

Section 15(b) report, it acted promptly to file the report in a timely manner.

30. Honeywell has consistently taken responsibility for any potential safety problems in connection with its products. The staff's allegations relate directly to Honeywell's acquisition of Duracraft. The majority of the events at issue transpired prior to Honeywell's acquisition of Duracraft or its involvement in Duracraft's product-safety matters. Honeywell's due diligence review of Duracraft was customary in the context of public company acquisitions and did not reveal all issues or details about specific products. Information about consumer claims that Honeywell did receive during its due diligence review was not unusual for a consumer products company. Honeywell did not receive information about the extent of the consumer claims until it completed the acquisition.

31. HCP is entering into this Settlement Agreement for settlement purposes only, to avoid incurring additional legal costs and expenses.

IV. Agreement of the Parties

32. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), 15 U.S.C. 2051 *et seq.*

33. HCP knowingly, voluntarily and completely waives any rights it may have to:

a. the issuance of a complaint in this matter;

b. an administrative or judicial hearing with respect to the staff allegations discussed in paragraphs 5 through 27 above;

c. judicial review or other challenge or contest of the validity of the Commission's Order;

d. a determination by the Commission as to whether a violation of Section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b) has occurred;

e. a statement of findings of fact and conclusion of law with regard to the staff allegations; and

f. to any claims under The Equal Access to Justice Act.

34. Upon provisional acceptance of this Settlement Agreement and Order by the Commission, this Settlement Agreement and Order shall be published in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 16 CFR part 1118, section 20, and the Commission may further publicize the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Order.

35. The Settlement Agreement and Order becomes effective upon final acceptance of the Commission and service of the Order upon HCP.

36. HCP agrees to pay to the United States Treasury a civil penalty in the amount of Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$800,000.00) within 30 calendar days of HCP's receiving service of the final Settlement Agreement and Order.

37. HCP agrees to the entry of the attached Order, which is incorporated herein by reference, and to be bound by its terms.

38. This Settlement Agreement and Order are entered into for settlement purposes only and shall not constitute a determination of any fault, liability or statutory or regulatory violation by HCP.

39. Compliance by HCP with the Settlement Agreement and Order in the above-captioned case fully resolves and settles the allegations of violations of Section 15(b) of the CPSA set out above.

40. The Commission's Order in this matter is issued under the provisions of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2051, *et seq.*, and 16 CFR part 1118, section 20, and a violation of this Order may subject HCP to appropriate legal action.

41. This Settlement Agreement and Order is binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of HCP and its corporate parents, assigns or successors.

42. Agreements, understandings, representations, or interpretations made outside of this Settlement Agreement and Order may not be used to vary or to contradict its terms.

Honeywell Consumer Products, Inc.

Dated: _____

U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

Alan H. Schoem,
Assistant Executive Director, Office of Compliance.

Eric L. Stone,
Director, Legal Division, Office of Compliance.

Dated: September 17, 2001.

Jimmie L. Williams, Jr.,
Trial Attorney, Legal Division, Office of Compliance.

[CPSC Docket No. 02-C0001]

In the Matter of Honeywell Consumer Products, Inc. (formerly known as Duracraft Corp.); Order

Upon consideration of the Settlement Agreement entered into between Honeywell Consumer Products, Inc., formerly known as Duracraft Corp., and the staff of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission; and the Commission having jurisdiction over the subject matter and Honeywell Consumer Products, Inc., and it appearing that the Settlement

Agreement and Order is in the public interest, it is

Ordered, that the Settlement Agreement be, and hereby is, accepted, and it is

Further Ordered, that upon final acceptance of the Settlement Agreement and Final Order, Honeywell Consumer Products, Inc. shall pay the Commission a civil penalty in the amount of Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$800,000.00) within 30 calendar days after service of this Final Order upon Honeywell Consumer Products, Inc.

Provisionally accepted and Provisional Order issued on the 29th day of October, 2001.

By Order of the Commission.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Acting Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 01-27483 Filed 10-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 31, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and

proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: October 26, 2001.

