SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3341]

State of Minnesota; (Amendment #5)

In accordance with a notice received from the Federal Emergency
Management Agency, dated June 26,
2001, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to include McCleod and Pope Counties in the State of Minnesota as disaster areas caused by severe winter storms, flooding and tornadoes occurring between March 23, 2001 and continuing.

Any counties contiguous to the above named primary counties and not listed here have been previously declared.

All other information remains the same, i.e., the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is July 15, 2001 and for economic injury the deadline is February 15, 2002.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: June 27, 2001.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–16727 Filed 7–3–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3353]

State of Mississippi

Harrison County and the contiguous counties of Hancock, Jackson, Pearl River and Stone constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by Tropical Storm Allison that occurred on June 11, 2001. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on August 27, 2001 and for economic injury until the close of business on March 26, 2002 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations: U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 2 Office, One Baltimore Place, Suite 300. Atlanta. GA 30308

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For physical damage:	
Homeowners With Credit Avail-	
able Elsewhere	6.625
Homeowners Without Credit	
Available Elsewhere	3.312
Businesses With Credit Avail-	
able Elsewhere	8.000
Businesses and Non-Profit Or-	
ganizations Without Credit	
Available Elsewhere	4.000

	Percent
Others (Including Non-Profit Organizations) With Credit Available Elsewhere	7.125
Credit Available Elsewhere	4.000

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 335308 and for economic injury the number assigned is 9M1100.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.)

Dated: June 27, 2001.

John Whitmore,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01–16726 Filed 7–3–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Teleconferences

AGENCY: Social Security Administration (SSA).

ACTION: Notice of Teleconferences.

DATES:

July 9, 2001, 1:30 pm-3:30 pm (ET) July 9, 2001, 3:30 pm-5:00 pm (ET) July 25, 2001, 1:00 pm-3:30 pm (ET) September 11, 2001, 1:30 pm-4:30 pm (ET)

September 12, 2001, 1:00 pm-3:30 pm (ET)

ADDRESSES: Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Advisory Panel Office, Social Security Administration,400 Virginia Avenue, SW, Suite 700, Washington, D.C. 20024.

TELECONFERENCES:

Monday, July 9, 2001, 1:30 pm-3:30 pm (ET)

Evaluation and Design Committee Conference Call

Call-in number: 1–888–677–1801

Pass code: evaluation Leader: Theda Zawaiza

Monday, July 9, 2001, 3:30 pm–5:00 pm (ET)

Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Advisory Panel Conference Call Call-in number: 1–888–677–1801

Pass code: evaluation Leader: Theda Zawaiza

Wednesday, July 25, 2001, 1:00 pm-3:30 pm (ET)

Planning and Operations Committee Conference Call

Call-in number: 1-888-552-9191

Pass code: 12211

Leader: Tamara Allen Tuesday, September 11, 2001, 1:30 pm— 4:30 pm (ET) Evaluation and Design Committee Conference Call Call-in number: 1–888–677–1801 Pass code: evaluation Leader: Theda Zawaiza Wednesday, September 12, 2001, 1:00 pm–3:30 pm (ET)

Planning and Operations Committee Conference Call

Call-in number: 1–888–396–9185

Pass code: 12211 Leader: Tamara Allen

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Type of meetings: These teleconference meetings are open to the public. The interested public is invited to participate by coming to the address listed above or calling into the teleconferences. Public testimony will not be taken.

Purpose: In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Social Security Administration (SSA) announces a series of teleconference meetings of the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act (TWWIIA) Advisory Panel (the Panel) and the Committees thereof. Section 101(f) of Public Law 106-170 establishes the Panel to advise the Commissioner of SSA, the President, and the Congress on issues related to work incentives programs, planning and assistance for individuals with disabilities as provided under section 101(f)(2)(A) of the TWWIIA. The Panel is also to advise the Commissioner on matters specified in section 101(f)(2)(B) of that Act, including certain issues related to the Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program established under section 101(a) of that Act.

Agenda: The Panel, the Evaluation and Design Committee, and the Planning and Operations Committee will deliberate on the implementation of TWWIIA, conduct committee activities and administrative business. The agenda for these meetings will be posted on the Internet at http://www.ssa.gov/work/panel/ one week prior to the teleconference or can be received in advance electronically or by fax upon request. Records are being kept of all Panel proceedings and will be available for public inspection by appointment at the Panel office.

Contact Information: Anyone requiring information regarding the Panel should contact the TWWIIA Panel staff by mail addressed to Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Advisory Panel Staff, Social Security Administration, 700 Virginia Avenue, SW, Washington, DC, 20024, telephone contact with Kristen Breland at (202) 358–6423, fax at (202) 358–6440 or e-mail to TWWIIAPanel@ssa.gov.

Dated: June 29, 2001.

