

Note 2: When the TR documents listed in Table 1 in paragraph (a)(1) of this AD are incorporated into the general revisions of the DHC-8 Maintenance Program Manual, you may insert the general revisions into the Bombardier maintenance program, provided that the information contained in the general revisions is identical to that specified in the TR documents.

Structural Inspections

(2) For airplanes having closing angles that are identified as principal structural elements: Do the inspections specified by the applicable TR listed in Table 1 of paragraph (a) of this AD. Thereafter, repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 10,000 flight cycles at the time specified in paragraph (a)(2)(i), (a)(2)(ii), or (a)(2)(iii) of this AD, as applicable.

(i) For airplanes that have accumulated less than 8,000 flight cycles as of the effective date of this AD: Do the threshold inspection prior to the accomplishment of 10,000 flight cycles, or within 2,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.

(ii) For airplanes that have accumulated 8,000 flight cycles or more as of the effective date of this AD: Do the threshold inspection within 2,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD.

(iii) For airplanes on which a 40,000 flight cycle inspection specified by the applicable TR listed in Table 1 of paragraph (a) of this AD has been done: Start the 10,000 flight cycle repetitive inspection at the time specified by paragraph (a)(2)(iii)(A) or (a)(2)(iii)(B) of this AD, as applicable.

(A) If no cracks were found, start the cycle from the date of the 40,000 flight cycle inspection.

(B) If cracks have been found and the closing angles have been replaced as provided in paragraph (b) of this AD, start the cycle from the date of the replacement.

Corrective Actions

(b) If any crack is detected during any structural inspection required by paragraph (a)(2) of this AD, before further flight, repair any such cracking or replace the closing angles per a method approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA; or the Transport Canada Civil Aviation (or its delegated agent). For a repair or replacement method to be approved by the Manager, New York ACO, as required by this paragraph, the Manager's approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(c) Except as provided by paragraph (d) of this AD: After the actions specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this AD have been accomplished, no alternative inspections or inspection intervals may be approved for the structural elements specified by the documents listed in Table 1 of paragraph (a)(1) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal

Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(f) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with de Havilland Temporary Revision TR AWL-71, dated September 3, 1999; de Havilland Temporary Revision TR AWL 2-15, dated September 3, 1999; and de Havilland Temporary Revision TR AWL 3-78, dated November 19, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, New York ACO, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in Canadian airworthiness directive CF-2000-07, dated March 3, 2000.

Effective Date

(g) This amendment becomes effective on August 10, 2001.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 26, 2001.

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-16736 Filed 7-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00-ANM-17]

Revision of Class E airspace, Roosevelt, UT

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action revises the Roosevelt, UT, Class E airspace to accommodate airspace required for the

establishment of a new Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) to the Roosevelt Municipal Airport, Roosevelt, UT.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, September 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Durham, ANM-520.7, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 00-ANM-17, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone number: (425) 227-2527.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On October 16, 2000, the FAA proposed to amend Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, part 71 (14 CFR part 71) by establishing Class E airspace at Roosevelt, UT, in order to accommodate a new Area Navigation (RNAV) SIAP to Runway (RWY) 25 at Roosevelt Municipal Airport, Roosevelt, UT (65 FR 61126). This amendment provides Class E5 airspace at Roosevelt, UT, to meet current criteria standards associated with the SIAPs. Interested parties were invited to participate in the rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal. No comments were received.

The Rule

This amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, part 71 (14 CFR part 71) revises Class E airspace at Roosevelt, UT, in order to accommodate a new SIAP to the Roosevelt Municipal Airport, Roosevelt, UT. This amendment revises Class E5 airspace at Roosevelt, UT, to meet current criteria standards associated with the RNAV RWY 25 SIAP. The FAA establishes Class E airspace where necessary to contain aircraft transitioning between the terminal and en route environments. This rule is designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) at the Roosevelt Municipal Airport and between the terminal and en route transition stages.

