through Maureen Flannery, from Mark Hoadley: Collection of Cash Deposits and Assessment of Duties on Freshwater Crawfish from the PRC, dated August 27, 2001); (2) for previously reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the rate will be the current PRC-wide rate, 217.09 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, and sections 351.213 and 351.221 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 1, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–25709 Filed 10–11–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-810]

Mechanical Transfer Presses From Japan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit For preliminary results of Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mark Hoadley, Office of AD/CVD
Enforcement VII, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230;
telephone: (202)482–0666.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On February 28, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received requests from Komatsu, Ltd., Hitachi Zosen Corp. (HZC), and Hitachi Zosen Fukui Corp. (HZFC) for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on mechanical transfer presses (MTPs) from Japan. On March 22, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of this administrative review covering the period of February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16037). Because of an inadvertent omission in the March initiation notice, the review of HZFC was not initiated until May 23, 2001 (66 FR 28421).

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Because of a number of complexities in this case, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Depending on our analysis of home market sales information provided by the respondents, our basis for determining normal value, which has in past administrative reviews been based on constructed value because of the difficulties involved in comparing MTPs, might need to be reconsidered. Even if the Department determines again not to use home market sales for calculating normal value, contemporaneous home market sales must be used for calculating constructed value profit, and, thus, in either case, we will have to determine the proper sales dates and the contemporaneity window for home market sales.

Furthermore, Komatsu has requested that the order be partially revoked, as it applies to its sales, and HZC and HZFC have not participated in recent reviews. Therefore, verification of the sales and cost information of all three respondents might need to be conducted. While HZC claims that it did not have any entries during the period of review or contemporaneous home market sales, resolution of these claims will depend on our analysis of the date-of-sale issue and the establishment of the contemporaneity window.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department

is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 120 days, until no later than February 28, 2002. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: October 2, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01–25706 Filed 10–11–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-583-833]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From Taiwan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of the first antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a May 29, 2001 request made by Far Eastern Textile, Ltd., a producer/exporter of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, and a May 30, 2001 request made by Arteva Specialities S.a.r.l. d/b/a/ KoSa and Wellman Inc., the petitioners, the Department of Commerce published the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan for Far Eastern Textile, Ltd (covering the period March 30, 2000 to April 30, 2001) and Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd. (covering the period April 27, 2000 to April 30, 2001). Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part, 66 FR 32934 (June 19, 2001). This review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of the requests for review by Far Eastern Textile, Ltd. and Arteva Specialities S.a.r.l., d/b/a/ KoSa and Wellman Inc.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suresh Maniam, AD/GVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to

the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the "Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On May 25, 2000, the Department published an antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan. Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Taiwan, 65 FR 33807. On May 29, 2001, Far Eastern Textile, Ltd. ("FETL"), a producer/ exporter of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan covering the period March 30, 2000 to April 30, 2001. On May 30, 2001, Arteva Specialities S.a.r.l. d/b/a/ KoSa and Wellman Inc. ("the petitioners"), requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan for Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd. ("Nan Ya''), a producer/exporter of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, covering the period April 27, 2000 to April 30, 2001. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of the review on June 19, 2001. Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part, 66 FR 32934. On September 4, 2001, FETL withdrew its request for review. On September 20, 2001, the petitioners withdrew their request for review for Nan Ya.

Rescission of Review

The Department's regulations provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within ninety days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). The Department's regulations also allow the Secretary to extend this time limit if it is reasonable to do so. Id. FETL's request for review was withdrawn within the ninety-day deadline. The petitioners' request for review for Nan Ya was withdrawn ninety-three days after the initiation was published. However, because Nan Ya received an extension to file its response to section A of the Department's questionnaire in this administrative review until three days before the ninety-day deadline, we find it reasonable that the petitioners would need some time to examine at least the information in that response before making a determination to withdraw their request for review. Therefore, we have accepted the petitioners' withdrawal of their request for review despite it being filed after the ninety-day deadline.

As a result of the withdrawals of the requests for review and because the Department received no other request for review, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: October 4, 2001.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–25708 Filed 10–11–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Case Number: A-533-824, A-583-837]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India and Taiwan: Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determinations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of postponement of preliminary antidumping duty determinations in antidumping duty investigations.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is postponing the preliminary determinations in the antidumping duty investigations on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India and Taiwan from October 24, 2001, until December 13,

2001. This postponement is made pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Howard Smith, Ronald Trentham or Timothy Finn at (202) 482–5193, (202) 482–6320, or (202) 482–0065, respectively; Office 4, Group 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

Background

On June 13, 2001, the Department initiated the above-referenced investigations. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India and Taiwan, 66 FR 31888 (June 13, 2001).

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Currently, the preliminary determinations are due no later than October 24, 2001. However, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we have determined that these investigations are "extraordinarily complicated" and are therefore postponing the preliminary determinations by 50 days to December 13, 2001. Under section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department can extend the period for reaching a preliminary determination until not later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation if:

(B) The administering authority concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that—

(i) the case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of—

(I) the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated or adjustments to be considered:

(II) the novelty of the issues presented; or

(III) the number of firms whose activities must be investigated; and

(ii) additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination.