

participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Arunas T. Udrys, Esquire, Consumers Energy Company, 212 West Michigan Avenue, Jackson, MI 49201, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

If a request for a hearing is received, the Commission's staff may issue the amendment after it completes its technical review and prior to the completion of any required hearing if it publishes a further notice for public comment of its proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91 and 50.92.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 2, 2001, as supplemented by letter dated March 29, 2001, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of May 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Darl S. Hood,

Senior Project Manager, Section I, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-13740 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311]

PSEG Nuclear LLC; Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Exemption

1.0 Background

PSEG Nuclear LLC (PSEG or the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 that authorize operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. The licenses provide, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of two pressurized water reactors located at the licensee's site on the southern end of Artificial Island in Lower Alloways Creek Township, Salem County, New Jersey. Salem, New Jersey, is located approximately 7.5 miles northeast of the site.

2.0 Purpose

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix G requires that pressure-temperature (P-T) limits be established for reactor pressure vessels (RPVs) during normal operating and hydrostatic or leak rate testing conditions. Specifically, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G states that "[t]he appropriate requirements on * * * the pressure-temperature limits and minimum permissible temperature must be met for all conditions." Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50 also specifies that the requirements for these limits are the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, Appendix G Limits. In Generic Letter 88-11, the NRC staff advised licensees that the staff would use Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.99, Revision 2, to review P-T limit curves. RG 1.99, Revision 2, provides guidance for implementing 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and contains conservative methodologies for determining the increase in transition temperature and the decrease in upper-shelf energy (USE) resulting from neutron radiation.

In order to address provisions of amendments to the Technical Specifications (TS) P-T limit curves, the licensee requested in its application dated November 10, 2000, that the staff exempt, as permitted by 10 CFR 50.60(b), Salem, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, from application of specific requirements of 10 CFR 50.60(a) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and substitute use of

ASME Code Case N-640. Code Case N-640 provides an alternate reference fracture toughness methodology for reactor vessel materials for use in determining the P-T limits. The proposed action is in accordance with PSEG's application for exemption contained in its November 10, 2000, letter, as supplemented by letters dated March 28 and April 2, 2001. The proposed action is needed to support PSEG's license amendment request to increase thermal power levels by 1.4% submitted under the same application (the final revision of the proposed P-T limit curves was submitted by the licensee by letter dated March 28, 2001). The proposed license amendment will, in part, revise the P-T limits for heatup, cooldown, core criticality, and hydrostatic/leak test limitations for the reactor coolant system (RCS) to 32 effective full power years (EFPYs).

Code Case N-640

The licensee has proposed an exemption to allow the use of Code Case N-640, in conjunction with ASME Section XI, Appendix G, 10 CFR 50.60(a), and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, to determine the P-T limits, and stated that this proposed alternative meets the underlying intent of the NRC's regulations.

Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) Section 5.3.2 provides an acceptable method for determining the P-T limit curves for ferritic materials in the beltline of the RPV based on the linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) methodology of Appendix G to Section XI of the Code. The basic parameter of this methodology is the stress intensity factor K_I , which is a function of the stress state and flaw configuration. Appendix G requires a safety factor of 2.0 on stress intensities resulting from reactor pressure during normal and transient operating conditions, and a safety factor of 1.5 on the same stresses for hydrostatic testing curves. The methods of Appendix G postulate the existence of a sharp surface flaw in the RPV that is normal to the direction of the maximum stress. This flaw is postulated to have a depth that is equal to 1/4 of the RPV beltline thickness and a length equal to 1.5 times the RPV beltline thickness. The critical locations in the RPV beltline region for calculating heatup and cooldown P-T curves are the 1/4 thickness (1/4T) and 3/4 thickness (3/4T) locations, which correspond to the maximum depth of the postulated inside surface and outside surface defects, respectively.

The methodology provided in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code requires that licensees determine

the adjusted reference temperature (ART or adjusted RT_{NDT}). The ART is defined as the sum of the initial (unirradiated) reference temperature (initial RT_{NDT}), the mean value of the adjustment in reference temperature caused by irradiation (ΔRT_{NDT}), and a margin (M) term by application of RG 1.99, Revision 2. The ΔRT_{NDT} is a product of a chemistry factor and a fluence factor. The chemistry factor is dependent upon the amount of copper and nickel in the material and may be determined from tables in RG 1.99, Revision 2, or from surveillance data. The fluence factor is dependent upon the neutron fluence at the maximum postulated flaw depth. The margin term is dependent upon whether the initial RT_{NDT} is a plant-specific or a generic value and whether the chemistry factor (CF) was determined using the tables in RG 1.99, Revision 2, or surveillance data. The margin term is used to account for uncertainties in the values of the initial RT_{NDT} , the copper and nickel contents, the fluence and the calculational procedures. RG 1.99, Revision 2, describes the methodology to be used in calculating the margin term.

