The amended notice applicable to TA–W–39,353 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Double Springs Corp., Garment Corporation of America, Double Springs, Alabama who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 14, 2000, through June 29, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of August, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-21845 Filed 8-28-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,617; TA-W-38,617B]

Garan Manufacturing Corp; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 9, 2001, applicable to workers of Garan Manufacturing Corporation, Carthage, Mississippi. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 5, 2001 (66 FR 18118).

At the request of the petitioners, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of children's knitwear.

New information shows that worker separations occurred at Garan Manufacturing's General Offices located in Starkville, Mississippi. The general offices provide support functions including manufacturing management, accounting, quality control, engineering and customer service functions for the subject firms' production facilities including Carthage, Mississippi.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Garan Manufacturing Corporation adversely affected by increased imports of children's knitwear.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–38,617 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Garan Manufacturing Corporation, Carthage, Mississippi (TA–W– 38,617) and General Offices, Starkville, Mississippi (TA–W–38,617B) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 19, 2000, through February 9, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington DC this 13th day of August, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-21850 Filed 8-28-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Pottstown Precision Casting, Inc./ Harvard Industries, Inc., Formerly Known as Doehler Jarvis, Stowe, Pennsylvania; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter dated May 2, 2001, the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW), requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on February 20, 2001 and published in the **Federal Register** on April 5, 2001 (66 FR 18117).

The Department's review of the application shows that information provided supports reopening of the petition investigation.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of August, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21846 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38, 545]

Sappi Fine Paper Company, North America, Muskegon, Michigan; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 30, 2001, the company requested reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on February 27, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 5, 2001 (66 FR 18117).

Pursuant to 28 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The investigation findings for the February 27 denial of TAA for workers of Sappi Fine Paper Company, producing coated paper used in commercial printing in Muskegon, Michigan showed that criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that sales at Sappi Fine Paper Company increased from 1999 through 2000. There were no company imports of articles like or directly competitive with coated publication paper.

The petitioner asserts that increased foreign competition was a major factor in company layoffs because it has eroded the competitive position of the subject firm. However, declines in employment at the Sappi Paper Company are attributed to the company's decision to reorganize.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of August 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21851 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,983]

ZapatA Technologies, Inc.; Hazelton, Pennsylvania; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By applications of May 9 and May 16, 2001, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of ZapatA Technologies, Inc., Hazelton, Pennsylvania, was issued on April 20, 2001, and was published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2001 (66 FR 23733).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petitioners suggest that fifty percent of their work involved technical and support services, and that those intangible articles "are now being imported to various plants" including the ZapatA, Inc., Muskogee, Oklahoma plant, other U.S. and world-wide locations. The petitioners attached documentation from the company that effective February 1, 2001, technical information, business, sales, and technical services were to be transferred to a sister plant in Spain.

Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision whose workers produce an article and who are currently under a certification for TAA.

If import impact had been established for the production workers at ZapatA Technologies, Inc., Hazelton, Pennsylvania, only then, could the petitioners be included in a certification for TAA.

The petitioners also described how the parent company, ZapatA International, who also sells crowns and enclosures to the bottling industry, has lost business to competitors. The Department's petition investigation under the Trade Act of 1974, was specifically for the workers at ZapatA Technologies, Inc., Hazelton, Pennsylvania. The petition investigation is conducted for the workers' appropriate firm or subdivision, not on a company-wide or industry-wide basis.

The Department's denial of the TAA petition filed on behalf of workers producing bottle cap manufacturing machinery at ZapatA finding that the contributed importantly criterion of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, was not met. The production at the subject firm was primarily for the export market. Thus, the workers were not affected by increased imports. Although the company did shift production of bottle cap manufacturing machinery abroad, that is not a basis for worker group certification under the Trade Act.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of August 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21847 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4510–30–M

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Records Schedules for Electronic Copies Previously Covered by General Records Schedule 20; Availability and Request for Comments

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of proposed records schedules; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) publishes notice at least once monthly

of certain Federal agency requests for records disposition authority (records schedules). Once approved by NARA, records schedules provide mandatory instructions on what happens to records when no longer needed for current Government business. They authorize the preservation of records of continuing value in the National Archives of the United States and the destruction, after a specified period, of records lacking administrative, legal, research, or other value. Notice is published for records schedules in which agencies propose to destroy records not previously authorized for disposal or reduce the retention period of records already authorized for disposal.

This request for comments pertains solely to schedules for electronic copies of records created using word processing and electronic mail where the recordkeeping copies are already scheduled. (Electronic copies are records created using word processing or electronic mail software that remain in storage on the computer system after the recordkeeping copies are produced.)

These records were previously approved for disposal under General Records Schedule 20, Items 13 and 14. The agencies identified in this notice have submitted schedules pursuant to NARA Bulletin 99–04 to obtain separate disposition authority for the electronic copies associated with program records and administrative records not covered by the General Records Schedules. NARA invites public comments on such records schedules, as required by 44 U.S.C. 3303a(a). To facilitate review of these schedules, their availability for comment is announced in Federal **Register** notices separate from those used for other records disposition schedules.

DATES: Requests for copies must be received in writing on or before October 15, 2001. On request, NARA will send a copy of the schedule. NARA staff usually prepare appraisal memorandums concerning a proposed schedule. These, too, may be requested. Requesters will be given 30 days to submit comments.

Some schedules submitted in accordance with NARA Bulletin 99–04 group records by program, function, or organizational element. These schedules do not include descriptions at the file series level, but, instead, provide citations to previously approved schedules or agency records disposition manuals (see Supplementary Information section of this notice). To facilitate review of such disposition requests, previously approved schedules