

e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

Title: Records to be Kept by Employers—FLSA.

OMB Number: 1215-0017.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions; Farms; Federal Government; and State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Frequency: Weekly.

Annual Respondents: 5.8 million.

Annual Responses: 5.8 million.

Estimated Time per Recordkeeper: 1 hour.

Total Burden Hours: 926,156.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: These records are maintained in order that employer compliance with the Fair Labor Standards Act can be determined by the U.S. Department of Labor.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-8340 Filed 4-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 19, 2001.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Darrin King at (202) 693-4129 or E-Mail King-Darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for MSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316) within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary

for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

Title: Application for a Permit to Fire More than 20 Boreholes for the Use of Nonpermissible Blasting Units, Explosives, and Shot-Firing Units.

OMB Number: 1219-0025.

Affected public: Business or other for-profit.

Frequency: On occasion.

Number of Respondents: 55.

Number of Annual Responses: 161.

Estimated Time Per Response: Varies from approximately 20 minutes to post a misfire notice to approximately 1 hour to apply for a permit.

Total Burden Hours: 90.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$650.

Description: 30 CFR 75.1321 and 77.1909-1 allows coal mine operators to apply for and be granted a permit to use nonpermissible explosives and nonpermissible shot-firing units. When a misfire cannot be disposed of, 30 CFR 75.1327 requires that notices of misfires be posted by a qualified person. The continued approval for these information collection requirements is necessary to ensure the safety of miners when nonpermissible blasting items are used by mine operators.

Ira Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-8341 Filed 4-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 19, 2001.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Darrin King at (202) 693-4129 or E-Mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ESA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

Title: Certification by School Official.

OMB Number: 1215-0061.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Government and Not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: Annually.

Annual Respondents: 1,000.

Annual Responses: 1,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 10 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 167.
Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The Form CM-981 is completed by a school official to verify whether a beneficiary's dependent, aged 18-23, qualifies as a full-time student under the provisions of the Blacklung Benefits Act, 30 U.S.C. 902(g) and 20 CFR 725.209 or 20 CFR 410.370.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-8342 Filed 4-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,000 and NAFTA-3402]

Barry Callebaut Usa, Incorporated, Van Leer Division, Jersey City, New Jersey; Notice of Negative Determination on Remand

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Secretary of Labor's motion for a voluntary remand for further investigation in *Former Employees of Barry Callebaut v. Herman, United States Secretary of Labor*, No. 00-05-00202.

The Department's initial denial of Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) for the workers producing chocolate and ingredients at Barry Callebaut Usa, Inc., was based on the finding that criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The decision was signed on December 12, 1999, and published in the **Federal Register** on December 28, 1999 (64 FR 72691).

The Department's initial denial of North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) for the same worker group, was based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of paragraph (a)(1) of the group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. The notice was issued on November 15, 1999, and published in the **Federal Register** on February 4, 2000 (65 FR 5691).

The petitioners request for reconsideration of the Department's negative determinations for TA-W-37,000 and NAFTA-3402, resulted in a negative determination on the application, which was issued on March 6, 2000, and published in the **Federal**

Register on March 15, 2000 (65 Fed. Reg. 13991).

On remand, the Department contacted officials of Barry Callebaut Usa, Incorporated, to obtain additional information to address the petitioners claims regarding the shift in production and machinery from the Jersey City, New Jersey plant to Canada. The Department was informed that the contact person identified in the petitions, and in the request for reconsideration, was no longer employed with the company. The Department did, however, locate the individual, who did not provide any new information regarding the transfer of production and the disposition of the machinery at the Jersey City plant.

The Workers of Barry Callebaut Usa, Incorporated, Van Leer Division, Jersey City, New Jersey, produced chocolate, sugar-free chocolate and snaps. The workers also produced chocolate liquor, cocoa butter and cocoa cake, which are ingredients used to make the finished products. This new information with respect to the cocoa cake differs from the initial investigation finding that cocoa powder was produced at the plant. Barry Callebaut officials report that cocoa cake is further processed to make cocoa powder. Other new information obtained from the company show that the workers producing chocolate, sugar-free chocolate and snaps are separately identifiable from the workers producing chocolate liquor, cocoa butter and cocoa cake.

Findings on remand revealed that the vast majority of chocolate, sugar-free chocolate and snap production at Jersey City was shifted to other Barry Callebaut domestic locations. A negligible amount of these articles was shifted from the subject firm plant to Canada. Company imports of chocolate, sugar-free chocolate and snap are insignificant.

Findings on remand with respect to the chocolate liquor, cocoa butter and cocoa cake produced in Jersey City, show a shift in production to other domestic locations of Barry Callebaut. A negligible amount of these articles was shifted to Canada. The company data for 1998 and 1999, show that imports of chocolate liquor are negligible. The company imports cake but did increase their purchases from 1998 to 1999. The company was significantly increased their domestic production of cake during the relevant period. Company imports of cocoa butter account for a negligible portion of the company's domestic needs.

On remand, Barry Callebaut submitted data for 1998 and 1999, which show increases in domestic sales and production, on a company-wide

basis, of finished products and the ingredients.

The domestic company locations producing candy and ingredients are located in St. Albans, Vermont, Pennsauken, New Jersey and Piscataway, New Jersey, with the headquarters for these locations in St. Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada.

Other findings on remand show that after the purchase and analysis of production at the Jersey City factory, Barry Callebaut officials determined the equipment and machinery were obsolete and not cost efficient. Some equipment used for the production of specific panning products was shifted to the Piscataway, New Jersey plant. The vast majority of other equipment and machinery was shifted to the company's domestic and Canadian locations to be used to produce product lines that had not been produced at the subject plant. Some equipment was for sale or used as a write-off.

Conclusion

After reconsideration on remand, I affirm the original notices of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance and NAFTA-TAA for workers and former workers of Barry Callebaut Usa, Inc., Van Leer Division, Jersey City, New Jersey.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 22nd day of March 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-8334 Filed 4-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,458 and NAFTA-4373]

Country Roads, Inc. Greenville, MI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of January 17, 2001, the company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notices were signed on January 10, 2001, and were published in the **Federal Register** on February 8, 2001 (66 FR 9599) and (66 FR 9600), respectively.