

Dated: May 31, 2001.

**Ida V. Doup,**

*Chief, Branch of Use Authorization, Division of Resources Planning, Use and Protection.*

[FR Doc. 01-15367 Filed 6-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-84-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Arrowrock Dam Outlet Works Rehabilitation

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of Record of Decision.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is issued under authority of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. The Record of Decision (ROD) signed on April 30, 2001 contains the decision of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) Pacific Northwest Region, to select and implement the Preferred Alternative (Alternative A), as described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). Alternative A was identified as the environmentally preferred alternative in the FEIS. This alternative best achieves the project objectives and meets the purpose and need of the project in an environmentally sensitive manner.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the ROD may be requested from the following locations:

- Bureau of Reclamation, Pacific Northwest Region, 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100, Boise, ID 83706-1234.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Snake River Area Office, 214 Broadway Ave, Boise, ID 83702-7298.

• The ROD is also available for viewing or downloading on the internet at <http://www.pn.usbr.gov/project/arrowrock/arrowrock.shtml>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steve Dunn, Environmental Specialist, (208) 334-9844.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, Reclamation prepared a FEIS to examine the impacts of alternative methods to rehabilitate the outlet works at Arrowrock Dam by removing the 10 lower level Ensign valves and replacing them with clamshell gates. Two action alternatives were identified that differed only in the timing of reservoir drawdown and the elevation of Arrowrock Reservoir and Lucky Peak Lake in the third construction season. The preferred alternative (alternative A) requires a longer period of drawdown of

Arrowrock Reservoir, but both Arrowrock Reservoir and Lucky Peak Lake would remain at a higher elevation than with the other action alternative. Based on comments received on the Draft EIS concerning impacts to water quality and bull trout, the preferred alternative was modified so that the probability of sluice gate use, which could release large amounts of sediment form Arrowrock Reservoir, was reduced from 42% to 15%. The No Action Alternative, which included actions that would be required for an intensive maintenance program if the Ensign valves were not replaced, was also evaluated.

Dated: May 24, 2001.

**Kenneth R. Pedde,**

*Acting Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 01-15411 Filed 6-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[WITC SC-01-024]

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** June 29, 2001 at 2:00 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agenda for future meeting: none
  2. Minutes
  3. Ratification List
  4. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-415 and 731-TA-933-934 (Preliminary)(Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India and Taiwan)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination to the Secretary of Commerce on July 2, 2001; Commissioners' opinions are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce July 10, 2001.)
  5. Outstanding action jackets: none
- In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 13, 2001.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-15485 Filed 6-15-01; 12:19 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection Drug Court Grantee Data Collection Survey.

The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Office of Management and Budget approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. These proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on March 21, 2001, allowing for a 60-day public comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment until July 19, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in each notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

## Overview of This Information

(1) *Type of information collection:* Revision of currently approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Drug Court Grantee Data Collection Survey.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* none. Drug Courts Program Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal. Other: None.

This survey will assist in the national evaluation of drug courts. The data to be collected will assist in determining the effectiveness of these grants and the information will be shared with the drug court field to improve program quality.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/rely:* It is estimated time that 300 respondents will complete the survey is between .75 to 1.25 hours semi-annually.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* An estimate of the total public burden hours associated with the collection is 450–750 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1220, Washington Center, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington.

Dated: June 13, 2001.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 01–15431 Filed 6–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

---

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public

and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed new collection of information for the Resource Justification Model (RJM). ETA would use the RJM to formulate a budget request for the unemployment insurance (UI) program from States' data and allocate appropriated funds among the States.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before August 20, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Timothy S. Felegie, Room C4526, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, (202) 693–2934 (this is not a toll-free number). E-mail address is tfelegie@doleta.gov and fax number is (202) 693–3229.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

ETA developed the RJM to replace an outdated budget formulation and allocation process for the UI program. Although the RJM entails a substantial data collection effort, it would provide ETA with current cost information to justify budget requests for State UI program administration. The RJM's goals are to build a credible budget from State-submitted data in order to obtain needed resources, allocate administrative funds equitably among States, and promote cost-effective practices.

Using the RJM, State agencies would submit detailed data by major cost categories in a structured format. This would provide States with a means to justify their funding needs and would provide ETA with an objective tool to evaluate those needs. State agencies that have an accounting system with a relational database could build queries for data extraction from the accounting system; this would keep the data collection burden at a minimum.

## II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

## III. Current Actions

- RJM consists of six modules:
- State data collection and submission
  - Regional Office data review
  - National Office data analysis and evaluation
  - National Office budget formulation
  - Resource allocation
  - Monitoring

The first module is most pertinent to this proposed data collection effort. Module I consists of three parts: a mandatory Microsoft Excel® file with 39 linked spreadsheets with an optional file with four spreadsheets, a narrative justification that explains budget increases, and a narrative performance and capital improvement (PCI) request. State agencies would enter data into the indicated cells through either hand entry or through database extraction from their accounting systems. The spreadsheets are set up to calculate much of the data to minimize data entry to the extent possible. Each State agency would submit Module I to ETA once annually in February. For the first year only, State agencies would submit PCI requests two months earlier (December 2001) to allow time for ETA review and consultation with the States.

The mandatory Excel® file has three basic categories of cost data: workload-related staff years for each major workload activity (e.g., initial claims, subject employers), non-workload-related staff years (e.g., benefit payment control), and non-personal services (NPS) (e.g., facilities, communications). The optional file relates only to more