Deborah M. Morrison,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–16908 Filed 7–3–01; 10:46 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-U

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 3713]

Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs: Request for Grant Proposals: Educational Partnerships Program (Formerly College and University Affiliations Program)

SUMMARY: The Office of Global Educational Programs of the Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs in the Department of State announces an open competition for the Educational Partnerships Program, previously known as the College and University Affiliations Program. Accredited, postsecondary educational institutions meeting the provisions described in IRS regulation 26 CFR 1.501(c) may apply to pursue institutional or departmental objectives in partnership with foreign counterpart institutions with support from the Educational Partnerships Program. These objectives should support the overall goal of the Program: To strengthen mutual understanding and cooperation between U.S. and foreign educational institutions on subjects of enduring common interest to the United States, to other countries, and to the institutions participating in the Program.

Program Overview

The Educational Partnerships Program has developed from its predecessor, the College and University Affiliations Program, which since 1982 has provided grants to U.S. colleges and universities for international cooperation. The Program's new name underscores the expectation that the successful pursuit of project objectives will require all institutional partners to be actively engaged with one another. Current and former participants in the Fulbright Senior Scholar Program and other university teachers and administrators with knowledge of educational institutions in other countries are encouraged to build on this knowledge through institutional cooperation with support from the Educational Partnerships Program. The review criteria outlined in this document emphasize the importance of mutual commitment and shared benefits. Potential applicants are discouraged from proposing projects that have been developed previously for other programs unless the projects are reconceived with the overall goals and review criteria for the Educational Partnerships Program clearly in mind.

The Educational Partnerships Program supports institutional linkages in higher education with every world region except the New Independent States of the former Soviet Union. It is anticipated that separate Requests for Grant Proposals for institutional partnerships in higher education with the New Independent States (NIS College and University Partnerships Program and the NIS Community College Partnerships Program) will be published in the summer of 2001. For additional information about these NIS programs please refer to the "Foreign Country and Location Eligibility" section of this RFGP. Other RFGPs for educational partnerships may also be published this fiscal year.

This RFGP for the Educational Partnerships Program does not prescribe specific project objectives, but establishes the parameters within which applicants are invited to propose projects. Institutional objectives should be consistent with the Program's goal of equipping the participating institutions with a stronger ability to address, through teaching, research, or outreach, critical issues in the fields eligible in FY 2002: The social, political, and economic sciences; business; journalism and media studies; law; public administration; public health policy and administration; the humanities (excluding the fine arts); the Teaching of English as a Foreign Language; and educational development or administration (excluding educational projects in the physical, technical, and medical sciences). Additional information on themes of special interest in specific world regions may be found under the heading "Foreign Country and Location Eligibility.'

Institutional Objectives for Applicants

While the benefits of the project to each of the participating institutions may differ significantly in nature and scope based on their respective needs and resource bases, proposals should outline well-reasoned strategies that are designed to meet specific objectives for each participating U.S. and foreign department or institution as a whole.

For example, proposals may outline the parameters and possible content of new courses, new research or teaching specializations or methodologies, new or revised curricula, new programs for educational outreach, or other changes specifically anticipated as a result of the project. Proposals to pursue a limited number of related thematic objectives at each institution are preferred to proposals addressing a large number of unrelated objectives.Proposals that do not benefit all institutional partners are not eligible for funding from this Program.

In addition to demonstrating how each participating institution can assist its partner(s) to meet institutional goals, proposals should also explain how this cooperation will enable each institution to address its own needs. Accordingly, applicants are encouraged to describe the needs and deficiencies as well as the capabilities and strengths of each participating department and institution, and how each institution will contribute to and benefit from the achievement of project objectives. Proposals that realistically assess institutional capacities will be better able to outline compelling objectives that address institutional needs and justify a request for support. To be competitive, proposals should demonstrate that the participating institutions understand one another and are committed to mutual support and cooperation in project implementation.

If the proposed partnership would occur within the context of a previous or ongoing project, the proposal should explain how the request for Bureau funding would build upon the preexisting relationship or complement previous and concurrent projects. Previous projects should be described, with details about the amounts and sources of support and the results of previous cooperative efforts.

Institutions receiving partnership grant awards will be expected to submit periodic reports on the results of program activities. Proposals should outline and budget for a methodology for project evaluation. The evaluation plan should include an assessment of the current status of each participating department's and institution's needs at the time of program inception with specific reference to project objectives; formative evaluation to allow for midcourse revisions in the implementation strategy; and, at the conclusion of the project, summative evaluation of the degree to which the project's objectives have been achieved together with observations about the project's continuing potential to influence the participating institutions and their surrounding communities or societies. The final evaluation should also include recommendations about how to build upon project achievements. Evaluative observations by external consultants with appropriate subject and regional expertise are especially encouraged.