

Limits for Individual Members of the Public.”

Based on the review of the specific proposed activities associated with the dismantling and decontamination of the UVA facility, the staff has determined that the proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. In addition to the lead and cadmium discussed above, asbestos is present at the UVA Research Reactor. Asbestos will be removed by a licensed asbestos abatement contractor. Decommissioning activities will not affect non-radiological facility effluents and have no other environmental impact. The licensee states that there are no sensitive or endangered species on the UVA Research Reactor site. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

The four alternatives for disposition of the UVA Research Reactor are: DECON, SAFSTOR, ENTOMB, and no action. UVA has proposed the DECON option.

DECON is the alternative in which the equipment, structures, and portions of the facility containing radioactive contaminants are removed or decontaminated to a level that permits the property to be released for unrestricted use. SAFSTOR is the alternative in which the nuclear facility is placed and maintained in a condition that allows the nuclear facility to be safely stored and subsequently decontaminated (deferred decontamination) to levels that permit release for unrestricted use. ENTOMB is the alternative in which radioactive contaminants are encased in a structurally long-lived material, such as concrete; the entombed structure is appropriately maintained; and continued surveillance is carried out until the radioactivity decays to a level permitting release of the property for unrestricted use. The no-action

alternative would leave the facility in its present configuration.

The SAFSTOR, ENTOMB, and no-action alternatives would entail continued surveillance and physical security measures to be in place and continued monitoring by licensee personnel. The SAFSTOR and no-action alternatives would also require continued maintenance of the facility. The radiological impacts of SAFSTOR would be less than the DECON option because of radioactive decay prior to the start of decommissioning activities. However, this option involves the continued use of resources during the SAFSTOR period. The ENTOMB option would also result in lower radiological exposure than the DECON option but would involve the continued use of resources. UVA has determined that the proposed action (DECON) is the most efficient use of the existing facility, since it proposes to use the space that will become available for other academic purposes. These alternatives would have no significant environmental impact. In addition, the regulations in 10 CFR 50.82(b)(4)(i) only allow an alternative if it provides for completion of decommissioning without significant delay. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternatives are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Environmental Report submitted on February 9, 2000, dated February 2000, as supplemented on December 19, 2000, for the UVA Research Reactor.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 6, 2001, the staff consulted with the Virginia State official, Leslie P. Foldesi, Director, Radiological Health, Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The state official stated that he concurred with the environmental assessment and had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated February 9, 2000, as

supplemented on April 26, June 6, and December 19, 2000, and May 4 and 11, 2001, which are available for public inspection, and can be copied for a fee, at the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. The NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at <http://www.nrc.gov>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who have problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS may contact the PDR reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by email at pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of November 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Alexander Adams, Jr.,

Senior Project Manager, Operational Experience and Non-Power Reactors Branch, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-30239 Filed 12-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reclearance of a Revised Information Collection: RI 38-31

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for reclearance of a revised information collection. RI 38-31, We Need More Information About Your Missing Payment, is sent out by the Office of Retirement Programs in response to notification of the loss or non-receipt of payment from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund. The form requests the information needed to enable OPM to trace and/or reissue the payment. Missing payments may also be reported to OPM by a telephone call.

Approximately 8,000 reports of missing payment requests for both Treasury checks and electronic funds

transfers (EFT's) are processed each year; 200 RI 38-31 forms will be completed annually while 7,600 telephone calls are received at OPM. We estimate it takes approximately 10 minutes to complete the form for missing Treasury checks or to report the missing payment by telephone. The annual burden for reporting missing checks is 1,300 hours. The remaining 200 reports are about missing EFT payments. Since people have realized that they can report on the telephone, no missing EFT payments are reported using RI 38-31. The annual burden of reporting 200 missing EFT payments by telephone is 33 hours. The combined burden for collecting this information is 1,333 hours. In 1998 we included a total burden of 25 hours because 50 missing EFT payments were reported using RI 38-31. It takes an estimated 30 minutes to report a missing EFT payment using RI 38-31. The total burden is 17 hours lower because RI 38-31 is no longer used to report missing EFT payments.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, FAX (202) 418-3251 or e-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received January 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

Ronald W. Melton, Chief, Operations Support Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3349A, Washington, DC 20415-3540 and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING

ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Donna G. Lease, Team Leader, Forms Analysis and Design, (202) 606-0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 01-30246 Filed 12-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-50-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request for Review of a
Revised Information Collection: INV 41,
42, 43 and 44**

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for reclearance of revised information collection forms INV 41, 42, 43 and 44. OPM uses these forms to request information when conducting employment investigations. The investigations are conducted to determine suitability for Federal employment or the ability to hold a security clearance as prescribed in Executive Orders 10450, 12968, and 10577 (5 CFR part V), and 5 U.S.C. 3301.

INV Form 41, Investigative Request for Employment Data and Supervisor Information, is sent to employers and supervisors. INV Form 42, Investigative Request for Personal Information, is sent to references. INV Form 43, Investigative Request for Educational Registrar and Dean of Students Record Data, is sent to educational institutions. INV Form 44, Investigative Request for Law Enforcement Data, is sent to local law enforcement agencies.

Based on current usage, OPM estimates that 1,962,947 individuals will respond annually to the forms (902,204 to INV 41; 494,728 to INV Form 42; 135,304 to INV 43; and 430,711 to INV 44). We believe the forms require an average of 5 minutes to complete. The total estimated public burden is 162,924 hours.

To obtain copies of this proposal, please contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey at (202) 606-8358 or FAX (202) 418-3251 or by e-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before January 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver written comments to:

Richard A. Ferris, Associate Director, Investigations Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW Room 5416, Washington, DC 20415-4000; and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rasheedah I. Ahmad, Program Analyst, Investigations Service, Phone (202) 606-7983, FAX (202) 606-2390.

Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 01-30247 Filed 12-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-40-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

*Upon Written Request, Copies Available
From:* Securities and Exchange
Commission, Office of Filings and
Information Services, Washington, DC
20549

Tell Us How We're Doing!": SEC File No.
270-406, OMB Control No. 3235-0463

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this previously-approved questionnaire to the Office of Management and Budget for approval.

The title of the questionnaire is "Tell Us How We're Doing!"

The Commission currently sends the questionnaire to persons who have used the services of the Commission's Office of Investor Education and Assistance. The questionnaire consists mainly of eight (8) questions concerning the quality of services provided by OIEA. Most of the questions can be answered by checking a box on the questionnaire.

The Commission needs the information to evaluate the quality of services provided by OIEA. Supervisory personnel of OIEA use the information collected in assessing staff performance and for determining what improvements or changes should be made in OIEA operations for services provided to investors.

The respondents to the questionnaire are those investors who request assistance or information from OIEA.

The total reporting burden of the questionnaire in 2001 was approximately 5 hours. This was calculated by multiplying the total number of investors who responded to the questionnaire times how long it is estimated to take to complete the questionnaire (20 respondents × 15 minutes = 5 hours).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate