

reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of September 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-24824 Filed 10-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,592; TA-W-38,592A]

Exide Technologies, Automotive Battery Division, AKA GNB Batteries, Inc., AKA Exide Corporation Farmers Branch, TX; Exide Technologies Oklahoma City Distribution Center, AKA GNB Batteries, Inc., AKA Exide Corporation Oklahoma City, OK, Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 31, 2001, applicable to workers of Exide Technologies, Automotive Battery Division, aka GNB Batteries, Inc., aka Exide Corporation, Farmers Branch, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 2, 2001 (66 FR 13086).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of lead acid batteries.

New information shows that worker separations occurred at the Oklahoma City Distribution Center of Exide Technologies, aka GNB Batteries, Inc., aka Exide Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma when it closed in August, 2001. The Oklahoma City, Oklahoma location provided warehousing and distribution services for Exide Technologies; production facilities including Farmers Branch, Texas.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover the workers of Exide Technologies, Oklahoma City Distribution Center, aka GNB Batteries, Inc., aka Exide Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Exide Technologies, Automotive Battery Division, aka GNB Batteries, Inc., aka Exide Corporation who were adversely

affected by increased imports of lead acid batteries.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-38,592 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Exide Technologies, Automotive Battery Division, aka GNB Batteries, Inc., aka Exide Corporation, Farmers Branch, Texas (TA-W-38,592) and Exide Technologies, Oklahoma City Distribution Center, aka GNB Batteries, Inc., aka Exide Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (TA-W-39,592A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 10, 2000, through January 31, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of September, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-24818 Filed 10-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,600]

H.L. Miller and Son, Inc., Dallas, TX; Notice of Revised Determination of Reconsideration

By letter of April 18, 2001, the company, requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on March 12, 2001, based on the finding that the workers do not produce an article within the meaning of section 222(3) of the Act. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 16, 2001 (66 FR 19520).

To support the request for reconsideration, the company provided evidence to show that the subject facility was a manufacturer of ladies dresses and sportswear prior to the closure of facility. Aggregate U.S. imports of ladies dresses and sportswear increased significantly during the relevant period. The import to shipment ratio for ladies dresses and sportswear was greater than 150 percent during the 2000 period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of

articles like or directly competitive with those produced at H.L. Miller and Son, Inc., Dallas, Texas, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of H.L. Miller and Son, Inc., Dallas, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 18, 2000 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 20th day of September 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-24815 Filed 10-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,157]

The Chinet Company, Now Known as Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc., Waterville, ME; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 28, 2000, applicable to workers of The Chinet Company, Waterville, Maine. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 15, 2000 (65 FR 7564).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of laminated molded fiber frozen food trays. The company reports that in June, 2001, The Chinet Company became known as Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc. as a result of a 1999 merger.

Information also shows that workers separated from employment at the subject firm, had their wages reported under a separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax account for Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification determination to properly reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of The Chinet Company, now known as

Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-37,157 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of The Chinnet Company, now known as Huhtamaki Food Service, Inc., Waterville, Maine who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 30, 1998, through January 28, 2002, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of September, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-24813 Filed 10-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-33-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,815 and TA-W-38,815A]

Johnston Industries, Inc., Columbus, GA, Johnston Industries, Inc., New York, NY; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 8, 2001, applicable to workers of Johnston Industries, Inc., Columbus, Georgia. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 23, 2001 (66 FR 28554).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of industrial fabrics. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the New York, New York location of Johnston Industries, Inc. The New York, New York location provides marketing and sales functions directly supporting the subject firm's production facility in Columbus, Georgia.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Johnston Industries, Inc., New York, New York.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Johnston Industries, Inc. adversely affected by increased imports of industrial fabrics.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-38,815 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Johnston Industries, Inc., Columbus, Georgia (TA-W-38,815) and Johnston Industries, New York, New York (TA-W-38,815A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 15, 2000, through May 8, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 4th day of September, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-24814 Filed 10-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,707]

Philips Consumer Electronics Company, Knoxville Industrial Design Group (KID), Knoxville, TN; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 8, 2001, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 9, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2001 (66 FR 22006).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The Department initially denied the TAA to workers of Philips Consumer Electronics Company, Knoxville Industrial Design Group (KID), Knoxville, Tennessee, based on the finding that the workers did not produce an article as required by Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

The petitioner asserts that the subject firm is involved in the design and production of one-of-a-kind prototypes that were sent to the company headquarters or to third party companies, and thus the workers should

be considered engaged in employment related to the production of a tangible product.

The Department concurs with the petitioner that the worker group could be considered engaged in employment related to the production of an article. The prototypes, however, were one-of-a-kind, and as such, were never mass produced. Furthermore, since the prototypes were one-of-a-kind, there could not be any imports of articles like or directly competitive with the prototypes constructed by the workers of the subject firm.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of September 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-24823 Filed 10-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment