# WISCONSIN

# Dane County

Fort Blue Mounds, Address Restricted, Blue Mounds, 01001044

A request for REMOVAL has been made for the following resource:

## ALABAMA

#### **Coosa County**

Oakachoy Covered Bridge Over Oakachoy Cr. W of SR 259 Nixburg, 90000928

[FR Doc. 01–24633 Filed 10–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# National Park Service

## National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before August 18, 2001. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, NC400, Washington, DC 20240. Written comments should be submitted by October 17, 2001.

## Carol D. Shull,

Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places.

# ALASKA

#### Southeast Fairbanks Borough-Census Area

Chicken Historic District, Mi. 66.5 Taylor Hwy., Eagle, 01001053

## COLORADO

#### **Denver County**

Leeman Auto Company Building, 550 Broadway, Denver, 01001054

#### **Kiowa County**

Sand Creek Massacre Site, Near jct. of Cty Rd. 54 and Cty Rd. W, Eads, 01001055

#### FLORIDA

#### **Duval County**

Mandarin Store and Post Office, 12471 Mandarin Rd., Jacksonville, 01001056

#### Pasco County

Zephyrhills Downtown Historic District, Roughly bounded by South Ave., 9th Ave., 7th St. and 11th St., Zephyrhills, 01001058

## **Pinellas County**

Kress, S.H., and Company Building, 475 Central Ave., St. Petersburg, 01001057

# IOWA

# **Polk County**

Syndicate Block, 501 E. Locust, Des Moines, 01001059

## MASSACHUSETTS

## **Dukes County**

Gay Head—Aquinnah Town Center Historic District (Boundary Increase), South Rd., Totem Pole Way and Jeffers Way, Aquinnah, 01001060

#### Middlesex County

Pleasand Street Historic District, 187–235 Pleasant St., Marlborough, 01001061

# OHIO

## Lawrence County

Burlington 37 Cemetery, (Underground Railroad in Ohio MPS) Center St., Burlington, 01001064

#### Preble County

Bunker Hill House, 7919 OH 177, Fairhaven, 01001062

#### Seneca County

Fostoria Downtown Historic District, Roughly bounded by North St., South St. and the alleys E and W of Main St. Fostoria, 01001065

## Summit County

Glendale Cemetery, 150 Glendale Ave., Akron, 01001063

# OREGON

## Linn County

Moore, John and Mary, House, 320 Kirk Ave., Brownsville, 01001066

#### Marion County

Salem Downtown State Street—Commerical Street Historic District, Roughly bounded by Ferry, High, Chemeketa, and Fronts Sts., Salem, 01001067

## **Multnomah County**

Costello, James C. and Mary A., House, 2043 NE Tillamook, Portland, 01001068

# PUERTO RICO

# San Juan Municipality

Edificio Aboy, 603 Aboy St., San Juan, 01001069

[FR Doc. 01–24634 Filed 10–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before August 25, 2001. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, NC400, Washington, DC 20240. Written comments should be submitted by October 17, 2001.

## Beth Savage,

Acting, Keeper of the National Register Of Historic Places.

# MICHIGAN

## Lenawee County

Palmer, Lorenzo and Ruth Wells, House, 760 Maple Grove Ave., Hudson, 01001070

#### NORTH CAROLINA

#### **Buncombe County**

Adams, Judge Junius G., House, 11 Stuyvesant Rd., Biltmore Forest, 01001077

#### **Caswell County**

Wildwood, 5680 Stephenton Rd., Semora, 01001076

#### **Chowan County**

Edenton Historic District (Boundary Increase), Both sides 300 block E. King St., Edenton, 01001075

## **Davidson County**

Mor-Val Hosiery Mill, N. Main and E. First Sts., Denton, 01001074

#### **Davie County**

Cana Store and Post Office, NC 1411, 0.2 mi. N of NC 1406, Mocksville, 01001073

## **Forsyth County**

Clayton Family Farm, 5809 Stanley Dr., Stanleyville, 01001072

## Macon County

Playmore—Bowery Road Historic District, 1309–1311 Horse Cove Rd., 7,215,225,369,455 and 172–176,200,462 Bowery Rd., and 375–471 Upper Lake Rd., Highlands, 01001071

#### SOUTH DAKOTA

## **Bon Homme County**

Metzgers, William, New Emporium, 1610 Main St., Tyndall, 01001079

#### Yankton County

Our Savior's Lutheran Church, 29219 431st Ave., Menno, 01001078

#### TENNESSEE

#### **McMinn County**

Chesnutt, James W., House, 105 A S. Niota Rd., Englewood, 01001081

## UTAH

## Salt Lake County

Salt Lake Hardware Company Warehouse, 155 N 400 W, Salt Lake City, 01001082

## WASHINGTON

#### King County

Olson, Mary, Farm, 28728 Green River Rd. S., Kent, 01001080 A request for Removal has been made for the following Resource:

## MISSOURI

#### **Greene County**

Second Baptist Church (Washington Avenue Baptist), 729 North Washington, Springfield, 00001620

[FR Doc. 01–24635 Filed 10–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **Bureau of Reclamation**

## San Luis Unit Feature Reevaluation, Central Valley Project, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), will prepare an EIS, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), to evaluate proposed actions to provide long-term drainage service to the San Luis Unit (SLU) of the Central Valley Project (CVP). Proposed drainage service alternatives will be selected on the basis of criteria adopted to maintain environmental quality and provide for continued agricultural production in a manner consistent with the Plan of Action filed April 18, 2001, in Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc., et al., v. Bureau of Reclamation, et al.