The area will be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference. The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth, are published in Paragraph 6005, of FAA Order 7400.9H dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

ANM UT E5 Roosevelt, UT [Revised Roosevelt Municipal Airport, UT lat. 40°16'42"N., long 110°03'05"W.) Myton, VORTAC

(lat. 40°08'42"N., long 110°07'40"W. That airspace extending from 700 feet about the surface within a 7.5-mile radius of the Roosevelt Municipal Airport and within 5 miles east 5 miles west of the Myton VORTAC 024° and 204° radials extending from the 7.5-mile radius of the airport to 1.7 miles south of the VORTAC; that airspace extending

upward from 1,200 feet about the surface bounded by a line beginning at lat. 39°44'34"N., long. 110°29'40"W. to lat. 40°27'47"N., long. 110°29'40"W. to lat. 40°27'47"N., long. 109°28'18"W. to lat. 40°04'04"N., long. 109°28'18"W. to lat. 40°04'04"N., long. 110°44'52"W. lat. 39°44'34"N., long. 109°44'52"W. to the point of beginning; excluding Federal Airways; Duchesne, UT, and Vernal, UT, Class E airspace areas.

* * * * *

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 27, 2001.

Lee Daniel,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 01–16966 Filed 7–5–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Parts 801, 802 and 803

Premerger Notification; Antitrust Improvements Act Notification and Report Form

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule amends the Antitrust Improvements Act Notification and Report Form ("Form") which must be completed and submitted by persons required to report mergers and acquisitions pursuant to section 7A of the Clayton Act, as added by the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 ("HSR Act"). The telephone number for the Bureau of the Census appearing on the Instructions in the Interim Rules will be deleted as this telephone number is no longer referenced on the Bureau of the Census web page. Persons requiring information on NAICS should refer to the Census web page at www.census.gov. Otherwise, this final rule implements the Interim Rules as published on May 9, 2001.

DATE: This final rule is effective July 1, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marian R. Bruno, Assistant Director, or Alice M. Villavicencio, Compliance Specialist, Premerger Notification Office, Bureau of Competition, Room 301, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Telephone (202) 326–3100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion of Comments

On May 9, 2001, the Commission published Interim Rules amending the

Form, and Insurance Appendix contained in 16 CFR Part 803, and amending the Rules, 16 CFR Parts 801 and 802 (66 FR 23561). The Interim Rules solicited public comments regarding the effective date of July 1, 2001.

The Commission received three public comments. The first comment, dated May 25, 2001, was submitted by Nortel Networks, Inc. (Mary M. Cross and Monica L. Lester). This comment asserts that the July 1, 2001, effective date causes a burden for larger "calendar year" companies, such as Nortel, to comply with a midyear effective date and that a January 1, 2002 effective date is more efficient. The comment explains that the company will have difficulty in compiling data on the revenues generated by its products and in classifying its 1997 and 2000 revenues to the NAICS because Nortel operates various lines of businesses and reports revenue information on a calendar-year basis. It anticipates that other larger companies may have similar experiences during the transition. The second comment, dated June 7, 2001, was submitted by Emerson Electric Company (Richard J. Schlueter). This comment suggests that the Commission postpone the effective date, or in the alternative, that the Commission allow an unspecified grace period, permitting larger companies to submit revenue data using either the SIC or the NAICS while making the transition.

The third comment, dated May 16, 2001, was submitted by Taft, Stettinius, & Hollister LLP (Thomas C. Hill) and did not address the effective date of these amendments. Comment three recommends that the dollar threshold in Item 8 be raised. This comment will remain under consideration and may be addressed by future rulemaking.

The sparse number of comments leads the Commission to conclude that the vast majority of persons filing notification are able and ready to report revenue data using the NAICS. The Commission concludes that an effective date of July 1, 2001, remains appropriate.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The information required by the amended Form is substantially the same as the information elicited on the current Form. The only difference is that filing persons will be required to report revenue data using the NAICS instead of the SIC in Items 5, 7, and 8. The change in base year simply requires that filing persons use data from the "1997 Economic Census" rather than data from the "1992 Economic Census."