requests a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues by December 31, 2001, and final certificate authorization by July 15, 2002. Islander East states that this will allow construction to be completed by its proposed in-service date of November 1, 2003.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Steven E. Tillman, Director of Regulatory Affairs, Islander East Pipeline Company, L.L.C., P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1642 at 713–627–5113 or by facsimile at 713–627–5947.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before July 13, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission.

Environmental commenters will be place don the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings

associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on nonenvironmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <a href="http://www.ferc.gov/documents/makeanelectronicfiling/doorbell.htm">http://www.ferc.gov/documents/makeanelectronicfiling/doorbell.htm</a>.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

## David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16225 Filed 6–27–01; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP01-458-000]

## Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Change in FERC Gas Tariff

June 22, 2001.

Take notice that on June 15, 2001, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, Second Revised Tariff Sheet No. 175. Tennessee requests that the tariff sheet be made effective August 1, 2001.

Tennessee states that the purpose of Tennessee's tariff filing is to provide formal notice to Rate Schedule FT-G customers and the Commission that Tennessee intends to charge FT-G customers for both the transportation of "swing" volumes into and out of storage commenting August 1, 2001. Tennessee states that its Order No. 636 compliance filing in Docket No. RS92-23 contemplated that Tennessee would charge FT-G customers the applicable commodity rate and fuel for the transportation of "swing" volumes both into and out of storage. However, in setting up its billing system to implement the extensive changes required by Order No. 636, Tennessee inadvertently treated Rate Schedule FT-G customers the same as Rate Schedule FT-GS customers with respect to the transportation of storage "swing" volumes. In that regard, in Docket No. RS92-23, the Commission ruled that Tennessee could charge FT-GS customers only for transportation from customers' receipt points to their citygates. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., 62 FERC ¶ 61,250, at 62,658 (1993).

As a result of administrative oversight, Tennessee set up its billing system so that FT-G customers would be billed for the transportation of storage "swing" volumes in the same manner as FT-GS customers. Specifically, when a customer scheduling deliveries to its citygate takes less than the scheduled quantity, the variance would be treated as a storage injection and the customer would pay the applicable commodity rate and fuel for transportation from the receipt point to the citygate; when the customer takes more than its scheduled quantity at the citygate, the variance would be treated as a storage withdrawal, but the customer would not be charged for the transportation of such storage withdrawal quantity from the storage field to the citygate. By the

instant tariff filing, Tennessee indicates its intent to commence charging FT–G shippers separately for the transportation of "swing" volumes to and from storage commencing August 1, 2001. Although Tennessee does not believe that a tariff filing is necessary to bill FT–G shippers in this manner, given the length of time that Tennessee has inadvertently not charged FT–G customers for the transportation of "swing" volumes both into and out of storage, Tennessee believes that it is appropriate to provide formal notice to its customers of its plans via a tariff filing.

Tennessee states that copies of the filing have been mailed to each of Tennessee's customers and the affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/ rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/ doorbell.htm.

### David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16223 Filed 6–27–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER01-1099-002, et al.]

# Cleco Power LLC, et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

June 21, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Cleco Power LLC

[Docket No. ER01-1099-002]

Take notice that Cleco Power LLC (Cleco Power), on June 6, 2001, tendered for filing a letter requesting an additional 90 days to comply with the Commission's order in *Cleco Power LLC*, Docket Nos. ER01–1099–000 and ER01–1099–001, issued March 28, 2001, instructing Cleco Power to bring all of its tariffs, rate schedules and service agreements into compliance with the Commission's Order 614, issued March 31, 2000, by June 25, 2001.

By means of a merger, effective December 31, 2000, Cleco Utility reorganized its corporate form from that of a corporation to that of a limited liability company named Cleco Power LLC; this pursuant to the Commission's order in *Cleco Utility Group Inc.*, Docket No. EC00–142–000, issued November 30, 2000. Pursuant to the Commission's Order 614 and the March 28, 2000 Order, all of Cleco Utility's rate schedules are to be canceled; amended to reflect the Cleco Power name and to comply with Order 614; and refiled as Cleco Power rate schedules.

On June 1, 2001 Cleco Power canceled Cleco Utility's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) and Market-Based Rates Tariff and submitted essentially the same OATT and Market-Based Rates Tariff as Cleco Power's tariffs. Cleco Power will cancel most of Cleco Utility's rate schedules and refile them as Cleco Power rate schedules by the June 25, 2001 deadline. However, Cleco Power requested an additional 90 days to file the following rate schedules as well as the service agreements under its OATT and Market-Based Rates Tariff:

RS1 RS6 RS17 RS2 RS12 RS18

Comment date: July 5, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 2. Wheelabrator Westchester, L.P.

[Docket No. ER98-3030-001]

Take notice that on June 18, 2001, Wheelabrator Westchester, L.P., formerly known as Westchester RESCO Company, L.P., (Westchester) a Qualifying Facility selling power at wholesale pursuant to market-based rate authority granted to it by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, tendered for filing an updated market power analysis in compliance with the Commission's June 18, 1998, letter order in Docket No. ER98–3030–000.

Questions concerning this filing may be directed to counsel for Westchester, Lawrence W. Plitch, 650 Grove Street, Newton Lower Falls, Massachusetts 02462–1319, Phone (617) 244–7491, Fax (617) 244–4878, e-mail stratergy@mediaone.net.

Comment date: July 9, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 3. Consumers Energy Company

[Docket No ER01-1587-002]

Take notice that on June 18, 2001, Consumers Energy Company (Consumers) tendered for filing under protest the following tariff sheets as part of its FERC Electric Tariff No. 6 and the following Service Agreement under its FERC Electric Tariff No. 6 in compliance with the May 17, 2001 order issued in this proceeding, First Revised Sheet Nos. 142 and 171 and Substitute Service Agreement No. 62. Copies of the filing were served upon the Michigan Public Service Commission and those on the official service list in this proceeding.

The sheets are to have an effective date of May 17, 2001. The Service Agreement is to have an effective date of March 21, 2001.

### 4. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER01-1842-001]

Take notice that on June 18, 2001, Illinois Power Company, filed with the Commission a service agreement designation as required by Order No. 614 and the Letter Order issued on May 29, 2001 in this docket.

Comment date: July 9, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 5. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER01-2340-000]

Take notice that on June 18, 2001, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) tendered for filing umbrella Service Agreements to provide Short-Term Firm and Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service to City of Burbank, Burbank Water and Power, and Axia Energy under APS' Open Access Transmission Tariff.

A copy of this filing has been served on City of Burbank, Burbank Water and Power, Axia Energy and the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Comment date: July 9, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 6. Maine Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER01-2341-000]

Take notice that on June 18, 2001, Maine Public Service Company (Maine Public) filed an executed Service Agreement for Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service under Maine