Section 6(b) of the Act on June 26, 2000 (65 FR 39429).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 2, 2000. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2000 (65 FR 83095).

#### Constance K. Robinson.

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 01–7769 Filed 3–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Secure Digital Music Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on March 27, 2000, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Secure Digital Music Initiative ("SDMI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Unitech Electronics Co., Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Aiwa Co. Limited, Tokyo, JAPAN; Analog Devices, Inc., Norwood, MA; Earjam, Inc., San Jose, CA; DnC Tech, Inc., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Cognicity, Inc., Edina, MN; HitHive Inc., Seattle, WA; Sunhawk.com Corporation, Seattle, WA; Motorola, Schaumburg, IL; Digital Way Co. Limited, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Telecom Systems Intl. Co. Limited, Tokyo, JAPAN; Viatech, Inc., Natick, MA; Cirrus Logic, Austin, TX; Blue Spike, New York, NY; MusicMatch, San Diego, CA; Broadcom HomeNetworking, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Sealtronic Technology, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Tornado Entertainment, London, England, UNITED KINGDOM; Digital Media on Demand, Allston, MA; Aegisoft Corporation, Rockville, MD; Voquette, Inc., Kfar Saba, ISRAEL; and Vedalabs, Inc., Baton Rouge, LA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and SDMI intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 28, 1999, SDMI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 2, 1999 (65 FR 67591).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 4, 1999. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 12, 2000 (65 FR 30612).

#### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 01–7768 Filed 3–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Test & Diagnostics Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 1, 2000, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Test & Diagnostics Consortium, Inc. ("TDC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Aeronautical Radio, Inc. (ARINC), Annapolis, MD; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Palo Alto, CA; DoD, Lakehurst, NJ; Lockheed Martin Corporation, Bethesda, MD; Marconi Integrated Systems, San Diego, CA; Miltope Corporation, Hope Hull, AL; Northrup Grumman Corporation, Los Angeles, CA; and Raytheon Systems Company, Lexington, MA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and TDC intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 12, 1999, TDC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2000 (65 FR 38579).

### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 01–7767 Filed 3–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Water Heater Industry Joint Research and Development Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on February 9, 2000 and February 26, 2001, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act''), the Water Heater Industry Joint Research and Development Consortium ("the Consortium") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing an extension of its term. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the term of the Consortium has been changed from a term of five years beginning February 27, 1995 to a period of seven years.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and the Consortium intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 28, 1995, the consortium filed it original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 27, 1995 (60 FR 15789).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 3, 1999. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 12, 2000 (65 FR 30613).

### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 01–7770 Filed 3–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

# MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), that at 2:30 p.m. on Friday, March 23, 2001, the members of the Merit Systems Protection Board met in closed session. The purpose of the meeting was to determine the disposition of certain motions and petitions filed in the case of Azdell and Fishman v. Office of Personnel Management, docket numbers DC-300A-97-0368-N-1, DC-300A-97-0368-N-2, DC-97-0369-N-1, DC-97-0369-N-2, DC-300A-97-0368-C-1, DC-300A-97-0368-C-2, DC-300A-97-0369-C-1, and DC-300A-97-0369-C-2.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined that Board business required its consideration of these matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10).

The meeting was held in the Board's conference room at 1615 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20419

Dated: March 27, 2001.

#### Robert E. Taylor,

Clerk of the Board.

[FR Doc. 01-7931 Filed 3-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1400-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388]

## PPL Susquehanna, LLC; Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–14, and NPF–22, issued to PPL Susquehanna, LLC (the licensee), for operation of Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Luzerne County, Pennsylvania.

#### **EnvironmentaL Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would make administrative changes in the Technical Specifications (TSs), correcting the wording of the legends in Figure 3.4.10.1, "Reactor Vessel Pressure vs. Minimum Vessel Temperature," for both units, and correcting administrative errors in Section 5.6.5.b, regarding the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR), for Unit 2.

The proposed action is in accordance with the application for amendment submitted by PP&L, Inc. (the licensee before July 1, 2000), dated January 13, 2000, as supplemented by letter submitted by PPL Susquehanna, LLC (the licensee on and after July 1, 2000), dated September 6, 2000.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed amendments would revise the wording of parts of the units' TSs, which are currently in error. The proposed amendments involve administrative changes to the TSs only. No actual plant equipment, regulatory requirements, operating practices, or analyses are affected by these proposed amendments.

 ${\it Environmental\ Impacts\ of\ the\ Proposed} \\ Action$ 

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is no significant environmental impact if the amendments are granted. No changes will be made to the design, licensing bases, and applicable procedures at the units. Other than the administrative changes, no other changes will be made to the TSs. Consequently, the action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2 dated June 1981.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on February 9, 2001, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Mr. Richard Janati, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed amendment. The State official had no comments.

## **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see PP&L, Inc.'s letter dated January 13, 2000, as supplemented by PPL Susquehanna, LLC's letter dated September 6, 2000. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publically available documents will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site http://www.nrc.gov (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of March 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Robert G. Schaaf,

Project Manager, Project Directorate I–1, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 01–7790 Filed 3–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Working Group on Termination of Uranium Mill Licenses in Agreement States

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of formation of working group and public meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is announcing the formation of a working group on Termination of Uranium Mill Licenses in Agreement States. The working group will provide recommendations to the NRC on the NRC concurrence process for uranium license termination in Agreement States. The working group is composed of representatives from the NRC and Agreement States.

**DATES:** The first meeting will be held on April 24, 2001, from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. at the NRC Headquarters,11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–3–B4, Rockville, Maryland 20852. Agreement State representatives will participate in the