

eleven years and six months after the original grant:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$1,550.00
By other than a small entity: \$3,100.00

* * * * *

§ 1.21 [Amended]

7. Section 1.21 is proposed to be amended by removing and reserving paragraph (o).

8. Section 1.492 is proposed to be amended by revising paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3), (a)(5), (b), and (d) to read as follows:

§ 1.492 National stage fees.

* * * * *

(a) The basic national fee:

(1) Where an international preliminary examination fee as set forth in § 1.482 has been paid on the international application to the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$355.00
By other than a small entity: \$710.00

(2) Where no international preliminary examination fee as set forth in § 1.482 has been paid to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, but an international search fee as set forth in § 1.445(a)(2) has been paid on the international application to the United States Patent and Trademark Office as an International Searching Authority:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$370.00
By other than a small entity: \$740.00

(3) Where no international preliminary examination fee as set forth in § 1.482 has been paid and no international search fee as set forth in § 1.445(a)(2) has been paid on the international application to the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$520.00
By other than a small entity: \$1,040.00

(4) * * *

(5) Where a search report on the international application has been prepared by the European Patent Office or the Japanese Patent Office:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$445.00
By other than a small entity: \$890.00

(b) In addition to the basic national fee, for filing or later presentation of each independent claim in excess of 3:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$42.00
By other than a small entity: \$84.00

* * * * *

(d) In addition to the basic national fee, if the application contains, or is amended to contain, a multiple dependent claim(s), per application:

By a small entity (§ 1.27(a)): \$140.00
By other than a small entity: \$280.00

* * * * *

PART 2—RULES OF PRACTICE IN TRADEMARK CASES

1. The authority citation for 37 CFR Part 2 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 1123; 35 U.S.C. 6, unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 2.6 is proposed to be amended by revising paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

§ 2.6 Trademark fees.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(1) For filing an application, per class: \$340.00

* * * * *

Dated: April 27, 2001.

Nicholas P. Godici.

Acting Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Acting Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 01-11591 Filed 5-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[KY-112-9933(b); FRL-6975-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Kentucky: Approval of American Greetings Corporation Source-Specific State Implementation Plan Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On March 30, 1999, the Commonwealth of Kentucky submitted, through the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet, a source specific revision to the Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP). This source specific SIP revision allows American Greetings Corporation to have an alternative averaging period of 30 days for compliance determination. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct

final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by June 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Randy Terry at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day and reference file KY 112-01-9933. The Region 4 office may have additional background documents not available at the other locations.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Region 4 Air Planning Branch; 61 Forsyth Street, SW; Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960

Commonwealth of Kentucky; Division for Air Quality; 803 Schenkel Lane; Frankfort, KY 40601-1403.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randy Terry, Regulatory Planning Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-9032.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 20, 2001.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 01-11525 Filed 5-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[DC049-2026b; FRL-6973-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; District of Columbia; Oxygenated Gasoline Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the District of Columbia. The revision makes the oxygenated gasoline program a contingency measure for the District of Columbia (the District), which means that the oxygenated gasoline program would only be required to be implemented in the District if there is a violation of the carbon monoxide (CO) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). The District's revision also makes technical amendments to its oxygenated gasoline regulations which correct the deficiencies previously identified by EPA in a January 26, 1995 final rule granting limited approval/limited disapproval of those regulations. Therefore, the limited approval/limited disapproval is being converted to a full approval. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by June 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the District of Columbia Department of Public Health, Air Quality Division, 51 N Street, NE., Washington, DC 20002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kelly L. Bunker, (215) 814-2177, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at bunker.kelly@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: April 24, 2001.

William C. Early,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.
[FR Doc. 01-10987 Filed 5-8-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[FRL-6975-9]

Clean Air Act Reclassification and Notice of Potential Eligibility for Extension of Attainment Date, Louisiana; Baton Rouge Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to find that the Baton Rouge serious ozone nonattainment area (hereinafter referred to as the Baton Rouge area) has failed to attain the one-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) by November 15, 1999, the date set forth in the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) for serious nonattainment areas. If EPA takes final action on this proposed finding, the area would be reclassified as a severe ozone nonattainment area.

Alternatively, EPA is also issuing a notice of the Baton Rouge area's potential eligibility for an attainment date extension, pursuant to EPA's "Guidance on Extension of Attainment Dates for Downwind Transport Areas" (hereinafter referred to as the extension policy) (Richard D. Wilson, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation) issued July 16, 1998. The extension policy provides that a nonattainment area, such as the Baton Rouge area, may be eligible for an attainment date extension if it meets certain conditions. The extension policy applies where pollution from upwind areas interferes with the ability of a downwind area to demonstrate attainment with the one-hour ozone standard by the dates prescribed in the Act. Louisiana is working to comply with the conditions for receiving an

extension. If Louisiana makes a submittal in response to the extension policy, we will address the adequacy of the submittal in a subsequent supplemental proposal. If the submittal meets the criteria for an extension, the attainment date for the Baton Rouge area will be extended, and the area will not be reclassified. We do not intend to take final action on reclassification of the Baton Rouge area prior to allowing Louisiana an opportunity to qualify for an attainment date extension under the extension policy.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

Copies of the Baton Rouge area monitored air quality data analyses, guidance on extension of attainment dates in downwind transport areas, State submittal requesting consideration for an attainment date extension, and other relevant documents used in support of this proposal are contained in the docket file, which is available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, Air Planning Section, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202; Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 7920 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884. Please contact the appropriate office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Jeanne Schulze, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-7254.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The use of "we," "us," or "our" in this document refers to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. What action are we taking today?
- II. What are the National Ambient Air Quality Standards?
- III. What is the NAAQS for ozone?
- IV. What is the Baton Rouge ozone nonattainment area?
- V. Why is the Baton Rouge area currently classified as a serious nonattainment area?
- VI. Why are we proposing to reclassify the Baton Rouge area?
- VII. Has air quality improved in the Baton Rouge area in recent years?
- VIII. What would a reclassification mean for the Baton Rouge area?
- IX. Can an extension of the serious area attainment date be granted for the Baton Rouge area?