EIS No. 010135, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FHW, VA, Outer Connector Study—Northwest Quadrant Transportation Improvement, from I–95, US 17 and VA–3, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Stafford and Spotsylvania Counties, WA, Comment Period Ends: July 02, 2001, Contact: Roberto Foresca-Martinez (804) 775–3320.

Dated: April 24, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–10554 Filed 4–26–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6617–6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–K65230–CA Rating EC2, Fuels Reduction for Community Protection Phase 1 Project on the Six Rivers National Forest, Proposes to Reduce Fuels High Severity Burned Stands, Lower Trinity Ranger District, Humboldt and Trinity Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to purpose and project scope, and the need for additional information on the transportation system in the area. EPA also suggested that the final EIS include additional mitigation measures to address sedimentation and temperature issues in affected streams.

ERP No. D–AFS–L65368–ID Rating EC2, Curfew National Grassland Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Oneida County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the range of alternatives, and the need for additional information on impacts to air and water quality, and cumulative impacts. EPA suggests that the final EIS include a modified alternative within the range of alternatives that considers a grazing limit of 1000 acres or less. In addition, the FEIS should explain the significance of the changes in sagebrush canopy cover that would occur under each alternative.

ERP No. D–BLM–J02011–00 Rating EO2, Programmatic EIS—Southern Ute Indian Reservation Oil and Gas Development, Implementation, San Juan Basin, LaPlata, Archuleta, Montezuma Counties, CO and Rio Arriba and San Juan Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with potential adverse impacts from temporary drilling, construction and well service and maintenance activities on 171 acres of wetlands and to federally threatened and endangered species (TES) including protection to active bald eagle nesting areas. The final EIS should include additional information to calculate cumulative impacts to wetlands and TES.

ERP No. D–FAA–E40785–FL Rating EC2, Fort Lauderdale—Hollywood International Airport, Proposed Expansion of Runway 9R–2FL and other Associated Improvements, Funding, Broward County, FL.

Summary: EPA is concerned about the insufficiency of the NEPA air quality analysis, potential noise impacts of the Proposed Project in EJ and non-EJ residential communities near the airport, the predicted loss of tidal mangrove wetlands, the limiting of the alternatives analysis, as well as the potential safety concerns of the proposed runway bridge.

ERP No. D–MMS–L03010–AK Rating EO2, Liberty Development and Production Plan, Beaufort Sea Oil and Gas Development, Implementation, To Transport and Sell Oil to the U.S. and World Markets, Right-of-Way Application, Offshore Beaufort Sea Marine Environment and Onshore North Slope of Alaska Coastal Plan, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to the lack of analysis of the issues and concerns of the Inupiat Eskimos as required by Executive Order (EO) 12898, the potentially significant effects from leaks and spills associated with the use of undersea pipelines in the Beaufort Sea, the technological/logistical difficulties in responding to oil spills in the Beaufort, and the potential use of project components for which there are less environmentally damaging options. EPA recommended that additional information and analysis related to these objections be included in the EIS.

ERP No. D–NPS–E64018–GA Rating LO, Cumberland Island National Seashore General Management Plan, Wilderness Management Plan, Commercial Services Plan, Interpretation Plan, Resource Cultural and Natural Management Plan, Implementation, St. Marys County, GA.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of concerns.

Final EISs

ERP No. FA–NOA–E64016–FL, Florida Keys National Sanctuary Comprehensive Management Plan, New Information Concerning the Establishment of the Tortugas Marine Reserves in Seven Fishery Management Plan Amendments in the Gulf of Mexico.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed plan.

Dated: April 24, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–10555 Filed 4–26–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6971–5]

Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meeting

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given that the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC or the ''Committee''), a chartered Federal advisory committee, will meet in a public teleconference on Monday, May 14, 2001 from 1 to 2 pm Eastern Time. The meeting will be hosted out of Conference Room 6428, US EPA, Ariel Rios Federal Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004. The meeting is open to the public, however, due to limited space, seating will be on a registration-only basis. Consequently, the public is encouraged to connect via phone to the teleconference. For further information concerning the meeting or how to obtain the phone number, please contact the individual listed below.

Background

The CASAC Technical Subcommittee for Fine Particle Monitoring (the ''Subcommittee'') was established in 1996 to provide advice and comment to EPA (through CASAC) on appropriate methods and network strategies for monitoring fine particles in the context of implementing the revised national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS)

for particulate matter. The Subcommittee last held a meeting/workshop on January 22, 2001 (see 66 FR 1343, January 8, 2001 for additional details). As a result of the meeting/workshop, the Subcommittee prepared a Commentary.