The Pressurized Thermal Shock (PTS) rule, 10 CFR 50.61, requires that licensees demonstrate that facility RPV materials will continue to possess an adequate level of fracture resistance to protect the RPV from potential failure as a result of PTS events. Each material's PTS reference temperature, RT_{PTS} , is determined in a manner like that used to determine ART, except that the neutron fluence at the clad-to-base metal interface at end of license (EOL) conditions is used in lieu of either the 1/4T or 3/4T fluence. Each material's RT_{PTS} value is then compared to the screening limits given in 10 CFR 50.61, 270 °F for plates, forging, and axial welds, and 300 °F for circumferential welds. Provided that all RPV materials' RT_{PTS} values remain below these screening limits, the fracture resistance of the RPV is demonstrated to be adequate to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.61 through end of life.

The proposed license amendments to revise the P-T limits for Salem, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, rely in part on the requested exemption. These revised P-T limits have been developed using the K_{Ic} fracture toughness curve shown in ASME Section XI, Appendix A, Figure A-2200-1, in lieu of the K_{Ia} fracture toughness curve of ASME Section XI, Appendix G, Figure G-2210-1, as the lower bound for fracture toughness. The other margins involved with the ASME Section XI, Appendix G process for establishing P-T limit curves remain unchanged.

Use of the K_{Ic} curve in determining the lower bound fracture toughness in the development of P-T operating limit curves is more technically correct than the K_{Ia} curve. The K_{Ic} curve appropriately implements the use of static initiation fracture toughness behavior to evaluate the controlled heatup and cooldown process of a reactor vessel. The licensee stated that the use of the K_{Ia} curve, with its initial conservatism, was justified when the curve was codified in 1974. This initial conservatism was necessary due to the limited knowledge of RPV materials. Since 1974, additional knowledge has been gained about RPV materials, that demonstrates that the lower bound on fracture toughness provided by the K_{Ia} curve is well beyond the margin of safety required to protect the public health and safety from potential RPV failure. In addition, P-T curves based on the K_{Ic} curve will enhance overall plant safety by opening the P-T operating window with the greatest safety benefit in the region of low temperature operations. The operating window through which the operator heats up and cools down the RCS is determined by the difference between the maximum allowable pressure determined by Appendix G of ASME Section XI, and the minimum required pressure for the reactor coolant pump (RCP) seals adjusted for instrument uncertainties.

Since the RCS P-T operating window is defined by the P-T operating and test limit curves developed in accordance with the ASME Section XI, Appendix G procedure, continued operation of Salem, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, with these P-T curves without the relief provided by ASME Code Case N-640 may unnecessarily restrict the P-T operating window, especially at low temperature conditions. The operating window becomes more restrictive with continued reactor vessel service. Implementation of the proposed P-T curves, as allowed by ASME Code Case N-640, does not significantly reduce the margin of safety. Thus, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the regulation will continue to be served.

In summary, the ASME Section XI, Appendix G procedure was conservatively developed based on the level of knowledge existing in 1974 concerning RPV materials and the estimated effects of operation. Since 1974, the level of knowledge about these topics has been greatly expanded. The NRC staff concurs that this increased knowledge permits relaxation of the ASME Section XI, Appendix G requirements by application of ASME Code Case N-640, while maintaining,

pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the ASME Code and NRC regulations to ensure an acceptable margin of safety.

3.0 Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) when special circumstances are present. Special circumstances are present whenever, according to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), "Application of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule." The staff accepts the licensee's determination that an exemption would be required to approve the use of Code Case N-640. The staff examined the licensee's rationale to support the exemption request and concurred that the use of the code case would also meet the underlying intent of these regulations. Based upon a consideration of the conservatism that is explicitly incorporated into the methodologies of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G; Appendix G of the ASME Code; and RG 1.99, Revision 2, the staff concluded that application of the code case as described would provide an acceptable margin of safety against brittle failure of the RPV.

Therefore, since strict compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, is not necessary to serve the underlying purpose of the regulation, the staff concludes that application of Code Case N-640 to the P-T limit calculations meets the special circumstance provisions stated in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), for granting this exemption to the regulation, and that the methodology of Code Case N-640 may be used to revise the P-T limits for Salem, Unit Nos. 1 and 2.

