

57401, (605) 626-7644 email:
dennis.angerhofer@state.sd.us

Tennessee

Albert West, Department of Employment
Security, Quality Control, Davy Crockett
Tower, 10th Floor, 500 James Robertson
Parkway, Nashville, TN 37245-2700, (615)
741-3190 email: awest@mail.state.tn.us

Texas

Teresita La Rosa, Benefit Accuracy
Measurement Supervisor, Texas Workforce
Commission, 101 East 15th Street, Room
300, Austin, TX 78778, (512) 936-3629,
email: teresita.larosa@twc.state.tx.us

Utah

Jeff Bardin, Department of Employment
Security, P.O. Box 778, Salt Lake City, UT
84110-0778, (801) 526-9537, email:
jbardin@ws.state.ut.us

Vermont

Robert G. Herbst, Quality Control Supervisor,
Vermont Department of Employment and
Training, 200 Asa Bloomer Building,
Rutland, VT 05701, (802) 786-8807, e-mail:
rherbst@pop.det.state.vt.us

Virginia

F. W. Tucker, IV, Chief of Benefits, Virginia
Employment Commission, P.O. Box 1358,
Richmond, VA 23218-1358, (804) 786-
3032, email: wtucker@vec.state.va.us

Washington

Annette Copeland, Assistant Commissioner,
Unemployment Insurance Division,
Washington Employment Security
Department, P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA
98507-9046, (360) 902-9303, e-mail:
acopeland@esd.wa.gov

West Virginia

Dennis D. Redden, West Virginia Bureau of
Employment Programs, 112 California
Avenue, Charleston, WV 25305, (304) 558-
2256, email: redde@wvnet.edu

Wisconsin

John Mand, QC Section Chief, Wisconsin
Department of Workforce Development, UI
Division, 6083 North Teutonia Avenue,
P.O. Box 09999, Milwaukee, WI 53209,
(414) 438-2055, email:
mandj@dwd.state.wi.us

Wyoming

Ellen Schreiner, U I Administrator, Wyoming
Department of Employment, P.O. Box
2760, Casper, WY 82602-2760, (307) 235-
3253, email: eschre@state.wy.us

[FR Doc. 01-21576 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Committee Management; Renewal

The NSF management official having responsibility for the NSB Public Service Award Service (#5195) has determined that renewing this committee for another two years is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of

duties imposed upon the Director, National Science Foundation (NSF), by 42 USC 1861 *et seq.* This determination follows consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration.

Authority for this Committee will expire on September 4, 2003, unless it renewed. For more information, please contact Susanne Bolton, NSF, at (703) 306-7488.

Dated: August 21, 2001.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-21516 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Advisory Committee for Geosciences Committee of Visitors; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Committee for Geosciences; Committee of Visitors (1755).

Date/Time: September 10-12, 2001, 8 a.m.-5:30 p.m. each day.

Place: Room 770, NSF, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA.

Type of Meeting: Part-open (See Agenda, below).

Contact Person: Ms. Pamela L. Stephens, Senior Science Coordinator, Lower Atmosphere Research Section, Division of Atmospheric Sciences, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, (703) 292-8523.

Purpose of Meeting: To carry out Committee of Visitors (COV) review including program evaluation, GPRA assessments, and access to privileged materials.

Agenda: To provide oversight review of the Lower Atmosphere Research Section.

Closed: September 10 from 8 a.m.-5:30 p.m.; September 11 from 8 a.m.-2 p.m. and September 12 from 8 a.m.-4:30 p.m. To review the merit review processes covering funding decisions of the Lower Atmosphere Research Section made during the immediately preceding three fiscal years.

Open: September 11 from 2 p.m.-5:30 p.m. To assess the results of NSF program investments in the Division of Atmospheric Sciences, Lower Atmosphere Research Section. This shall involve a discussion and review of results focused on NSF and grantee outputs and related outcomes achieved or realized during the preceding three fiscal years. These results may be based on NSF grants or to other investments made in earlier years.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information, financial data, such as salaries, and personal information

concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters that are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: August 21, 2001.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-21515 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-341]

Detroit Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-43 issued to the Detroit Edison Company (the licensee) for operation of the Fermi 2 facilities located in Monroe County, Michigan.

