enhancing the management of aircraft operations in the area.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Federal airways are published in paragraph 6010(a) of FAA Order 7400.9H dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Federal airways listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E, AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6010(a) Domestic VOR Federal Airways

* * * * *

From Hobby, TX, via Navasota, TX; College Station, TX; Waco, TX; Cedar Creek, TX; Bonham, TX; McAlester, OK; Okmulgee, OK; to Neosho, MO. From Sioux City, IA; INT Sioux City 340° and Sioux Falls, SD, 169° radials; Sioux Falls; Huron, SD; Aberdeen, SD; Bismarck, ND; to Minot, ND.

V-16 [Revised]

V-15 [Revised]

From Los Angeles, CA; Paradise, CA; Palm Springs, CA; Blythe, CA; Buckeye, AZ; Phoenix, AZ; INT Phoenix 155° and Stanfield, AZ, 105° radials; Tucson, AZ; Cochise, AZ; Columbus, NM; El Paso, TX; Salt Flat, TX; Wink, TX; INT Wink 066° and Big Spring, TX, 260° radials; Big Spring; Abilene, TX; Bowie, TX; Bonham, TX; Paris, TX; Texarkana, AR; Pine Bluff, AR; Marvell, AR; Holly Springs, MS; Jacks Creek, TN; Shelbyville, TN; Hinch Mountain, TN; Volunteer, TN; Holston Mountain, TN; Pulaski, VA; Roanoke, VA; Lynchburg, VA; Flat Rock, VA: Richmond, VA: INT Richmond 039° and Patuxent, MD, 228° radials; Patuxent; Smyrna, DE; Cedar Lake, NJ; Coyle, NJ; INT Coyle 036° and Kennedy, NY, 209° radials; Kennedy; Deer Park, NY; Calverton, NY; Norwich, CT; Boston, MA. The airspace within Mexico and the airspace below 2,000 feet MSL outside the United States is excluded. The airspace within Restricted Areas R-5002A, R-5002C, and R-5002D is excluded during their times of use. The airspace within Restricted Areas R-4005 and R-4006 is excluded.

V-17 [Revised]

From Brownsville, TX, via Harlingen, TX; McAllen, TX; 29 miles 12 AGL, 34 miles 25 MSL, 37 miles 12 AGL; Laredo, TX; Cotulla, TX; INT Cotulla 046° and San Antonio, TX, 198° radials; San Antonio; Centex, TX; Waco, TX; Glen Rose, TX; Millsap, TX; Bowie, TX; Ardmore, OK; Will Rogers, OK; Gage, OK; Garden City, KS; to Goodland, KS.

V-63 [Revised]

From Bowie, TX; Texoma, OK; McAlester, OK; Razorback, AR; Springfield, MO; Hallsville, MO; Quincy, IL; Burlington, IA; Moline, IL; Davenport, IA; Rockford, IL; Janesville, WI; Badger, WI; Oshkosh, WI; Stevens Point, WI; Wausau, WI; Rhinelander, WI; to Houghton, MI. Excluding that airspace at and above 10,000 feet MSL from 5 NM north to 46 NM north of Quincy during the time that the Howard West MOA is activated by NOTAM.

* * * * *

V-66 [Revised]

From Mission Bay, CA; Imperial, CA; 13 miles, 24 miles, 25 MSL; Bard, AZ; 12 miles, 35 MSL; INT Bard 089° and Gila Bend, AZ, 261° radials; 46 miles, 35 MSL; Gila Bend; Tucson, AZ, 7 miles wide (3 miles south and 4 miles north of centerline); Douglas, AZ; INT Douglas 064° and Columbus, NM, 277° radials; Columbus; El Paso, TX; 6 miles wide; INT El Paso 109° and Hudspeth 287° radials; 6 miles wide; Hudspeth; Pecos, TX; Midland, TX; INT Midland 083° and Abilene, TX, 252° radials; Abilene; to Millsap, TX.

V-69 [Revised]

From El Dorado, AR; Pine Bluff, AR; INT Pine Bluff 038° and Walnut Ridge, AR, 187° radials; Walnut Ridge; Farmington, MO; Troy, IL; Capital, IL; Pontiac, IL; to Joliet, IL.

V-131 [Revised]

From Okmulgee, OK; Tulsa, OK; Chanute, KS; to Topeka, KS.

* * * * *

V-163 [Revised]

From Matamoros, Mexico; via Brownsville, TX; 27 miles standard width, 37 miles 7 miles wide (3 miles E and 4 miles W of centerline); Corpus Christi, TX; Three Rivers, TX; INT Three Rivers 345° and San Antonio, TX, 168° radials; San Antonio; Lampasas, TX; to Glen Rose, TX.

V-305 [Revised]

From El Dorado, AR; Little Rock, AR; Walnut Ridge, AR; Malden, MO; Cunningham, KY; Pocket City, IN; INT Pocket City 046° and Hoosier, IN, 205° radials; Hoosier; INT Hoosier 025° and Brickyard, IN, 185° radials; Brickyard; INT Brickyard 038° and Kokomo, IN, 182° radials; Kokomo.

V-358 [Revised]

From San Antonio, TX, via Stonewall, TX; Lampasas, TX; INT Lampasas 041° and Waco, TX, 249° radials; Waco.

