provided by the crowd in response to his initial request for a market—and the crowd then wants to take part or all of the order at the improved price—the floor broker is entitled to priority over the crowd to facilitate up to 40% of the contracts. If the floor brokers has proposed the cross at a price between the best bid and offer provided by the crowd in response to his initial request for a market, and the trading crowd subsequently improves the floor broker's price, and the facilitation cross is executed at that improved price, the floor broker would only be entitled to priority to facilitate up to 20% of the contracts.

The program also provides that if the facilitation transaction takes place at the specialist's quoted bid or offer, any participation allocated to the specialist pursuant to Amex trading floor practices would apply only to the number of contracts remaining after all public customer orders have been filled and the number firm's crossing rights have been exercised.⁵ However, in no case could the total number of contracts guaranteed to the member firm and the specialist exceed 40% of the facilitation transactions.

In the year since the pilot program began, the Exchange has found it to be generally successful. The Exchange seeks to reinstate the pilot program for 90 days, pending consideration of a related proposed rule change it has filed with Commission 6 concerning revisions to the program that the Amex believes will provide further incentive for price improvement by using different procedures to determine specialist and registered option trader participation. The related proposal would also make the program permanent.

In order to allow the pilot program to be reinstated without significant interruption, the Amex has requested that the Commission expedite review of, and grant accelerated approval to, this proposal, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.⁷

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act 8 in general and

furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act ⁹ in particular in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will impose no burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Amex-2001-37 and should be submitted by August 8, 2001.

IV. Commission Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. ¹⁰ In its original approval of

the pilot program, ¹¹ the Commission detailed its reasons for finding its substantive features consistent with the Act, and, in particular, the requirements of Sections 6(b)(5) and 6(b)(8) of the Act. ¹² The Commission has previously approved rules on other exchanges that establish substantially similar programs on a permanent basis, ¹³ and the reinstatement of the pilot program on the Amex—pending review of its related proposal to revise the program and make it permanent—raises no new regulatory issues for consideration by the Commission.

The Commission finds good cause, consistent with Sections 6(b) and 19(b)(2) of the Act, for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of the notice of filing thereof in the **Federal Register**. The proposal will allow the pilot program to be reinstated while revisions are being considered, and does not raise any new regulatory issues.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change be, and hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis as a pilot program through October 9, 2001.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 14

Margaret McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–17889 Filed 7–17–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 8010–01–M$

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–44544; File No. SR–ISE–2001–04]

Self Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange LLC; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Relating To Its Disciplinary Procedures

July 12, 2001.

On February 6, 2001, the International Securities Exchange LLC ("ISE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 a proposed rule change to make certain changes to its

⁵ Amex trading floor practices provide specialists with a greater than equal participation in trades that take place at a price at which the specialist is on parity with registered options traders in the crowd. These practices are subject to a separate filing that seeks to codify specialist allocation practices. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 42964 (June 20, 2000), 65 FR 39972 (June 28, 2000).

⁶ See File No. SR–Amex–00–49, available for inspection at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

 $^{^{\}rm 11}\,See$ supra, note 3.

^{12 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and (b)(8).

¹³ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 42835 (May 26, 2000), 65 FR 35683 (June 5, 2000), and 42848 (May 26, 2000), 65 FR 36206 (June 7, 2000).

^{14 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

^{14 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

disciplinary rule and procedures. These changes are intended to reflect and facilitate the "hybrid" regulatory scheme resulting from the ISE's regulatory services agreement with NASD Regulation, Inc. ("NASDR") pursuant to which, among other things, NASDR provides services related to conducting regulatory investigations and disciplinary actions.

The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 29, 2001.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange 4 and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6 of the Act 5 and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds specifically that the proposed rule change is consistent with Sections 6(b)(6) and 6(b)(7) of the Act, respectively,⁶ in that the proposed rule change satisfies the requirements that an Exchange's rule: (1) Provide that its members and persons associated with its members shall be appropriately disciplined for violation of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, or the rules of the exchange; and (2) provides a fair procedure for the disciplinary of members and persons associated with members.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁷ that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–ISE–2001–04) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-17929 Filed 7-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-44550; File No. SR-NSCC-2001-08]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Processing Commission Payments

July 12, 2001.

On April 27, 2001, the National Securities Clearing Corporation ("NSCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change (File No. SR–NSCC–2001–08) pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act").¹ Notice of the proposal was published in the Federal Register on May 29, 2001.² No comment letters were received. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is granting approval of the proposed rule change.

I. Description

The purpose of the filing is to further standardize and automate NSCC's processing of commission payments to non-clearing members. In accordance with NSCC Rule 16, NSCC's Commission Bill Service currently permits non-clearing members entitled to a credit to receive their monthly commission bill payments either electronically by Automated Clearing House ("ACH") wire transfer or manually by check. At present, slightly less than 50% of NSCC's approximate 350 non-clearing members receive their commission bill payments by check. Such manual distributions are made on the floors of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX"). The proposed rule change will require all non-clearing members to execute appropriate ACH documentation and to receive their credit payments by ACH wire transfer.

In the event a non-clearing member does not pay an amount it owes to NSCC, the rule is being changed to explicitly permit NSCC to set-off any future commission bill credits to which the non-clearing member is entitled.

Subject to Commission approval, NSCC will implement the proposed rule changes on July 13, 2001. Any nonclearing member that has not executed the appropriate ACH wire transfer documentation will not receive any credit payments until it does.

II. Discussion

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) 3 of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a national system. The proposed rule change allows NSCC to require non-clearing members to receive their monthly commission payments by wire transfer rather than by check. By electronically transferring such funds, NSCC can further standardize and automate its processing systems which is consistent with NSCC's obligation to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of the national system for clearance and settlement. Therefore, the Commission finds that NSCC's proposed rule change is consistent with its obligations under section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.

III. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular Section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–NSCC–2001–08) be and hereby is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–17931 Filed 7–17–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-44539; No. SR-NYSE-2001-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. To Amend Rule 13 on XPress Quote Parameters

July 11, 2001.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 13, 2001, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44327 (May 18, 2001), 66 FR 29188.

⁴In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(6)-(7).

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{8 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

 $^{^2}$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44330 (May 29, 2001), 66 FR 29192.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

^{4 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.