The proposed amendment would modify the applicability statements of Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Conditions for Operations (LCOs) 3.3.6.2, "Secondary Containment Isolation Instrumentation," 3.3.7.1, "Control Room Emergency Filtration (CREF) System Instrumentation," 3.6.4.1, "Secondary Containment," 3.6.4.2, "Secondary Containment Isolation Valves (SCIVs)," 3.6.4.3, "Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) System," 3.7.3, "Control Room Emergency Filtration (CREF) System," 3.7.4, "Control Center Air Conditioning (AC) System," 3.8.2, "AC Sources—Shutdown," 3.8.5, "DC Sources—Shutdown," and 3.8.8, "Distribution Systems—Shutdown." The proposed modifications would require operability of the associated systems only if recently irradiated fuel, which is identified as fuel that has occupied part of a critical reactor core within the previous 7 days, is handled during the first few days of an outage.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has previously made a proposed determination that the December 29, 2001, amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration (February 7, 2001, 66 FR 9381). Subsequently, by letter dated

May 2, 2001, the licensee provided additional information that expanded the scope of the initial notice. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its revised analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The new "recently irradiated fuel" term to describe irradiated fuel assemblies is used to establish operational conditions where specific activities represent situations where significant radioactive releases can be postulated. These operational conditions are consistent with the design basis analysis. Because the equipment affected by the revised operational conditions is not an initiator to any previously analyzed accident, the proposed change cannot increase the probability of any previously evaluated accident.

The re-analysis of the Fuel Handling Accident (FHA) concludes that radiological consequences are within the regulatory acceptance criteria. The results of the Core Alterations events other than the FHA remain unchanged from the original design basis, which showed that these events do not result in fuel cladding damage or radioactive release. The FHA re-analysis includes evaluations of the radiological consequences resulting from a drop of a fuel assembly, using the Alternative Source Term (AST) and the Regulatory Guide 1.25 methodologies, over the reactor core after a post shutdown decay period. The radiological consequences associated with this scenario, assuming no mitigation credit for Secondary Containment, Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) and Control Room Emergency Filtration (CREF) Systems, have been shown to satisfy the regulatory acceptance criteria. Therefore, the proposed change does not significantly increase the radiological consequences of any previously evaluated accident.

Based on the above, the proposed change does not significantly increase the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

2. The change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The relaxed Technical Specifications (TS) requirements apply when specific activities represent situations where significant radioactive releases are not postulated. The proposed relaxed requirements are supported by the revised design basis FHA analysis. The proposed change does not introduce any

new modes of plant operation and does not involve physical modifications to the plant. Therefore, the proposed change does not create the potential for a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The proposed change will result in a revision to the Fermi 2 TS and TS Bases to establish operational conditions where specific activities represent situations during which significant radioactive releases can be postulated. The corresponding TS requirements are consistent with the design basis analysis and are established such that the radiological consequences are at or below the regulatory guidelines. Safety margins and analytical conservatism are retained to ensure that the analysis adequately bounds all postulated event scenarios. The proposed TS Applicability statements continue to ensure that the radiological consequences at both the Control Room and the exclusion area and low population zone boundaries are below the corresponding regulatory guidelines; therefore, the proposed change will not result in a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of

Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By September 26, 2001, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714, which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, or electronically on the Internet at the NRC Web site <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/CFR/index.html>. If there are problems in accessing the document, contact the Public Document Room Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in

the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment

and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Mr. Peter Marquardt, Legal Department, 688 WCB, Detroit Edison Company, 2000 2nd Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226-1279, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 29, 2000, supplemented May 2 and July 19, 2001, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of August 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Tae Kim,

Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-21582 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-483]

Union Electric Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Union Electric Company (the licensee) to withdraw its application dated May 25, 2000, as supplemented by letters dated March 2 and 21, 2001, for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-30 for the Callaway Plant, Unit 1, located in Callaway County, Missouri.

The proposed amendment would have revised Technical Specification 3.3.9, "Boron Dilution Mitigation System (BDMS)," to eliminate the system to avoid recurring inadvertent actuations of the system.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on June 14, 2000 (65 FR 37429). However, by letter dated August 13, 2001, the licensee withdrew the proposed change. Upon further consideration by the licensee, the proposed changes to TS 3.3.9 were no longer considered an improvement to the Callaway Plant. The licensee stated that due to repairs in Refueling Outage 10 (November 1999), there were no inadvertent actuations of the boron dilution mitigation system during Refueling Outage 11 (April-May 2001).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated May 25, 2000, and its supplemental letters dated March 2 and 21, 2001, and the licensee's letter dated August 13, 2001, which withdrew the application for license amendment.

Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/>