

(iii) Any incendiary bomb or grenade, fire bomb, or similar device, including any device which—

(A) Consists of or includes a breakable container including a flammable liquid or compound, and a wick composed of any material which, when ignited, is capable of igniting such flammable liquid or compound; and

(B) Can be carried or thrown by one individual acting alone; and

(4) Blasting agents, water gels, and all items in the "List of Explosive Materials" provided for in § 55.23.

(e) *Incident*. An event or occurrence, other than accidental, resulting in the response of an emergency service, fire service or law enforcement agency which results in the recording of certain action(s) or reportable event(s) in an official report.

(f) *Suspected criminal misuse*. Any activity triggering an official report of an explosives incident.

§ 55.233 Who must report information on arson and explosives incidents to ATF?

Any agency (as defined in § 55.232) having information on incidents involving arson and the suspected criminal misuse of explosives, from whatever source, must report the information to ATF in the format arranged with ATF. State and local authorities having information concerning such incidents voluntarily may report the information to ATF by telephoning 1-800-461-8841 (nationwide toll free number).

§ 55.234 What is the minimal information that must be reported to ATF?

(a) General information about the arson/explosives incident, including—

- (1) The incident/case number;
- (2) The agency name; and
- (3) The date;

(b) Basic information about the arson/explosives incident, including—

- (1) The location of the incident;
- (2) The date and time of the incident;

and

(3) The type of incident (e.g., fire, explosion, bombing);

(c) A complete list of all stolen explosives;

(d) A complete list of all recovered explosives;

(e) Estimated property value and loss;

(f) Casualties (e.g., fire service, law enforcement, civilian);

(g) Any narrative summary regarding the arson/explosives incident and information on all device components;

(h) Suspect(s) or arrested or convicted person(s) full name and identifiers (e.g., date of birth, race, nationality, sex, social security number, law enforcement identifying number);

(i) Disposition of the case (i.e., court and date of conviction, statutory provisions violated, and the length of sentence imposed, if any), if available; and

(j) Information, if any, to assist in evaluating similarities with other arson and explosives incidents, such as features specific to the incident that are unique, highly distinctive, or sufficiently idiosyncratic to merit inclusion.

§ 55.235 How will an agency report arson and explosives incident information to ATF?

Arson and Explosives incident information must be reported to ATF in a manner that is authorized by the Director.

§ 55.236 How often will an agency report arson and explosives incident information to ATF?

An agency must report arson and explosives incident information to ATF on a quarterly basis (i.e., January–March; April–June; July–September; October–December), and no later than 15 days after the quarter ends, but may report any incident as soon as it happens. If an incident is reported prior to the end of the quarter it should not be reported again as part of a quarterly report.

Signed: August 23, 2001.

Bradley A. Buckles,
Director.

Approved: October 15, 2001.

Timothy E. Skud,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary
(Regulatory, Tariff and Trade Enforcement).
[FR Doc. 01-28597 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810-31-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MO 0137-1137; FRL-7103-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed action.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri which provides for the attainment and maintenance of the sulfur dioxide (SO₂) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) in St. Joseph, Missouri. In the final rules

section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: November 2, 2001.

James B. Gulliford,

Regional Administrator, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 01-28520 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MT-001-0039b & MT-001-0041b; FRL-7086-4]

Clean Air Act Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plan Revision for Montana; Revisions to the Missoula City-County Air Pollution Control Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State implementation the State implementation plan (SIP) revisions regarding the Missoula City-County Air Pollution Control Program, as submitted by the Governor of Montana with a letter dated April 30, 2001. On November 17, 2000, the Montana Board

of Environmental Review (MBER) adopted the revisions to the Missoula program rules regarding program authority and administration, definitions, failure to attain standards, emergency episode planning, general provisions, standards for stationary sources (including air quality permit program), outdoor burning, fugitive particulate, solid fuel burning devices, fuels, motor vehicles, enforcement and administrative procedures, and penalties. EPA's approval would make these revisions federally enforceable. In addition, the State requested that rules of the Missoula program that are not appropriate for incorporation into the SIP be removed from the federally approved plan. Finally, the Governor's April 30, 2001 submittal consists of several other revisions to Montana regulations, which will be handled separately.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Montana Department of Environmental Quality, 1520 E. 6th Avenue, Helena, Montana, 59620-0901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy Platt, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312-6449.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final

action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 5, 2001.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 01-28190 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD120-3071b ; FRL-7100-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; VOC RACT Determinations for the Thomas Manufacturing Corporation in the Baltimore Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing and requiring reasonably available control technology (RACT) for the Thomas Manufacturing Corporation, a major source of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in the State of Maryland. This source is located in the Baltimore ozone nonattainment area. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. The rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business

hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland 21224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine L. Magliocchetti at (215) 814-2174, the EPA Region III address above or by e-mail at magliocchetti.catherine@epa.gov. Please note that while questions may be posed via telephone and e-mail, formal comments must be submitted, in writing, as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; VOC RACT Determinations for the Thomas Manufacturing Corporation in the Baltimore Ozone Nonattainment Area, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: October 31, 2001.

Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 01-28188 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 2

[IB Docket No. 01-185, ET Docket No. 95-18, DA 01-2548]

Flexibility for Delivery of Communications by Mobile Satellite Service Providers in the 2 GHz Band, the L-Band, and the 1.6/2.4 GHz Band

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission gives notice that it extended the period for reply comment in the proceeding that it initiated to explore proposals to bring flexibility to the delivery of communications by Mobile Satellite Service ("MSS") providers. The Commission extended the period for reply comment at the request of the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association (CTIA) and Motient Services, Inc. (Motient) in order to allow sufficient time to establish the most complete and well-developed record possible on which to base a decision.