

with all Rule 19b-4(e) recordkeeping requirements.

The Commission also notes that certain concerns are raised when a broker-dealer is involved in both the development and maintenance of a stock index upon which a product such as PDRs or ICUs is based. The proposal requires that, in such circumstances, the broker-dealer must have procedures in place to prevent the misuse of material, non-public information regarding changes and adjustments to the index and that the index value be calculated by a third party who is not a broker-dealer. The Commission believes that these requirements should help address concerns raised by a broker-dealer's involvement in the management of such an index.

Finally, the Commission believes that the Exchange's proposal will ensure that investors have information that will allow them to be adequately apprised of the terms, characteristics, and risks of trading PDRs and ICUs. Members and member organizations will be required to provide to all purchasers of ICUs or PDRs a written description of the terms and characteristics of these securities, to include their product description in sales materials provided to customers or the public, to include a specific statement relating to the availability of the description in other types of materials distributed to customers or the public, and to provide a copy of the prospectus, when requested by a customer. The proposal also requires a member or member organization carrying an omnibus account for a non-ETP Holder, non-Equity ASAP Holder, or non-ETP Firm, to notify the non-ETP Holder, non-Equity ASAP Holder, or non-ETP Firm that execution of an order to purchase an ICU or PDR constitutes an agreement by the non-member to provide the product description to its customers.

The Commission also notes that upon the initial listing, or trading pursuant to UTP, of any PDRs or ICUs under the generic standards, the Exchange will issue a circular to its members explaining the unique characteristics and risks of this particular type of security. The circular also will note the Exchange members' prospectus or product description delivery requirements, and highlight the characteristics of purchases in a particular series of PDRs or ICUs. The circular also will inform members of these securities. The Commission believes that these requirements ensure adequate disclosure to investor about the terms and characteristics of a

particular series and is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act.<sup>32</sup>

The Commission finds good cause for approving the proposed rule change, as amended, prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice thereof in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act. The Commission notes that the proposed rule change is based on the generic listing standards in Amex Rule 1000 *et seq.* (PDRs) and 1000A *et seq.* (Index Fund Shares), which the Commission previously approved after soliciting public comment on the proposal pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Act.<sup>33</sup> The Commission does not believe that the proposed rule change raises novel regulatory issues that were not addressed in the Amex filing. Accordingly, the Commission believes it is appropriate to permit investors to benefit from the flexibility afforded by these new instruments by trading them as soon as possible. Accordingly, the Commission finds that there is good cause, consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>34</sup> to approve the proposal on an accelerated basis.

## V. Conclusion

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>35</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-PCX-2001-14) and Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 thereto, are hereby approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>36</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-18068 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Office of the Secretary

#### Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During Week Ending July 6, 2001

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the applications.

*Docket Number:* OST-2001-10051.

*Date Filed:* July 3, 2001.

<sup>32</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>33</sup> See note 28 *supra*. The Commission notes that the PCX proposal is also based on the generic listing standards at the CHX and the CBOE. See note 11, *supra*.

<sup>34</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(5).

<sup>35</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>36</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

*Parties:* Members of the International Air Transport Association.

*Subject:*

PTC COMP 0834 dated July 3, 2001  
Mail Vote 131—Resolution 010f  
Special Passenger Amending  
Resolution (remove certain  
exceptional cost increases adopted  
at the February/November, 2000  
meetings)

Intended effective date: July 15, 2001

**Dorothy Y. Beard,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 01-18113 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-62-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Office of the Secretary

#### Notice of Application for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart B (formerly Subpart Q) during the week ending July 6, 2001. The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart B (formerly Subpart Q) of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 301.201 *et seq.*). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period, DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

*Docket Number:* OST-2001-10052.

*Date Filed:* July 3, 2001.

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* July 24, 2001.

*Description:* Application of DHL Airways, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 41102 and subpart B, requesting a renewal and an amendment of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for Route 725, Segments 1 through 6, to provide scheduled foreign air transportation of property and mail between points in the United States and points in Mexico.

*Docket Number:* OST-2001-10068.

*Date Filed:* July 6, 2001.

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* July 27, 2001.

*Description:* Application of Amerijet International, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C.

Section 41102 and Subpart B, requesting a renewal and an amendment of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for Route 570, Segment 1 through 4, to provide scheduled foreign air transportation of property and mail between points in the United States and points in Mexico for a five-year period. Amerijet also requests that its certificate authority be amended to include the terminal point Fort Lauderdale, in addition to, or as an alternative to Miami.

**Dorothy Y. Beard,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 01-18114 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-62-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement for the Baltimore-Washington Maglev Proposal

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

**SUMMARY:** FRA is issuing this notice to advise the public that FRA will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Baltimore-Washington Maglev proposal linking the Camden Yards area in Baltimore, MD and Baltimore-Washington International Airport (BWI) with Union Station in Washington, DC; to solicit public and agency input into the development of the EIS; and, to advise the public that outreach activities conducted by the program participants will be considered in the preparation of the EIS.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Suhair Alkhatib, Maryland Mass Transit Administration, William Donald Schaefer Tower, 6 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, MD 21202-1614, Telephone: (410) 767-3751, email: [salkhatib@mdot.state.md.us](mailto:salkhatib@mdot.state.md.us) or Michael Saunders, Federal Railroad Administration, 628-2 Hebron Avenue, Suite 303, Glastonbury, CT 06033-5007, Telephone: (860) 659-6714, email: [Michael.Saunders@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:Michael.Saunders@fhwa.dot.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The FRA prepared a programmatic EIS (PEIS) to address the selection process and the potential for significant environmental impact from the maglev deployment program authorized in Section 1218 (23 U.S.C. 322) of the

Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA 21). The notice of availability of the final PEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on May 4, 2001. In addition, the Maryland Mass Transit Administration prepared an environmental assessment for the Maryland project in February, 2000 which was used by the FRA to assist the agency in preparing the PEIS. The PEIS is available on the FRA website at: <http://www.fra.dot.gov/s/env/maglev/MagPEIS.htm>.

The Secretary of Transportation, consistent with FRA's Maglev Deployment Program regulation (49 CFR Part 268), selected two locations (including this proposal) for further analysis and the development of a site specific EIS. This could lead to the selection of a single project for Federal capital assistance for construction, depending on the appropriation of funds by the U.S. Congress.

The FRA, in cooperation with the Maryland Mass Transit Administration, will prepare a site-specific EIS on a proposal to build a Maglev project linking downtown Baltimore to BWI Airport and Union Station in Washington DC. The FRA, in cooperation with the Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, will also prepare a site-specific EIS for the other location selected for further analysis and development.

It is anticipated that the EIS will consider alternatives including: (1) taking no action, and (2) various alignment and station locations from downtown Baltimore to BWI Airport and Union Station in Washington, DC, and possibly a Capital Beltway station.

#### Scoping and Comments

FRA encourages broad participation in the EIS process and review of the resulting environmental documents. Comments and suggestions related to the project and potential environmental concerns are invited from all interested agencies and the public at large to ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action and all reasonable alternatives are addressed and all significant issues are identified. The public will be invited to participate in the scoping process, review the Draft EIS, and provide input at public meetings. Letters describing the proposed scope of the EIS and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, elected officials, community organizations, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed interest in this proposal. Several public meetings to be advertised in the local media will be

held in the project area regarding this proposal. Release of the Draft EIS for public comment and public meetings and hearings will be announced as those dates are established.

Comments or questions concerning this notice of intent and the EIS should be directed to the FRA or the Maryland Mass Transit Administration at the addresses noted above.

Issued in Washington DC on: July 13, 2001.

**Arrigo P. Mongini,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Railroad Development, Federal Railroad Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-18111 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement for Pennsylvania Maglev Proposal

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** FRA is issuing this notice to advise the public that FRA will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Pennsylvania Maglev proposal linking Pittsburgh International Airport to Pittsburgh and its eastern suburbs in Allegheny and Westmoreland Counties; to solicit public and agency input into the development of the EIS; and, to advise the public that outreach activities conducted by the program participants will be considered in the preparation of the EIS.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Bruce W. Ahern, Port Authority of Allegheny County, 2235 Beaver Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15233-1080, Telephone: (412) 237-6121, email: [Bahern@PortAuthority.org](mailto:Bahern@PortAuthority.org) or Michael Saunders, Federal Railroad Administration, 628-2 Hebron Avenue, Suite 303, Glastonbury, CT 06033-5007, Telephone: (860) 659-6714, email: [Michael.Saunders@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:Michael.Saunders@fhwa.dot.gov)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The FRA prepared a programmatic EIS (PEIS) to address the selection process and the potential for significant environmental impact from the maglev deployment program authorized in Section 1218 (23 U.S.C. 322) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA 21). The notice of availability of the final PEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on