

allowed on roads and designated motorized vehicle trails. Off-road use is prevalent and common, which has created what appear to be new roads. With the present signing system, closing this road with a sign and assuring that the sign remains in place is difficult. There are approximately four miles of unauthorized ATV trail that are causing undesirable impacts to streams and/or wildlife habitat.

Motorized vehicle trail construction (including a bridge), road closures, road decommission, and signing roads closed unless posted open would occur on National Forest system lands located within portions of Sections 23–26, and 35–36 of T.37S., R.8W., Salt Lake Base Meridian (SLBM), Iron County, UT; Sections 13–14, and 22–36 of T.37S., R.7W., and Sections 3–6, 17–23, and 26–35 of T.37S., R.6W., Salt Lake Base Meridian (SLBM), Garfield County, UT; and Sections 1–29, 33–36 of T.38S., R.8W.; Sections 1–36 of T.38S., R.7W.; Sections 3–8, 17–20, and 28–33 of T.28–33 of T.38S., R.6 W.; Sections 4–8, and 17–20 of T.39S. R.6W.; Sections 1–24 of T.39S., R.7W.; and Sections 1–3, and 11–13 of T. 39S., R.8W., Salt Lake Base Meridian (SLBM), Kane County, UT.

The proposed actions would implement management direction, contribute to meeting the goals and objectives identified in the Dixie National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, and move the project area toward the desired condition. This project EIS would be tiered to the Dixie National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan EIS (1986), which provides goals, objectives, standards and guidelines for the various activities and land allocations on the Forest.

No permits or licenses are required to implement the proposed action and the issuing authority is the Forest Service.

As lead agency, the Forest Service would analyze and document direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental effects for a range of alternatives. Each alternative would include mitigation measures as necessary and monitoring requirements. No alternatives to the proposed action have been identified at this time, however, the following preliminary issue has been identified: (1) Use of roads within nesting areas for northern goshawk and peregrine falcon contribute to nesting failures.

Mary Wagner, Forest Supervisor, Dixie National Forest, is the responsible official. She can be reached by mail at 82 North 100 East, P.O. Box 580, Cedar City, Utah, 84720–0580.

The Forest Service is seeking comments from individuals, organizations, and local, state, and Federal agencies who may be interested

in or affected by the proposed action. Scoping notices have been sent to potentially affected persons and those currently on the Dixie National Forest mailing list that have expressed interest in timber management proposals, proposals relating to wildlife habitat modifications and Forest Plan amendments. Other interested individuals, organizations, or agencies may have their names added to the mailing list for this project at any time by submitting a request to: Priscilla R. Summers, Project Environmental Coordinator, 82 North 100 East, P.O. Box 627, Cedar City, UT 84720–0627.

The analysis area includes both National Forest System lands and private lands. Proposed treatments would occur only on National Forest system lands. Motorized trails are proposed to cross State Highway 14. No federal or local permits, licenses or entitlements would be needed.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the DEIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could have been raised at the DEIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, (9th Circuit, 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in the proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at the time it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns about the proposed action, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and

discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: May 11, 2001.

Mary Wagner,

Forest Supervisor, Dixie National Forest.

[FR Doc. 01–12664 Filed 5–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: Quarterly Financial Reports (QFR) Program.

Form Number(s): QFR–101(MG), 101A(MG), 102(TR), 103(NB).

Agency Approval Number: 0607–0432.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 77,708 hours.

Number of Respondents: 13,125.

Avg Hours Per Response: 2 hours and 3 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The QFR Program has published up-to-date aggregate statistics on the financial results and position of U.S. corporations since 1947. It is a principal economic indicator that also provides financial data essential to calculation of key U.S. Government measures of national economic performance. The importance of this data collection is reflected by the granting of specific authority to conduct the program in Title 13 of the United States Code, Section 91, which requires that financial statistics of business operations be collected and published quarterly. Public Law 105–252 extended the authority of the Secretary of Commerce to conduct the QFR Program under Section 91 through September 30, 2005.

The QFR is scheduled to convert to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) in April 2002 with the publication of the fourth quarter 2001 data. With the adoption of the NAICS, a number of industries currently covered by QFR under the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system will be out of scope. Specifically, QFR will no longer collect

data from companies primarily engaged in Publishing and Printing, except Commercial Printing; Logging; and Eating and Drinking Places. Publishing and Printing was moved to the NAICS Information sector; Logging to the Agriculture, Forestry; Fishing, and Hunting sector; Eating and Drinking Places to the Accommodation and Food Services sector. This request is for extension of the current OMB approval.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Quarterly and annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13 USC, Section 91; P.L. 105-252.

OMB Desk Officer: Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at mclayton@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 15, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-12672 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-811]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Ukraine; Notice of Postponement of Final Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 21, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori Ellison, Laurel LaCivita, or Rick Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5811, (202) 482-4243, and (202) 482-3818, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Postponement of Final Determination

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioners. The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On May 2, 2001, the Department received a request pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act to postpone its final determination until 135 days after publication of the Department's preliminary determination and to extend the imposition of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months from respondent Zaporizhstal Iron and Steel Works, "the Midland group of companies" (i.e. Midland Industries Limited, Midland Metals International, Inc., and Midland Resources Holding Limited), and the State Committee of Industrial Policy of Ukraine.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative, (2) the respondent requesting a postponement accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting the respondent's request and are postponing the final determination to no later than September 15, 2001, which is 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from*

Ukraine. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This notice of postponement is published pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: May 15, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-12750 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-810]

Mechanical Transfer Presses From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review: mechanical transfer presses from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on mechanical transfer presses (MTPs) from Japan in response to a request by respondent, Komatsu, Ltd. (Komatsu). This review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period of February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000. On March 8, 2001, we published our preliminary determination that U.S. sales were not made below normal value (NV). We have affirmed this finding in these final results. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 21, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Hoadley or Sally Gannon, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0666 or (202) 482-0162, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated,