

Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Marlene Howze at (202) 219–8904 or Email [Howze-Marlene@dol.gov](mailto:Howze-Marlene@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ESA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

*Title:* Application for Continuation of Death Benefit for Student.

*OMB Number:* 1215–0073.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households and Business or other for-profit.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Number of Respondents:* 43.

*Number of Annual Responses:* 43.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Total Burden Hours:* 22.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) administers the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act. This Act was amended on October 27, 1972, to provide for continuation of death benefits for a child or certain other surviving dependents after the age of 18

years (to age 23) if the dependent qualifies as a student as defined in section 2(18) of the Act.

The information collected from Form LS–266 is used by OWCP to assure that a claimant receives all of the benefits under the Act to which he/she may be entitled. If the information were not collected, there would be no way to determine the proper status of a student and his/her continued entitlement to benefits.

**Ira L. Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01–26345 Filed 10–18–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–27–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA–4461 and TA–W–38,601]

#### Arka Knitwear, Ridgewood, NY; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of April 15, 2001, the company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's denial of North American Free Trade Agreement—Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA–TAA) and Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers of Arka Knitwear, Ridgewood, New York. The notice were published in the **Federal Register** on April 16, 2001, NAFTA–4461 (66 FR 19522), and TA–W–38,601 (66 FR 19520).

The workers are primarily engaged in the production of sweaters.

The workers were denied NAFTA–TAA on the basis that there was no shift in production to Mexico or Canada, nor were there company or customer imports of sweaters from Mexico or Canada. The workers were denied TAA because the “contributed importantly” test of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act was not met.

The company has presented documents from major declining customers of the subject firm. This evidence shows that these customers stopped purchasing sweaters from the subject firm and began using Mexico and other countries to source their sweater purchases.

An examination of trade data for women's and girls' sweaters reveals that from 1999 to 200, aggregate U.S. imports increased absolutely and relative to domestic shipments. In 2000, the import/shipments ratio exceeded 200 percent.

## Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that the workers of Arka Knitwear, Ridgewood, New York, were adversely affected by increased imports (including those from Mexico) of articles like or directly competitive with sweaters produced at the subject firm.

“All workers of Arka Knitwear, Ridgewood, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 12, 2000, through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for NAFTA–TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974;” and

“All workers of Arka Knitwear, Ridgewood, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 12, 2000, through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.”

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of September 2001.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01–26357 Filed 10–18–01 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–30–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of September and October, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the

separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

#### Negative Determinations for Workers Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-39,813A & B; *Greenwood Mills, Inc., Chalmers Plant, Greenwood, SC and Greenwood Mills, Inc., Lindale Manufacturing, Lindale, GA*  
 TA-W-39,708; *Globe Metallurgical, Springfield, OR*  
 TA-W-39,016; *Wabash Alloys LLC, Oak Creek, WI*  
 TA-W-38,103; *Sierra Pine Limited, Springfield Particleboard Div., Springfield, OR*  
 TA-W-39,237; *International Paper, Sheet Plant, Tupelo, MS*  
 TA-W-39,648; *Greg Stout Logging, Inc., Gold Hill, OR*  
 TA-W-39,687; *Ohio Industries, Bucyrus, OH*  
 TA-W-39,394; *Pittsburgh Gear Works, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA*  
 TA-W-39,621; *Franklyn Industries, div. of the Merrow Machine Co., Inc., Lavonia, GA*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-39,978; *Hein-Werner, Snap-On, Inc., Barboo, WI*  
 TA-W-39,798; *Friedrich & Dimmock, Inc., Millville, NJ*  
 TA-W-39,709; *Gemtron Corp., Clarksville, TN*  
 TA-W-39,392; *Aavid Thermalloy LLC, Dallas, TX*  
 TA-W-39,165; *E.C.I. Sportswear, Inc., New Bedford, MA*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- TA-W-39,970; *KOA Speer Electronics, Inc., Bradford, PA*  
 TA-W-40,026; *American DeRosa Lamp Parts, Commerce, CA*  
 TA-W-39,161; *Almond International, Westbury, NY*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

- TA-W-39,848; *Trane Co., A Division of American Standard, LaCrosse, WI*  
 TA-W-39,669; *Conneaut Industries, Inc., West Greenwich, RI*

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and (3) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated from employment as required for certification. Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-39,162; *ME International, Inc., Duluth, MN*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

