| Document            | Pages | Revision | Date                |
|---------------------|-------|----------|---------------------|
| ASB CF6–50 72–A1197 | 1–28  | Original | December, 14, 2000. |
| ASB CF6–50 72–A1201 | 1–21  | Original | December 22, 2000.  |
| ASB CF6–50 72–A1201 | 1–22  | 1        | February 6, 2001.   |
| SB CF6–50 72–1203   | 1–9   | Original | November 22, 2000.  |
| SB CF6-50 72-1203   | 1–12  | 1        | February 7, 2001.   |

The incorporations by reference were approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from General Electric Company via Lockheed Martin Technology Services, 10525 Chester Road, Suite C, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215, telephone (513) 672–8400, fax (513) 672–8422. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### Effective Date

(o) This amendment becomes effective on March 21, 2001.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on February 23, 2001.

### Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01–4939 Filed 3–5–01; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-SW-01-AD; Amendment 39-12134; AD 2001-03-51]

### RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation Model S–76B and S–76C Helicopters

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

SUMMARY: This document publishes in the Federal Register an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2001–03–51, which was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation (Sikorsky) Model S–76B and S–76C helicopters by individual letters. This AD requires, for certain main rotor shafts, initial and recurring fluorescent penetrant inspections. Replacing each

affected main rotor shaft (shaft) on or before reaching 1,000 hours time-inservice (TIS) is also required. This amendment is prompted by four reports of shaft cracks. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of the shaft and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

**DATES:** Effective March 21, 2001, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2001–03–51, issued on January 30, 2001, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 21, 2001.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before May 7, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001–SW–01–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov.

The applicable service information may be obtained from Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation, Attn: Manager, Commercial Tech Support, 6900 Main Street, Stratford, Connecticut 06614, phone (203) 386–3001, fax (203) 386–5983. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne Gaulzetti, Aviation Safety Engineer, Boston Aircraft Certification Office, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803, telephone (781) 238–7156, fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 30, 2001, the FAA issued Emergency AD 2001–03–51 for Sikorsky Model S–76B and S–76C helicopters, which requires, for certain shafts, initial and recurring fluorescent penetrant inspections. Replacing each affected shaft on or before reaching 1,000 hours TIS is also required. That action was prompted by four reports of shaft cracks. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the shaft and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

The FAA has reviewed Sikorsky Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. 76–66–32A, Revision A, dated January 17, 2001, which specifies identifying main gear box assemblies containing certain shafts, conducting a recurring fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI), and removing certain main gear box assemblies containing certain shafts.

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other Sikorsky Model S-76B and S-76C helicopters of the same type designs, the FAA issued Emergency AD 2001-03-51 to prevent failure of the shaft and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter. The AD requires, for certain main rotor shafts, an FPI before further flight and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 20 hours TIS or 80 landings, whichever occurs first. Replacing each affected shaft on or before reaching 1,000 hours TIS is also required. The actions must be accomplished in accordance with the ASB described previously. The short compliance time involved is required because the previously described critical unsafe condition can adversely affect the structural integrity of the helicopter. Therefore, FPI's and removal of each affected shaft are required at the specified time intervals, and this AD must be issued immediately.

Since it was found that immediate corrective action was required, notice and opportunity for prior public comment thereon were impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause existed to make the AD effective immediately by individual letters issued on January 30, 2001, to all known U.S. owners and operators of

Sikorsky Model S–76B and S–76C helicopters. These conditions still exist, and the AD is hereby published in the **Federal Register** as an amendment to 14 CFR 39.13 to make it effective to all persons.

The FAA estimates that 7 helicopters of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD. It will take approximately 4 work hours per helicopter to accomplish each FPI and 5 work hours to replace each shaft. The average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$25,000 per shaft. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$178,780 (assuming 1 FPI per helicopter and 1 shaft replacement on each helicopter). Additional FPI's would cost \$240 per inspection and additional shaft replacements would cost \$25,300 per helicopter.

### **Comments Invited**

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their mailed comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 2001–SW–01–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

## Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

2001–03–51 Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation: Amendment 39–12134. Docket No. 2001–SW–01–AD.

Applicability: Model S–76B and S–76C helicopters, with main rotor shaft assembly (shaft), part number (P/N) 76351–09630 all dash numbers, serial number (S/N) C213–00274, C213–00275, C213–00276, C213–00277, C213–00278, C213–00299, C213–00280, C213–00292, C213–00294, C213–00295, C213–00296, C213–00297, C213–00299, and C213–00300, installed, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability

provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the shaft and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Before further flight and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 20 hours time-inservice (TIS) or 80 landings, whichever occurs first, conduct a fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI) in the area above the uppers shaft output seal and below the lower hub attachment flange in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraphs 3.B.(1) through 3.B.(5), of Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation (Sikorsky) Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. 76–66–32A, Revision A, dated January 17, 2001. Contacting Sikorsky is not required by this AD. If a crack is found, replace the shaft with an airworthy shaft before further flight.

