

notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in the briefs and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the use of additional publicly available information and the comments received from the interested parties, we have made changes in the margin calculation for the one respondent that cooperated fully in the new shipper review. For a discussion of this change, see the "Margin Calculations" section of the Decision Memo.

### Final Results of New Shipper Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margin percentage exists for the period April 1, 1999, through March 31, 2000:

Exporter	Margin (percent)
Hongfa Machinery (Dalian) Co., Ltd .....	0.00

### Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries of subject merchandise during the POR from Hongfa for which the import-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting percentage margin against the entered Customs values for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries under the relevant order during the review period. For entries from the PRC non-market economy ("NME") entity companies (*i.e.*, PRC exporters which are not entitled separate rates (including Luoyang), the Customs Service shall assess *ad valorem* duties at the PRC-wide rate. Because the PRC-wide entity was not reviewed during this POR, the PRC-wide rate remains the rate which was established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. For entries made by PRC companies for which the

Department has rescinded the administrative review (*i.e.*, the exporter/producer combinations listed in the "Background" section of this notice), the Customs Service shall continue not to assess *ad valorem* duties on those entries made by those exporter/producer combinations.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates shall be required for merchandise subject to the order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) and 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Hongfa will be the rate indicated above; (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding, but for whom the Department has rescinded the review or of whom the review was not requested for this POR will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC NME entity (*i.e.*, all other exporters, including Luoyang, which have not been reviewed) will continue to be 43.32 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1),

751(a)(2)(B), and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.214.

May 8, 2001.

**Timothy J. Hauser,**

*Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.*

### Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

#### Comments

1. Rescission of Third Administrative Review Based on the Results of the Department's Customs Data Query
2. Alleged Violation of Exporter/Producer Combinations Excluded from the Order Based on Examination of Selected U.S. Brake Rotor Entries During the Period of Review
3. Surrogate Value Selection for Pallet Wood

[FR Doc. 01-12379 Filed 5-15-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-805]

### Circular Welder Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** In January 31, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 8378) a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico. This administrative review covered two Mexican manufacturers of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe, Tuberia Nacional S.A. de C.V. ("TUNA") and Tuberias Procarsa, S.A. de C.V. ("Procarsa"), for the period of November 1, 1999 through October 31, 2000. The Department has now rescinded this review as a result of requests by both parties to withdraw from the review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 16, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Drury or Steve Bezirgianian, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0195 or (202) 482-1131, respectively.

### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

### Scope of the Review

The products covered by these orders are circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipes and tubes and are intended for the low pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses, and generally meet ASTM A-53 specifications. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing applications, such as for fence tubing, and as structural pipe tubing used for framing and support members for reconstruction or load-bearing purposes in the construction, shipbuilding, trucking, farm equipment, and related industries. Unfinished conduit pipe is also included in these orders.

All carbon steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of these orders, except line pipe, oil country tubular goods, boiler tubing, mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished conduit. Standard pipe that is dual or triple certified/stenciled that enters the United States as line pipe of a kind used for oil or gas pipelines is also not included in these orders.

Imports of the products covered by these orders are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90.

Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these proceedings is dispositive.

### Background

The Department published an antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube from Mexico on November 2, 1992 (57 FR 49453). The Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the 1999/2000 review period on November 8, 2000 (65 FR 66965). Respondents TUNA, Procarsa, and Hylsa S.A. de C.V. ("Hylsa") requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube from Mexico. Hylsa withdrew its request for administrative review on December 19, 2000. We initiated this review for respondents TUNA and Procarsa on January 31, 2001. See 66 FR 8378.

The Department received timely requests for withdrawal from the administrative review from TUNA on February 23, 2001, and from Procarsa on March 9, 2001. The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that the Secretary will rescind an administrative review under this section, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. In light of the fact that all of the parties who initially requested an administrative review have withdrawn their requests in a timely manner, we are rescinding this review.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 26, 2001.

**Richard O. Weible,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-12380 Filed 5-15-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-475-824]

#### Notice of Extension of the Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 16, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carrie Blozy, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0165.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

### Background

On October 30, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy, covering the period January 4, 1999 through June 30, 2000 (65 FR 64662). On January 8, 2001, the Department extended the preliminary results of review by 90 days. *See Notice of Extension of the Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy*, 66 FR 1310 (January 8, 2001). The preliminary results are currently due no later than July 1, 2001.

### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Because of the complex issues enumerated in the Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Joseph A. Spetrini, *Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy*, dated May 9, 2001, and on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) of the Main Commerce Building, Room B-099, we find that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the scheduled deadline. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is further extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 30 days (*i.e.*, until July 31, 2001).

Dated: May 9, 2001.

**Richard O. Weible,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-12378 Filed 5-15-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**