- TA-W-39,109; *Alcoa, Inc., St. Lawrence Plant, Massena, NY*

#### Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

- TA-W-39,822; *Sweetwater Walls Industries, Inc., Sweetwater, TX: July 24, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,273; *United States Steel LLC, Fairless Hills, PA: May 4, 2000.*  
 TA-W-38,764; *Brown Wooten Mills, Inc., Ballston Plant, Mt. Airy, NC: February 1, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,712; *Signet Armorlite, Inc., San Marcos, CA: July 17, 2000.*  
 TA-W-38,880; *Cooper Energy Services, Ajax-Superior Div., Springfield, OH: March 6, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,607; *UniFirst Corp., Wilburton, OK: June 18, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,368; *Siemens Automotive Corp., Safety Electronics Div., Johnson City, TN: May 18, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,820; *Tyco Electronics, Shrewsbury Molding Plant, Shrewsbury, PA: July 24, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,728; *Graphic Controls, Cherry Hill Facility, Including Temporary Workers of Kaye Personnel, Inc., Cherry Hill, NJ: July 10, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,943; *Realco Diversified, Inc., Meadville, PA: August 14, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,783; *Plasticsource, Inc., Kelly Staff Leasing, El Paso, TX: July 26, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,445; *Thomason Multimedia, Inc., ATO Division, Dunmore, PA: May 16, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,813; *Greenwood Mills, Inc., Executive Office, Greenwood, SC: August 1, 2000*  
 TA-W-39,813C; *Greenwood Mills, Inc., Aquatech Manufacturing, Inc., Cookeville, TN: November 11, 2001.*  
 TA-W-39,953; *Zexel Valeo Compressor USA, Decatur, IL: August 17, 2000.*

- TA-W-39,827; *Southeast Mat Co., Crossville, TN: July 30, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,847; *United Tool and Die, Inc., Meadville, PA: July 30, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,198 & A; *Stanley Mechanics Tools, Dallas, TX and Wichita Falls, TX: March 14, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,515; *Teledyne Technologies, Teledyne Relays, Hawthorne, CA: June 4, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,976; *VF Imagewear (West), Inc., Harriman, TN: August 22, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,823; *Louisville/Saydah Home Fashions, Eminence, KY: July 11, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,752; *Sola Optical USA, Inc., Eldon, MO: July 20, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,821; *Clifton Walls Industries, Inc., Clifton, TX: July 24, 2000.*  
 TA-W-39,731; *Matsushita Refrigeration Company of America, Vonore, TN: July 16, 2000.*

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (P.L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of September and October, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely.

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

**Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05261; *Hein-Werner, Snap-On, Inc., Braboo, WI*  
 NAFTA-TAA-04822; *ME International, Inc., Duluth, MN*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05176; *Greenwood Mills, Lindale Manufacturing Co., Lindale, GA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05163; *Tyco Electronics, Fiber Optics Div., Glen Rock, PA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05053; *Greg Stout Logging, Inc., Gold Hill, OR*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05201; *AC Enterprises Construction and Fab, Inc., Fargo, ND*  
 NAFTA-TAA-04761; *Sierra Pine Limited, Springfield Particleboard Div., Springfield, OR*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

NAFTA-TAA-05340; *Qwest Wireless, Wireless Customer Care Center, Denver, CO*

**Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

NAFTA-TAA-05209; *Layne Christensen, Christensen Mining Products, Salt Lake City, UT: August 8, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05193; *Micro Motion, Inc., Boulder, CO: August 7, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05182; *Sweetwater Walls Industries, Inc., Sweetwater, TX July 24, 2000*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05205; *Signet Armormite, Inc., San Marcos, CA: July 17, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05056; *Bike Athletic Co., Mountain City, TN: July 9, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-04887; *Siemens Automotive Corp., Safety Electronics Div., Johnson City, TN: May 9, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05100; *International Components Technology Corp., San Jose, CA*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05263; *VF Imagewear (West), Inc., Harriman, TN: August 22, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-04992; *Teledyne Technologies, Teledyne Relays, Hawthorne, CA: June 4, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05239; *Rundel Products, Inc., Portland, OR: August 22, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05181; *Clifton Walls Industries, Inc., Clifton, TX: July 24, 2000.*  
 NAFTA-TAA-05138; *Power One, Allston, MA: July 18, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05132; *Gemtron Corp., Clarksville, TN: July 17, 2000.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of September and October, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: October 12, 2001.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01-26349 Filed 10-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,449 and NAFTA-04386]

**Hasbro Manufacturing Services, El Paso, TX; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Hasbro Manufacturing Services, El Paso, Texas. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued. TA-W-39,449 and NAFTA-04386;

Hasbro Manufacturing Services, El Paso, Texas (October 5, 2001)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of October, 2001.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01-26350 Filed 10-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,069 and NAFTA-04632]

**Rosboro Lumber Company, Mill A, Springfield, OR; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application of May 1, 2001, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's

negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) under petition TA-W-39,069 and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) under NAFTA-4632. The denial notices applicable to workers of Rosboro Lumber Company, Mill A, Springfield, Oregon, were signed on April 30, 2001 (TA-W-39,069), and April 19, 2001 (NAFTA-6432) and published in the Federal Register on May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27690) and May 3, 2001 (66 FR 22262), respectively.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Rosboro Limber Company, Mill A, Springfield, Oregon, producing softwood dimension lumber (primary product produced at the plant), was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The survey revealed no increased customer imports of softwood dimension lumber during the relevant period. The investigation further revealed that the subject company did not import softwood dimensional lumber during the relevant period.

The NATA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. A surveys was conducted and revealed that customers did not increase their imports of softwood dimensional lumber from Mexico or Canada during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import softwood dimensional limber from Mexico or Canada, nor was production of softwood dimensional lumber shifted from the workers' firm to Mexico or Canada.

The petitioner alleges that the mill produced another product (lam-stock) and that product was being imported by