V-407 [Revised]

From Harlingen, TX; via INT Harlingen 006° and Corpus Christi, TX, 193° radials; Corpus Christi; via INT Corpus Christi 039° and Palacios, TX, 241° radials; Palacios; via INT Palacios 017° and Humble, TX, 242° radials; Humble; Daisetta, TX; Lufkin, TX; Elm Grove, LA; to El Dorado, AR.

V-507 [Revised]

From Ardmore, OK; Will Rogers, OK, via INT Will Rogers 284° and Gage, OK, 152° radials; Gage; Liberal, KS; to Garden City, KS.

V-573 [Revised]

From Will Rogers, OK; INT Will Rogers 195° and Ardmore, OK, 327° radials; Ardmore; Bonham, TX; Sulpher Springs, TX; Texarkana, AR; INT Texarkana 037° and Hot Springs, AR, 225° radials; Hot Springs; to Little Rock, AR.

* * * * *

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 5, 2000.

Reginald C. Matthews,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Division. [FR Doc. 00–26512 Filed 10–13–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Part 383

[Docket No. OST 2000-8058]

RIN 2105-AC92

Civil Penalties

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (AIR 21) revised several civil penalty provisions applicable to violations of the aviation economic requirements of Title 49. By this rule, the Department of Transportation (Department) is modifying its regulations to reflect these revised civil penalties. This rule also reviews those civil penalties unaffected by the recent statutory amendments to determine whether they should be adjusted to recognize inflation that has occurred since the adoption of part 383 in 1997. This review is required under the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective on November 15, 2000. However, the statutory amendments it reflects became effective on April 5, 2000, by their own terms.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nicholas Lowry, Attorney, Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (C-70), Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366–9349.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Civil Penalty Adjustments Required by AIR 21.

The Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (AIR 21) among other things amended the statutory provisions proscribing discriminatory practices in air transportation and the civil penalties for violations of those provisions. Section 706 of AIR 21 creates a new section 40127 of Title 49 which specifically prohibits discrimination by air carriers or foreign air carriers on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex or ancestry. In addition, section 707(a) of AIR 21 extended the anti-discrimination provisions of 49 U.S.C. 41705, the Air Carrier Access Act, which formerly applied only to air carriers, to foreign air carriers, as well.

With respect to the civil penalties the Department may impose, section 707(b) of AIR 21 amended the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 46301(a)(3) to apply a \$10,000 maximum civil penalty to each violation of section 41705. In addition, section 222 of AIR 21 established \$2,500 as the maximum civil penalty amount for each violation of section 40127 or 41712 (the latter of which prohibits unfair and deceptive trade practices and unfair methods of competition).

The recent legislation does not affect the civil penalty provisions applicable to violations of all other aviation economic requirements, or Department rules or orders not proscribed or issued under section 40127, 41705 or 41712. For example, penalties for violations of reporting requirements, cases involving certain unauthorized operations, or cases involving violations of other Department orders or rules not issued pursuant to the authority of 49 U.S.C. 40127, 41705, or 41712, remain at the current level of \$1,100 per violation under AIR 21.

II. Inflation Adjustment of Other Civil Penalty Provisions

The Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–134, sec. 31001) requires each agency to adjust each civil monetary penalty within its jurisdiction by the inflation adjustment described in section 5 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101–410). Section 5 requires the adjustment to be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$1,000 for penalties greater than \$1,000 and less than or equal to \$10,000. The adjustment is to be the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) from June of the calendar year in which the penalty was last adjusted to June of the year preceding the year in which the revision is proposed. Under the 1996 act, agencies are to review civil penalties which they administer at least once every four years. The civil penalties to which the adjustment would apply here are those civil penalty provisions not affected by AIR 21, that is, penalties for all violations other than cases involving 49 U.S.C. 40127, 41705, or 41712 or regulations or orders issued thereunder.

Since the total inflation as measured by the CPI between June 1997 and June 2000 was approximately 7 percent, an appropriate increase in the civil penalty amount would be \$77. In view of the rounding provision of the statute, therefore, no adjustment of the civil penalty amount is warranted at this time.

III. Waiver of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

In developing this final rule, we are waiving the usual notice of proposed rulemaking and public comment procedures set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553). The APA provides an exception to the notice and comment procedures when an agency finds there is good cause for dispensing with such procedures on the basis that they are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. We have determined that under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B) good cause exists for dispensing with the notice of proposed rulemaking and public comment procedures for this rule. Specifically, this rulemaking is required by the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century, the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, with no issues of policy discretion. Accordingly, we believe that opportunity for prior comment is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest, and are issuing these revised regulations as a final rule.

IV. Regulatory Impact Statement

Executive Order 12866 and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures

This final rule has been evaluated in accordance with the existing policies and procedures and is considered to be not significant under both Executive Order 12866 and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures. The final rule is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, because it is limited to the adoption of statutory language, without interpretation. This final rule amends the regulations implementing the civil penalty provisions to comply with AIR-21 and reviews the civil penalties unaffected by the recent statutory amendments to determine if inflation adjustments are necessary in accordance with Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act and the Debt Collection Improvement Act. This rule simply adjusts or sets penalties for those who violate the regulations. As a result, we have determined that there are no economic consequences flowing from this rule.