**DATES:** Two scoping meetings will be held to solicit comments from interested parties to assist in determining the scope of the environmental analysis and to identify the significant issues related to this proposed action. The meeting dates are:

• Wednesday, November 14, 2001, 10 a.m. to 12 p.m., Fresno, California

• Thursday, November 15, 2001, 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Concord, California

Written comments on the scope of the environmental document should be mailed to Reclamation at the address below by November 30, 2001. ADDRESSES: The meeting locations are as

follows: • Fresno at Piccadilly Inn University, Broadmoor Room, 4961 N. Cedar Avenue

• Concord at Hilton Hotel, Seminar 4 Room, 1970 Diamond Boulevard

Written comments on the scope of the alternatives and impacts to be considered should be sent to Mr. Michael Delamore, Bureau of Reclamation, South-Central California Area Office, 1243 N Street, Fresno CA 93721–1813; or by telephone at (559) 487–5039; or faxed to (559) 487–5130 (TDD 559–487–5933).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jason Phillips, Bureau of Reclamation, Division of Planning, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825 or by telephone at (559) 487-5070 (TDD 916-978-5608). SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act of June 3, 1960 (Pub. L. No. 88-488), which authorized the construction. operation, and maintenance of the SLU, provided for the construction of San Luis Dam, San Luis Canal, Coalinga Canal, San Luis Drain (SLD), distribution systems, drains, pumping facilities, and other appurtenant works. The authorization provided for joint development with the State of California. The State agreed to provide 55 percent of the construction, operation, and maintenance costs of the main project facilities and agreed to operate those facilities as a part of both the CVP and the California State Water Project. SLU construction started in 1963 and the first significant water deliveries began in 1968. SLU facilities can provide about 1.4 million acre-feet of water annually to CVP water users. With the implementation of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act and Endangered Species Act protections, the actual average deliveries have been reduced by nearly 50 percent. Initial SLU project planning recognized the need to provide drainage service to protect lands from rising water tables and accumulation of salts which would otherwise render the soil unsuitable for farming. The authorizing legislation provided for the construction of an interceptor drain that would serve the SLU area and discharge to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Reclamation began construction of the SLD in 1968. By 1975, 83 miles of the planned 188-mile SLD had been completed, and 1,283 acres of shallow ponds (later named Kesterson Reservoir) were constructed about 80 miles south of the Delta to provide temporary storage to facilitate future control of the SLD flow into the Delta. Construction was then suspended pending determination of the final point of discharge for the SLD. During the ensuing years, Kesterson Reservoir received drain water and functioned as an evaporation facility while studies and investigations continued concerning a final point of discharge. In 1984, waterfowl deaths and deformities at Kesterson were linked to elevated levels of selenium in the food chain. In 1985, the State Water Resources Control Board directed Reclamation to clean up and abate the conditions at Kesterson. The Department of the Interior

announced that Kesterson would be closed, and a phased elimination of SLD discharges was completed by June 1986.

In 1990, the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program (SJVDP) published A Management Plan For Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley. This report, which was prepared based on a major State-Federal interagency investigation of the drainage problems, recommended a series of "invalley" drainage management actions. In 1991, pursuant to a stipulated judgment in a lawsuit regarding the rights and responsibilities of CVP water users in Westlands Water District, Reclamation published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on a proposed drainage plan for the SLU that was based on and consistent with the SJVDP report recommendations. That plan, however, was not finalized. In a subsequent lawsuit, (Sumner Peck Ranch v. Bureau of Reclamation), the Court directed Reclamation to apply to the California State Water Resources Control Board for a discharge permit in order to complete the SLD to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta as was contemplated in Pub. L. No. 88–488. Upon appeal to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, the Appellate Court affirmed the District Court's conclusion that the United States must act promptly to provide drainage service, but reversed that part of the District Court judgment that foreclosed non-interceptor drain solutions.

Reclamation has been engaged for many years with other State and Federal agencies as well as farmers, water districts, and stakeholders, to develop effective, affordable, and implementable drainage service and drainage management solutions. Several of these efforts have resulted in innovative and promising drainage management techniques, and Reclamation is committed to continuing to support development of those approaches. However, the only proven technologies that have been identified to date to provide long-term drainage service and achieve sustainable salt balance on drainage-affected, irrigated lands in Westlands Water District are disposal of salts out of valley via completion and operation of the SLD or disposal to evaporation ponds. Therefore, alternatives incorporating those technologies, as well as other approaches identified during scoping, will be considered in the analysis.

The environmental review will be conducted pursuant to NEPA, the Endangered Species Act and other applicable laws, to analyze the potential environmental impacts of implementing