Comment date: July 10, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER01-2349-000]

Take notice, that on June 19, 2001, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) tendered for filing the following agreements between Nevada Power Company, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, SCE, and the Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles (collectively Parties):

Amended & Restated Mohave Project Plant Site Conveyance and Co-Tenancy Agreement

Amended & Restated Mohave Project Operating Agreement

Amended & Restated Eldorado System Conveyance and Co-Tenancy Agreement

Amended & Restated Eldorado Operating Agreement

Amended & Restated Agreement for Additional Nevada Power Company Connection to Mohave Project 500 kV Switchyard

Facilities Services Agreement

The amended and restated agreements and the Facilities Services Agreement reflect the Parties' agreement to transfer the Mohave 500 kV Switchyard from the Mohave Project to the Eldorado System. Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, each of the Parties, and the California Independent System Operator

SCE requests that these agreements be made effective August 18, 2001.

Comment date: July 10, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER01-2350-000]

Take notice that on June 19, 2001, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) submitted for filing two executed service agreements with the State of Nevada, Colorado River Commission (CRC), under the terms of PNM's Open Access Transmission Tariff. One agreement is for short-term firm point-to-point transmission service and one is for non-firm point-to-point transmission service.

The effective date for the agreements is May 29, 2001, the date of execution. PNM's filing is available for public inspection at its offices in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Copies of the filing have been sent to CRC and to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission. Comment date: July 10, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER01-2351-000]

Take notice that on June 19, 2001, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) tendered for filing an amendment to section 18.17.1 of the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (Operating Agreement). The proposed amendment will permit the release of certain PJM member confidential information to the North American Electric Reliability Council and to neighboring reliability councils solely for the purpose of enhancing reliability in the Mid-Atlantic Area Council, the administrative functions of which are performed by PIM, and its neighboring reliability councils. Copies of this filing were served upon all PJM members and each state electric utility regulatory commission in the PIM control area

PJM requests an effective date of

August 29, 2001.

Comment date: July 10, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

18. Bridgeport Energy LLC

[Docket No. ER01-2352-000]

Take notice that on June 19, 2001, Bridgeport Energy LLC tendered for filing the First Amended and Restated Agreement between Bridgeport Energy LLC and Duke Energy Trading Marketing, LLC. Bridgeport seeks a waiver of the Commission's prior notice requirements.

Comment date: July 10, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call

202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16372 Filed 6–28–01; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7004-5]

Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Petition for Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement providing for rulemaking to amend regulations issued pursuant to section 112(d) of the Clean Air Act.

SUMMARY: EPA hereby gives notice of a proposed settlement agreement in the case entitled *American Coke and Coal Chemicals Inst.* v. *EPA*, No. 99–1339 (consolidated with *American Crop Prot. Ass'n* v. *EPA*, No. 99–1332) (D.C. Cir.). EPA issues this notice in accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act (the "Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g),which requires EPA to give notice and provide an opportunity for public comment on proposed settlement agreements.

The litigation challenges EPA's promulgation of the final rule entitled National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Pesticide Active Ingredient Production ("PAI NESHAP" or the "rule"). 64 FR 33550 (June 23, 1999). The American Coke and Coal Chemicals Institute ("ACCCI") filed a petition for review of the rule under section 307(b) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b). ACCCI's challenge concerns, among other things, the applicability of the rule to coal tar distillation units that produce creosote.

The proposed Settlement Agreement provides that EPA will undertake a rulemaking to revise the definition of "process tank" to eliminate the current reference to processing upstream and downstream of such tanks, and to provide additional examples of the types of tanks covered by the definition.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will accept written comments relating to the proposed Settlement Agreement from persons who are not named as parties or interveners to this litigation. EPA or the

Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed Settlement Agreement if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that the agreement is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice makes such a determination following the comment period, EPA will take the actions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement is available from Phyllis Cochran, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 564–5566. Written comments should be sent to Paul R. Cort, Esq., at the above address and must be submitted on or before July 30, 2001.

Dated: June 20, 2001.