Purpose of the Meeting

At this meeting, the CASAC will review the Subcommittee Commentary (Exploring Opportunities for Accommodating Emerging Technologies for Continuous Monitoring in Routine Air Monitoring Networks—A Commentary stemming from a CASAC/Agency Workshop) that was prepared as a result of the January 22, 2001 Subcommittee meeting. CASAC will also discuss future activities planned for the remainder of this fiscal year.

Availability of Review Materials

The draft Subcommittee report is available on the SAB Website *(www.epa.gov/sab)* under the DRAFT REPORTS subheading.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Members of the public desiring additional information about the meeting, including registering for the limited number of seats in the meeting room, must contact Mr. Robert Flaak, Designated Federal Officer, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee, EPA Science Advisory Board (1400A), Suite 6450, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone/voice mail at (202) 564–4546; fax at (202) 501–0582; or via e-mail at *flaak.robert@epa.gov.* A copy of the draft agenda will be posted on the SAB Website *(www.epa.gov/sab)* (under the AGENDAS subheading) approximately 12 days before the meeting.

Members of the public who wish to make a brief oral presentation must contact Mr. Flaak in writing (by letter or by fax—see previously stated information) no later than 12 noon Eastern Time, Wednesday, May 9, 2001 in order to be included on the Agenda. Public comments will be limited to approximately three to five minutes per speaker or organization, with a total time of fifteen minutes overall for all speakers. Written comments must be received no later than the day prior to the meeting, preferably in electronic format.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

It is the policy of the Science Advisory Board to accept written public comments of any length, and to accommodate oral public comments whenever possible. The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements.

Oral Comments: In general, each individual or group requesting an oral presentation at a face-to-face meeting will be limited to a total time of ten minutes. For conference call meetings, opportunities for oral comment will usually be limited to no more than three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total, unless otherwise stated. Deadlines for getting on the public speaker list for a meeting are given above. Speakers should bring at least 35 copies of their comments and presentation slides for distribution to the reviewers and public at the meeting.

Written Comments: Although the SAB accepts written comments until two days following the date of the meeting (unless otherwise stated above), written comments should be received in the SAB Staff Office at least one week prior to the meeting date so that the comments may be made available to the committee for their consideration. Comments should be supplied to the appropriate DFO at the address/contact information noted above in the following formats: one hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable file formats: WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM–PC/Windows 95/98 format). Those providing written comments and who attend the meeting are also asked to bring 25 copies of their comments for public distribution.

General Information

Additional information concerning the EPA Science Advisory Board, its structure, function, and composition, may be found on our Website (http://www.epa.gov/sab) and in The FY2000 Annual Report of the Staff Director which is available from the SAB Publications Staff at (202) 564–4533 or via fax at (202) 501–0256. Committee rosters, draft Agendas and meeting calendars are also located on our website.

Meeting Access

Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact Mr. Flaak at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: April 19, 2001.

Donald G. Barnes,

Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.

[FR Doc. 01–10510 Filed 4–26–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6971–3]

Gulf of Mexico Program Comprehensive Meeting of the Focus Teams

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Advisory Act, Public Law 92463, EPA gives notice of a Comprehensive Meeting of the Gulf of Mexico Program (GMP) Focus Teams (Public Health, Nutrient Enrichment, Habitat, and Nonindigenous Species).

DATES: The Comprehensive Meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 30, 2001, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., and on Thursday, May 31, 2001, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Embassy Suites Hotel, 315 Julia Street, New Orleans, LA, (504) 525–1993.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gloria D. Car, Designated Federal Officer, Gulf of Mexico Program Office, Building 1103, Room 202, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529–6000 at (228) 688–2421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposed agenda is attached. The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: April 18, 2001.

Gloria D. Car,

Designated Federal Officer.

Gulf of Mexico Program 2001 Comprehensive Meeting Agenda

Wednesday, May 30

8:00 a.m.—Meeting Opens.

5:00 p.m.—GMP Focus Teams (Public Health, Nutrient Enrichment, Habitat, and Nonindigenous Species) Convene in Separate Sessions to Initiate Development of FY2003 Performance Goal Recommendations and Supporting Strategies.

Thursday, May 31

9:00 a.m.—General Plenary Session (All Teams).

11:30 a.m.—

- Overview of FY2001 Workplan Process and Accomplishments.
- Briefing on FY2002 Workplan Schedule.
- Group Discussion / Q & A.
- Guest Speaker on Partnerships and Stakeholders.

11:30–1:00 p.m.—Lunch

1:00–3:00 p.m.—Focus Teams Re-convene in Plenary Session with Data and Information Transfer