in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under for further information **CONTACT** for assistance in understanding this rule. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

# **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

# **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

# **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive

Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

# **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Environment**

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this action and has determined under Figure 2–1, paragraph 32(e) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, that this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

# **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

# List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR Part 117 as follows:

# PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Public Law 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Section 117.331 is added to read as follows:

#### §117.331 Snake Creek.

The draw of the Snake Creek bridge, at Islamorada, Florida, shall open on signal, except that from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., the draw need open only on the hour and half-hour.

Dated: November 2, 2001.

#### D.B. Peterman,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District, Acting. [FR Doc. 01–28619 Filed 11–14–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 165

CGD1-01-193

RIN 2115-AA97

Safety Zones; The Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship—Boston Harbor, Boston, MA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

summary: The Coast Guard is establishing temporary safety zones for the Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship to be held on November 17, 2001 in Boston, MA. These safety zones are needed to protect the maritime public from any hazards. This rule will temporarily prohibit entry into all waters of Boston Inner Harbor within 300 yards around vessels participating in the Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. on November 17, 2001. **ADDRESSES:** Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Boston, 455 Commercial Street, Boston, MA between the hours of 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Petty Officer Michael Popovich, Marine Safety Office Boston, Waterways Safety and Response Division, at (617) 223–3067.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulatory History**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was not published for this regulation. Good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM and for making this regulation effective in less than 30 days after publication in

the Federal Register. Information about this event was not provided to the Coast Guard until October 22, 2001, making it impossible to draft or publish a NPRM or a final rule 30 days in advance of its effective date. Any delay encountered in this regulation's effective date would be unnecessary and contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to prevent traffic from transiting a portion of the Boston Inner Harbor, Boston, Massachusetts, and provide for the safety of vessels participating in the Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship, their crews, and others in the maritime community and to provide generally for safety of life on navigable waters. Additionally, these temporary safety zones will only exist during this 8-hour-and-30-minute-long local event and should have negligible impact on vessel transits because vessels are not precluded from using other portions of the waterway.

# **Background and Purpose**

The Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship will be taking place in Boston Inner Harbor on Saturday, November 17, 2001. This event is a round-robin race with two starting points, the Charles River Dam to Pier 2 at the Charlestown Navy Yard and Pier 4 at the Charlestown Navy Yard to a point off the U.S. Coast Guard Support Center. There will be four to six participating vessels, oared row boats, in this race. This regulation establishes safety zones in the waters of Boston Inner Harbor within 300 yards of the participating vessels.

These safety zones are in effect from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on November 17, 2001. These safety zones prohibit entry into or movement within these portions of the Boston Inner Harbor and are needed to protect participating vessels and their crews, and the maritime public from possible collision between participating vessels and others in the maritime community. Marine traffic may transit safely outside of the safety zones during the event. The Captain of the Port does not anticipate any negative impact on vessel traffic due to this event. Public notifications will be made prior to the effective period via local notice to mariners and marine information broadcasts.

# **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the

regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting a portion of the Boston Inner Harbor during this event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant for several reasons: the minimal time that vessels will be restricted from the zones, that vessels may safely transit outside of the safety zones, and advance notifications which will be made to the local maritime community by marine information broadcasts.

# **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Coast Guard considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of the Boston Inner Harbor from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. November 17, 2001. These safety zones will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: vessel traffic can safely pass outside of the safety zones during the event, the event is limited in duration, and the Coast Guard will issue maritime advisories before the effective period via marine information broadcasts.

# **Collection of Information**

This rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

# **Federalism**

The Coast Guard analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132 and has determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This rule would not impose an unfunded mandate.

# **Taking of Private Property**

This rule would not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

# **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

The Coast Guard analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not pose an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

# **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments. A rule with tribal implications has a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Environment**

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under figure 2–1, (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

# **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

# List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.

2. Add temporary § 165.T01–193 to read as follows:

# § 165.T01–193 Safety Zones: The Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship—Boston Harbor, Boston, Massachusetts.

- (a) Location. The following areas are safety zones: All waters of Boston Inner Harbor within 300 yards of row boats participating in the Icebreaker Youth Rowing Championship.
- (b) *Effective date.* This section is effective from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. on November 17, 2001.
- (c) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into or movement within these zones is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Boston.
- (2) All vessel operators shall comply with the instructions of the COTP or the designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard on board Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, local, state, and federal law enforcement vessels.

Dated: October 30, 2001.

# B.M. Salerno,

Captain, U. S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Boston, Massachusetts.

[FR Doc. 01–28620 Filed 11–14–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 249-0305; FRL-7102-3]

Partial Removal of Direct Final Rule Revising the California State Implementation Plan, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Partial removal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: On September 12, 2001 (65 FR 47392), EPA published a direct final approval of a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which pertained to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Rule 8-51, Adhesive and Sealant Products. The direct final action was published without prior proposal because EPA anticipated no adverse comment. EPA stated in the direct final rule that if EPA received adverse comment by October 12, 2001, EPA would publish a timely removal in the Federal Register. EPA subsequently received adverse comments on the direct final rule. Therefore, EPA is removing the direct final approval. EPA will address the comments in a subsequent final action based on the parallel proposal also published on September 12, 2001 (65 FR 47419). The direct final approval of the South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 443.1, Labeling of Materials Containing Organic Solvent, also published on September 12, 2001 is not affected by this removal and is incorporated into the SIP as of the effective date of the September 12, 2001 direct final action.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2001.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1199.

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: November 1, 2001.

#### Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 52—[AMENDED]

# Subpart F—California

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# §52.220 [Amended]

2. Section 52.220 is amended by removing paragraph (c)(282).

[FR Doc. 01–28340 Filed 11–14–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 249-0307; FRL-7102-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Interim Final Determination That State Has Corrected the Deficiencies

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Interim final determination.

**SUMMARY:** On September 12, 2001 in the Federal Register, EPA published a direct final rulemaking fully approving revisions to the California State Implementation Plan. The revisions concern Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Rule 8-51, Adhesive and Sealant Products. On that date, EPA also published a proposed rulemaking to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on EPA's action. Based on the proposed full approval, EPA is making an interim final determination by this action that the State has corrected the deficiencies for which a sanctions clock began on December 6, 1999. This action will stay the application of the offset sanction and defer the application of the highway sanction. Although this action is effective upon publication, EPA will take comment. If comments are received on this interim final action, EPA will publish a final action taking into consideration any comments received.

**DATES:** This interim final determination is effective November 15, 2001. Comments must be received by December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Section (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

The state submittal and EPA's analysis for that submittal, which are