Alan W. Eckert,

Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01–16440 Filed 6–28–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6619-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–FHW–F40395–WI Rating EC2, County Highway J/Wis 164 (I–94 to County E) Corridor Study, Improvements, City of Pewaukee, Villages of Pewaukee and Sussex Towns of Lisbon, Richfield and Polk, Waukesha and Washington Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA's review identified issues relating to direct and cumulative impacts to wetlands; indirect impacts from increased urbanization; and expressed concerns regarding impacts to water quality.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40171-NB Rating EC2, Lincoln South and East Beltways

Project, To Complete a Circumferential Transportation System linking I–80 on the north and U.S. 77 on the west, Funding, COE 404 Permit, Lancaster County, NB.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the range of alternatives meeting the purpose and need for the project, archeological resources and asked for more information regarding wetlands mitigation.

ERP No. D–FTA–B59001–CT Rating LO, New Britain—Hartford Busway Project, Proposal to Build an Exclusive Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Facility, Located in the Towns/Cities of New Britain, Newington, West Hartford and Hartford, CT.

Summary: EPA raised no objections to the proposed project and encouraged CTDOT/FHWA to consider emission retrofit devices to reduce emissions.

ERP No. D-FTA-K40243-CA Rating EC2, Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridor Improvements, Wilshire Bus Rapid Transit and Exposition Transitway, Construction and Operation, Funding, Section 404 Permit, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding Environmental Justice impacts along the Exposition corridor and the storage and maintenance sites. EPA also expressed concerns regarding the provision of Park and Ride facilities in excess of parking demand. EPA requested additional analysis and documentation on both of these issues.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-J61103-MT Discovery Ski Area Expansion, Implementation, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Pintler Ranger District, Rumsey Mountain, Granite County, MT.

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns regarding the information used to support expansion of the ski area; inadequate analysis; disclosure of indirect effects of induced development associated with the ski area expansion; and growth pressures to serve and accommodate increasing numbers of skiers.

ERP No. F–FHW–F40784–OH OH–7 (LAW–7) Relocation, OH–7 and OH–527 to a point Northeast of Rome Township and OH–607 from East Huntington Bridge to an Interchange with proposed OH–7 and OH–775, Funding, Lawrence County, OH.

Summary: Because the final EIS indicates that the Feasible Alternative B has been selected for implementation, EPA's previously expressed environmental concerns have now been fully addressed and resolved.

ERP No. F-FHW-G40156-TX TX-130 Construction, I-35 of Georgetown to I-10 near Seguin, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit, Williamson, Travis, Caldwell, Guadalupe Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA's review found that comments offered on the draft EIS have been responded to in the final EIS. EPA had no additional comments.

ERP No. F–FHW–J40152–CO South I– 25 and US 85 Corridors Improvements, CO–470 to Castle Rock, Funding, Douglas County, CO.

Summary: EPA was pleased with the cumulative impacts assessment done by FHWA and CDOT as well as the coordination and mitigation planning initiated with other parties. EPA expressed concern for the lack of quantification of secondary impacts to some potentially affected resources.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40232-CA San Francisco—Oakland Bay Bridge, East Span Seismic Safety Project, Connection between I-80 Yerba Buena Island and Oakland, US Coast Guard Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, San Francisco and Alameda Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA's review of the final EIS found that the document adequately addressed the issues raised in EPA's comment letter regarding the draft EIS.

Dated: June 26, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–16458 Filed 6–28–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6619-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 18, 2001 Through June 22, 2001 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010226, Draft EIS, NPS, WY, Devil's Tower National Monument General Management Plan, Implementation, Crook County, WY, Comment Period Ends: August 13, 2001, Contact: Chas Cartwright (307) 467–5283.

EIS No. 010227, Draft EIS, MMS, CA,
Delineation Drilling Activities in
Federal Water Offshore, Santa Barbara
County, Federal Outer Continental
Shelf (OCS), Mobile Offshore Drilling
Unit (MODU) Santa Barbara County,
CA, Comment Period Ends: August