

regulated under 10 CFR Parts 40, 70, and 76. The facilities currently include gaseous diffusion plants, highly enriched uranium fuel fabrication facilities (one of which is NFS), low-enriched uranium fuel fabrication facilities, and a uranium hexafluoride (UF₆) production facility. These facilities possess large quantities of materials that are potentially hazardous (i.e., radioactive, toxic, and/or flammable) to the workers, public, and environment. Also, some of the facilities possess information and material important to national security.

The goal of this revision project is to have an oversight program that: (1) Provides earlier and more objective indications of facility performance in the areas of safety and national security, (2) increases stakeholder confidence in the NRC, and (3) increases regulatory effectiveness, efficiency, and realism. To this end, the NRC is striving to make the oversight program more risk-informed and performance-based. The oversight revision project is described in SECY-99-188, "Evaluation and Proposed Revision of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facility Safety Inspection Program," and in SECY-00-0222, "Status of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facility Oversight Program Revision." SECY-99-188 and SECY-00-0222, as well as other background information, are available in the Public Document Room and on the NRC Web Page at <http://www.nrc.gov>.

Purpose of Meeting: To obtain stakeholder views for improving the NRC oversight program for ensuring fuel cycle licensees and certificate holders maintain protection of worker and public health and safety, protection of the environment, and safeguards for special nuclear material and classified matter in the interest of national security. The public meeting will focus on the revisions that are being made to the program, and on how interested parties can provide input to the change process.

Date and Location: Members of the public, industry, and other stakeholders are invited to attend and participate in the meeting, which is scheduled for 10:00 to 10:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 18, 2001. The meeting will be held in the NFS Training Center in Erwin, TN.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Patrick Castleman, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-8118, e-mail pic@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 6th day of April 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Patrick Castleman,

Project Manager, Inspection Section, Safety and Safeguards Support Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 01-9473 Filed 4-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel; Meeting Notice

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L., 94-463, Stat. 770-776) the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), on October 2, 2000, announced the establishment of the Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). The IIEP functions as a cross-disciplinary oversight group to independently monitor and evaluate the results of the first year of implementation of the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). A Charter governing the IIEP functions as a Federal Advisory Committee was filed with Congress on October 17, 2000, after consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration. The IIEP will hold its sixth meeting on April 25, 2001, in the ACRS Conference Room T-2B3, located at the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The IIEP meeting participants are listed below along with their affiliation:

A. Randolph Blough—U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Kenneth Brockman—U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mary Ferdig—Ph.D. Candidate, Organization Development Program, Benedictine University; Ferdig Inc. Organizational Research and Development
Steve Floyd—Nuclear Energy Institute
David Garchow—PSEG Nuclear
Rod Krich—Exelon Corporation
Robert Laurie—California Energy Commission
James Moorman, III—U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Loren Plisco—U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Steven Reynolds—U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
A. Edward Scherer—Southern California Edison Company
James Setser—Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Raymond Shadis—New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution
James Trapp—U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

A tentative agenda of the meeting is outlined as follows:

April 25, 2001

8:00 am Introduction / Meeting Objectives and Goals / Review of Meeting Minutes from April 2-3, 2001
8:30 am Discussion on Draft Panel Report
12:00 pm Lunch
1:00 pm Discussion on Draft Panel Report
4:00 pm Public Comments/General Discussion/Agenda Planning
5:00 pm Adjourn

Meetings of the IIEP are open to the members of the public. Oral or written views may be presented by the members of the public, including members of the nuclear industry. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify Mr. Loren R. Plisco (Telephone 404/562-4501, e-mail LRP@nrc.gov) or Mr. John D. Monninger (Telephone 301/415-3495, e-mail JDM@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting date, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made to allow necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras will be permitted during this meeting.

Further information regarding topics of discussion; whether the meeting has been canceled, rescheduled, or relocated; and the Panel Chairman's ruling regarding requests to present oral statements and time allotted, may be obtained by contacting Mr. Loren R. Plisco or Mr. John D. Monninger between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. EST.

IIEP meeting transcripts and meeting reports will be available from the Commission's Public Document Room. Transcripts will be placed on the agency's web page.

Dated: April 11, 2001.

Andrew Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-9472 Filed 4-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATE: Weeks of April 16, 23, 30, May 7, 14, 21, 2001.

PLACE: Commissioner's Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of April 16, 2001

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of April 16, 2001.

Week of April 23, 2001—Tentative*Tuesday, April 24, 2001*

10:25 a.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)

10:30 a.m.—Discussion of Intragovernmental Issues (Closed—Ex. 9)

Week of April 30, 2001—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of April 30, 2001.

Week of May 7, 2001—Tentative*Thursday, May 10, 2001*

10:25 a.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)

10:30 a.m.—Briefing on Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (RES) Programs and Performance (Public Meeting) (Contact: James Johnson, 301-415-6802)

Friday, May 11, 2001

10:30 a.m.—Meeting with Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Larkins, 301-415-7360)

Week of May 14, 2001—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of May 14, 2001.

Week of May 21, 2001—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of May 21, 2001.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/schedule.htm>

* * * * *

This notice is distributed by mail to several subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301-415-1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to dkw@nrc.gov.

Dated: April 12, 2001.

David Louis Gamberoni,*Technical Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-9608 Filed 4-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415-1292. Contact person for more information: David Louis Gamberoni (301) 415-1651.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of an Emergency Information Collection: Customer Satisfaction Survey****AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of an emergency information collection. The Customer Satisfaction Survey will be used to survey the contractors of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS). The collection of this information is authorized by 5 U.S.C. 4703. The purposes are to identify DFAS's strengths and areas for improvement, and to provide an opportunity for DFAS's customers to communicate their needs. Approximately 6,000 surveys will be distributed. Each survey takes approximately 10 minutes to complete. We expect 1,800 responses for an annual burden of 300 hours.

Comments are particularly invited on:

- whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of DFAS, and whether it will have practical utility;
- whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodologies; and
- ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, or e-mail mbtoomey@opm.gov.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before April 27, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to:

Donna J. Gregory, Assistant Director, Personnel Resources and Development Center, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 6500, Washington, DC 20415

and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory

Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503

Office of Personnel Management.

Steven R. Cohen,*Acting Director.*

[FR Doc. 01-9477 Filed 4-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-P**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT****Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a New Information Collection: Standard Form 2821****AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of a new information collection. SF 2821, Agency Certification of Insurance Status, is completed by agencies when an employee's life insurance stops or is scheduled to stop, except when the employee voluntarily cancels coverage, or the employee is immediately transferring to another position which will provide eligibility for Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance (FEGLI) coverage. This collection allows agencies and/or the retirement system to accurately report the level of coverage and value of the employee's life insurance for conversion and portability purposes.

The SF 2821 also will be used by family members who wish to convert Option C coverage, as well as by assignees and separated employees who wish to convert some or all of their coverages or port Option B coverage. Compensationers and retirees losing compensation or annuity benefits will use the form to convert their coverage(s). The SF 2821 will incorporate the function of the SF 2819, Notice of Conversion Privilege. Upon publication of the revised SF 2821, the SF 2819 will be obsolete.

Comments are particularly invited on: whether this information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways in which we can minimize the