

the NEPA of 1969 as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.* (1988)).

2. Purpose of Notice of Intent

Pursuant to the regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the NEPA, MMS is announcing its intent to prepare an EIS on the tentatively scheduled 2002–2007 oil and gas leasing proposals in the Central and Western GOM, off the States of Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama. The NOI also serves to announce the scoping process for this EIS. Throughout the scoping process, Federal, State, and local government agencies, and other interested parties have the opportunity to aid MMS in determining the significant issues and alternatives to be analyzed in the EIS.

The EIS analysis will focus on the potential environmental effects of oil and natural gas leasing, exploration, development, and production in the areas identified through the Area Identification procedure as the proposed lease sale areas. Alternatives that may be considered for each sale are to delay the sale, cancel the sale, or modify the sale.

Federal regulations allow for several proposals to be analyzed in one EIS (40 CFR 1502.4). Since each sale proposal and projected activities are very similar each year for each planning area, MMS is proposing to prepare a single EIS (multisale EIS) for the nine Central and Western Planning Area lease sales scheduled for 2002–2007 in the draft proposed *Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing Program: 2002–2007*. The multisale approach is intended to focus the NEPA/EIS process on differences between the proposed sales and on new issues and information. The multisale EIS will eliminate the repetitive issuance of complete draft and final EISs for each planning area. The resource estimates and scenario information for the EIS analyses will be presented as a range that would encompass the resources and activities estimated for any of the nine proposed lease sales. At the completion of this EIS process, decisions will be made only for proposed Sales 185 and 187, scheduled to be held in 2003. Subsequent to these first sales in the planning areas, a NEPA review will be conducted for each of the other proposed lease sales in the 2002–2007 Leasing Program. Formal consultation with other Federal Agencies, the affected States, and the public will be carried out to assist in the determination of whether or not the information and analyses in the original multisale EIS are still valid. These consultations and NEPA reviews will be

completed before decisions are made on the subsequent sales.

3. Comments

We request that Federal, State, local government agencies, and other interested parties send their written comments on the scope of the EIS, significant issues that should be addressed, and alternatives that should be considered to the Regional Supervisor, Leasing and Environment, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70123–2394, telephone (504) 736–2788 or 1–800–200–GULF. Please enclose your comments in an envelope labeled “Comments on the Multisale EIS.” MMS will hold scoping meetings in appropriate locations to obtain additional comments and information regarding the scope of the EIS. We will announce the scoping meetings in the **Federal Register** and advertise the meetings in local community newspapers.

Dated: August 29, 2001.

Thomas R. Kitsos,

Acting Director, Minerals Management Service.

[FR Doc. 01–22918 Filed 9–11–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–445]

In the Matter of Certain Plasma Display Panels and Products Containing Same; Notice of Decision to Extend the Deadline for Determining Whether To Review an Initial Determination Granting-in-Part a Motion To Declassify Certain Documents

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to extend by forty-five (45) days, or until November 2, 2001, the deadline for determining whether to review an initial determination (ID) (Order No. 30) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–3104. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for

inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 16, 2001, based on a complaint filed by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois of Urbana, Illinois, and Competitive Technologies of Fairfield, Connecticut. The respondents named in the investigation are Fujitsu Limited, Fujitsu General Limited, Fujitsu General America Corp., Fujitsu Microelectronic, Inc. and Fujitsu Hitachi Plasma Display Ltd. (collectively, “Fujitsu”). The complaint, now withdrawn, alleged that Fujitsu violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and/or selling within the United States after importation certain plasma display panels and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Letters Patent Nos. 4,866,349 and 5,0821,400.

On June 26, 2001, complainant moved to withdraw its complaint and terminate the investigation. On July 10, 2001, the presiding ALJ issued an ID granting the motion and terminating the investigation. The Commission decided not to review this ID on July 31, 2001, and it therefore became the Commission's final determination under Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42. 66 FR 40722 (August 3, 2001).

On July 3, 2001, Fujitsu moved pursuant to Commission rule 210.20, 19 CFR 210.20, and paragraphs 2(b) and 3 of the protective order issued in this investigation for an order declassifying two documents. Complainant opposed the motion. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion as to one document and opposed as to the other. On August 17, 2001, the presiding ALJ issued an ID granting the motion to declassify one document. He denied the motion to declassify the other document at issue. Complainant filed a petition for review of the ID on August 30, 2001.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and section 210.42(h) of the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42(h).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 6, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-22824 Filed 9-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. NRTL3-93]

Factory Mutual Research Corporation, Renewal of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Agency's final decision on the application of Factory Mutual Research Corporation (FMRC) for renewal of its recognition as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL) under 29 CFR 1910.7.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This renewal becomes effective on September 12, 2001 and will be valid until September 12, 2006, unless terminated or modified prior to that date, in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.7.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernard Pasquet, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N3653, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693-2110.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice of Final Decision

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) hereby gives notice of the renewal of recognition of Factory Mutual Research Corporation (FMRC) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). FMRC's

renewal covers its existing scope of recognition, which may be found in OSHA's informational web page for the NRTL (<http://www.osha-slc.gov/dts/otpc/nrtl/fmrc.html>). We maintain such a web page for each NRTL.

OSHA recognition of an NRTL signifies that the organization has met the legal requirements in Section 1910.7 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 1910.7). Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization can perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within its scope of recognition and is not a delegation or grant of government authority. As a result of recognition, employers may use products "properly certified" by the NRTL to meet OSHA standards that require testing and certification.

The Agency processes applications by an NRTL for initial recognition or for expansion or renewal of this recognition following requirements in Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7. This appendix requires that the Agency publish two notices in the **Federal Register** in processing an application. In the first notice, OSHA announces the application and provides its preliminary finding and, in the second notice, the Agency provides its final decision on the application. These notices set forth the NRTL's scope of recognition or modifications of that scope.

When OSHA published its regulations for the NRTL Program at 29 CFR 1910.7, it temporarily recognized FMRC as a nationally recognized testing laboratory for a five year period from June 13, 1988, through June 13, 1993 (see Appendix A to 1910.7). In Appendix A, OSHA also required that FMRC apply for renewal of its OSHA recognition at the end of this temporary period. FMRC did apply for the renewal, which OSHA announced on March 29, 1995 (60 FR 16167). In its renewal application, FMRC stated that it began testing products in 1886 and that its first published listings of approved fire hose appeared in 1907.

FMRC received its first renewal of recognition on August 16, 1995 (60 FR 42590), for a period of five years ending on August 16, 2000. Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7 stipulates that the period of recognition of an NRTL is five years and that an NRTL may renew its recognition by applying not less than nine months, nor more than one year, before the expiration date of its current recognition. FMRC submitted a request to renew its recognition on November 9, 1999 (see Exhibit 11), within the time allotted, and retains its recognition pending OSHA's final decision in this renewal process.

OSHA published the required notice in the **Federal Register** on May 4, 2001 (66 FR 22605). The May 2001 notice included a preliminary finding that FMRC could meet the requirements in 29 CFR 1910.7 for renewal of its recognition and invited public comment on the applications by May 18, 2001. OSHA received no comments concerning this notice.

In processing FMRC's request for renewal of recognition, OSHA performed on-site reviews (audits) of FMRC's facilities. NRTL Program assessment staff reviewed information from these reviews and, in a memo dated October 30, 2000 (see Exhibit 12), recommended the renewal of FMRC's recognition.

The other **Federal Register** documents published by OSHA concerning FMRC's recognition covered an expansion for additional test standards, which the Agency announced on April 16, 1999 (64 FR 18939) and granted on August 13, 1999 (64 FR 44240).

You may obtain or review copies of all public documents pertaining to the FMRC application by contacting the Docket Office, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N2625, Washington, DC 20210. You should refer to Docket No. NRTL3-93, the permanent record of public information on the FMRC recognition.

The current addresses of the FMRC testing facilities (sites) recognized by OSHA are:

Factory Mutual Research Corporation,
1151 Boston-Providence Turnpike,
Norwood, Massachusetts 02062
Factory Mutual Research Corporation,
743 Reynolds Road, West Gloucester,
Rhode Island 02814

Programs and Procedures

The renewal of recognition includes FMRC's continued use of the following supplemental programs, based upon the criteria detailed in the March 9, 1995 **Federal Register** notice (60 FR 12980, 3/9/95). This notice lists nine (9) programs and procedures (collectively, programs), eight of which an NRTL may use to control and audit, but not actually to generate, the data relied upon for product certification. An NRTL's initial recognition will always include the first or basic program, which requires that all product testing and evaluation be performed in-house by the NRTL that will certify the product. OSHA previously granted FMRC recognition to use these programs, which are listed, as shown below, in OSHA's informational web page on the FMRC recognition (<http://>