John Tressler,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Application for the High School Equivalency Program (HEP) and College Assistance Migrant Program (CAMP).

Frequency: Other: COMPETITIVE YEAR.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 90.

Burden Hours: 2160.

Abstract: IHEs, and non-profit organizations working with IHEs, are eligible applicants under HEP and CAMP. The programs provide federal financial assistance to Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs) or to non-profit agencies working in cooperation with IHEs for the purpose of providing academic, financial and supportive services to migrant and seasonal farmworkers to help them obtain the equivalent of a high school diploma (via HEP) and succeed in their first academic year of college (via CAMP). The Department uses the information to make grant awards.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO.RIMG@ed.gov or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information

collection when making your request. Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Kathy Axt at (540) 776-7742. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 01-27425 Filed 10-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Interim Management of Nuclear Materials

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Amended record of decision.

SUMMARY: On December 12, 1995, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) issued a Record of Decision (ROD) and Notice of Preferred Alternatives, 60 FR 65300 (December 19, 1995), for the final environmental impact statement, Interim Management of Nuclear Materials (IMNM EIS) (DOE/EIS-0220, October 20, 1995), at the Savannah River Site (SRS), Aiken, South Carolina. As part of its decision, DOE decided to construct a new facility, the Actinide Packaging and Storage Facility (APSF), to prepare, package, and store plutonium oxide and metal in accordance with DOE's plutonium storage standard, recently revised as Stabilization, Packaging, and Storage of Plutonium-Bearing Materials (DOE-STD-3013). The APSF also was intended to provide space for consolidated storage of plutonium and some special actinide materials at the SRS. Additionally, DOE decided that it would process approximately 14,000 liters (3,800 gallons) of americium and curium solution into a glass matrix (vitrify) within small stainless steel canisters (the "Vitrification (F-Canyon)" alternative). Modifications to the F-Canyon, where the americium/curium solution is stored, would be required to establish the vitrification stabilization capability. The canisters of vitrified americium/curium would have been stored in the F-Canyon building until DOE decided on its use or disposition.

For several reasons, including project cost growth concerns, DOE issued an amended ROD (66 FR 7888, January 26, 2001) which canceled the APSF project and decided to install the plutonium storage standard stabilization and packaging capability within Building 235-F, an existing plutonium storage and processing facility in the F-Area at the SRS. DOE also decided to use existing SRS vault storage space,

including space in Building 235-F, to store plutonium (and other nuclear material inventories) pending disposition.

Now, after further review of project costs, schedules, and program requirements, DOE has canceled the Building 235-F Plutonium Packaging and Stabilization project and the F-Canyon Americium/Curium Vitrification project. To establish the capability to package plutonium in accordance with the plutonium storage standard (DOE-STD-3013), DOE will modify existing furnaces, or install new ones, and install an outer can welding capability within the FB-Line facility, located in Building 221-F. To stabilize the F-Canyon americium/curium solution, DOE will implement the Processing and Storage for Vitrification in the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) alternative analyzed in the IMNM EIS. This alternative includes the transfer of the solution to the SRS high-level waste (HLW) system, vitrification of the HLW solution in the DWPF, and storage of the resultant canisters in the DWPF Glass Waste Storage Building pending disposition in a geologic repository.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information on the interim management of nuclear materials at the SRS, to receive a copy of the final IMNM EIS, or a copy of the IMNM ROD(s), contact: Andrew R. Grainger, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Compliance Officer, U.S. Department of Energy, Savannah River Operations Office, Building 730B, Room 2418, Aiken, South Carolina 29802, (800) 881-7292, Internet: drew.grainger@srs.gov

For further information on the DOE NEPA process, contact:

Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (EH-42), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-4600, or leave a message at (800) 472-2756.

Additionally, DOE NEPA information, including the IMNM Final EIS, can be found on the DOE NEPA Web site at: www.eh.doe.gov/nepa/.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

NEPA Review and Decisions

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) prepared a final environmental impact statement, Interim Management of Nuclear Materials (IMNM EIS) (DOE/EIS-0220, October 20, 1995), in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Council on Environmental Quality