**Note 2:** Accomplishing the FPI before further flight is not required if previously accomplished in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraphs 3.C.(1) through 3.C.(5), of Sikorsky ASB No. 76–66–31B, Revision B, dated November 7, 2000.

- (b) On or before 1000 hours TIS, replace each affected shaft with an airworthy shaft.
- (c) This AD revises the Limitations section of the maintenance manual by establishing a retirement life of 1000 hours TIS for the affected shafts.
- (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Boston Aircraft Certification Office, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Boston Aircraft Certification Office.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Boston Aircraft Certification Office.

- (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with 14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished if the FPI or a visual inspection, using a 10X or higher magnifying glass, does not reveal a crack.
- (f) The FPI shall be done in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraphs 3.B.(1) through 3.B.(5), of Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation Alert Service Bulletin No. 76–66–32A, Revision A, dated January 17, 2001. This incorporation by

reference was approved the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation, Attn: Manager, Commercial Tech Support, 6900 Main Street, Stratford, Connecticut 06614, phone (203) 386–3001, fax (203) 386–5983. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on March 21, 2001, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2001–03–51, issued January 30, 2001, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on February 20, 2001.

### Eric Bries,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01–5165 Filed 3–5–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-01-AD; Amendment 39-12141; AD 2001-05-05]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes. This action requires repetitive detailed visual inspections to find discrepancies of the installation of the midspar fuse pins of the inboard and outboard struts, and follow-on actions, if necessary. This action also provides for an optional terminating modification for the repetitive inspections. This action is necessary to find and fix discrepancies of the installation of the midspar fuse pins, which could result in loss of the secondary retention capability of the fuse pins, migration of the fuse pins, and consequent loss of the strut and engine from the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective March 21, 2001.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 21, 2001.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before May 7, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001-NM-01-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anmiarcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2001-NM-01-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tamara Anderson, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2771; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA has received several reports indicating that, during routine maintenance, loose primary retention nuts of the midspar fuse pins of the inboard and outboard struts were found on certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes. One report indicated that the primary retention nut migrated into the secondary retention washer. The cause of these discrepancies was determined to be inadequate run-on torque of the primary retention nut. Such conditions, if not fixed, could result in the loss of secondary retention capabilities of the fuse pins, migration of the fuse pins, reduction of the joint capability of the midspar fittings to carry the design loads, and consequent loss of the strut and engine from the airplane.

# **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 747–54A2206, Revision 1, dated February 22, 2001, which describes procedures for repetitive detailed visual inspections to find discrepancies (incorrect thread protrusion, which is less than two threads protruding from the nut between the nut and the secondary retention washer; incorrect gap between the fuse pin primary nut and secondary retention washer; cracked or broken torque stripe) of the installation of the midspar fuse pins of the inboard and outboard struts, and follow-on actions, if necessary.

If the primary nut has backed off and is contacting the secondary retention washer; the follow-on actions include, but are not limited to:

- Inspection of the fuse pin threads for damage,
- Installation of a new primary nut,
- Replacement of damaged fuse pins with new pins, and
- Installation of a torque stripe.

If the primary nut has backed off and is not contacting the secondary retention washer, follow-on actions consist of repeating the inspection of the fuse pin installation at a reduced inspection interval.

The service bulletin also provides for an optional terminating modification which consists of replacement of the primary nut of the midspar fuse pin with a new nut and installation of the torque stripe, a detailed visual inspection of the fuse pin threads for damage, and replacement of the fuse pin, if necessary, Doing these actions ends the repetitive inspections.

## Other Relevant Rulemaking

This AD is related to AD 95-10-16, amendment 39-9233 (60 FR 27008, May 22, 1995); AD 95-13-05, amendment 39-9285 (60 FR 33333, June 28, 1995); AD 95-13-06, amendment 39-9286 (60 FR 33338, June 28, 1995); AD 95–13–07, amendment 39-9287 (60 FR 33336, June 28, 1995), and AD 99-10-10, amendment 39-11163 (64 FR 25197, May 11, 1999). The replacement of fuse pins in the upper link, midspar fittings, and diagonal brace of the nacelle strut with new corrosion-resistant pins is required by those AD's as part of the modification of the nacelle strut/wing structure for earlier Model 747 series airplanes. The actions required by this AD are to be done if any of the AD's specified above, or the production equivalent, has been accomplished.