4.0 Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or common defense and security, and is, otherwise, in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants PSEG Nuclear LLC an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.60(a) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, for Salem, Unit Nos. 1 and 2.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (66 FR 24410).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of May 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-13741 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Determination of Quarterly Rate of Excise Tax for Railroad Retirement Supplemental Annuity Program

In accordance with directions in Section 3221(c) of the Railroad Retirement Tax Act (26 U.S.C., Section 3221(c)), the Railroad Retirement Board has determined that the excise tax imposed by such Section 3221(c) on every employer, with respect to having individuals in his employ, for each work-hour for which compensation is paid by such employer for services rendered to him during the quarter beginning July 1, 2001, shall be at the rate of 26 cents.

In accordance with directions in Section 15(a) of the Railroad Retirement Act of 1974, the Railroad Retirement Board has determined that for the quarter beginning July 1, 2001, 38.6 percent of the taxes collected under Sections 3211(b) and 3221(c) of the Railroad Retirement Tax Act shall be credited to the Railroad Retirement Account and 61.4 percent of the taxes collected under such Sections 3211(b) and 3221(c) plus 100 percent of the taxes collected under Section 3221(d) of the Railroad Retirement Tax Act shall be credited to the Railroad Retirement Supplemental Account.

Dated: May 24, 2001.

By Authority of the Board.

Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 01-13766 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-44341; File No. SR-Amex-2001-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC Increasing Regular Memberships and Creating Two-Year Permits

May 23, 2001.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 19, 2001, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Amex. The Exchange submitted Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3 to the proposed rule change on May 3, 2001,³ May 16, 2001,⁴ and May 18, 2001,⁵ respectively. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to increase the number of regular memberships and create 25 two-year permits as a result of a Regular Seat and Two-Year Permit Offering Plan (the "Plan"). The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. New language is in *italics*. Deletions are in brackets.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Letter from Ivonne Natal, Assistant General Counsel, Amex, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated April 30, 2001 ("Amendment No. 1"). Amendment No. 1 states that on April 30, 2001, a majority of the regular and options principal members, voting as a single class, voted in favor of the proposed rule change.

⁴ Letter from Ivonne Natal, Assistant General Counsel, Amex, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated May 14, 2001 ("Amendment No. 2"). Amendment No. 2 requests the Commission to consider the Plan on a pilot basis for a minimum of two years and a maximum of four years, in the event the Seat Fund Committee exercises its discretion to extend the Plan. Amendment No. 2 also states that there are approximately 300 members trading equities on the Exchange floor.

⁵ Letter from Ivonne Natal, Assistant General Counsel, Amex, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated May 17, 2001 ("Amendment No. 3"). Amendment No. 3 clarifies that the administrative fee that the Amex would receive for administering the Plan would be \$750.00 per sale/lease and that the administrative fee will be collected out of the sale proceeds, prior to their distribution to the members. Amendment No. 3 also states that Amex members and the Board of Governors have approved this fee.

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE CONSTITUTION

Article IV

Admission to Membership

Number of Regular Memberships

SEC. 1(a)(1) Regular Membership—There shall be up to 889[864] regular memberships in the Exchange, inclusive of any regular memberships created through the options principal membership upgrade program. The number of regular memberships shall be increased only if the Board of Governors requests The Amex Membership Corporation to issue additional regular memberships. Any such issuance of additional regular memberships shall require the approval of a majority of the regular and options principal members voting together as a single class at a meeting called for the purpose of considering the request that new regular memberships be issued.

(2)–(3) No change.

(b)–(h) No change.

(i) *Two-Year Permits*

(1) *There shall be maximum of twenty-five two-year permits. Two-year permits shall expire two years from the effective date of the membership, but may be renewed for an additional two years at the discretion of the Exchange's Seat Fund Committee. Two-year permits are non-transferable. The price for two-year permits will be determined by the Exchange's Seat Fund Committee at the beginning of a 120-day offering period, but shall not be less than \$14,000. A two-year permit will automatically terminate in the event the holder goes out of business or is delinquent in payment of dues, fines, fees, charges and any other financial responsibility owed to the Exchange for more than thirty (30) consecutive days. In the event a two-year permit holder goes out of business, any monies for unpaid dues, fines, fees, charges and any other financial responsibility due to the Exchange or any other creditor, will be collected by the Exchange out of the proceeds of the sale of the two-year permits.*

(2) *Requirements for Issuance*

A two-year permit holder must:

(i) *be at least the minimum age of majority required to be responsible for his contracts in each jurisdiction in which he conducts business;*

(ii) *agree that his primary occupation will be the transaction of business on the Floor of the Exchange in his capacity as a permit holder; and*

(iii) *obtain a waiver letter from their clearing firms waiving their right to file a claim against the permit should the permit holder owe them money or,*