

	Estimated numbers of	
	Responses	Burden hours
Marin Parklands CTMP: Telephone Interviews	800	200
Marin Parklands CTMP: Tourist Intercept Survey	800	200
Marin Parklands CTMP: Postcard O-D Survey	400	100
Total	1,600	133

Under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) is soliciting comments on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for such a reliable and valid market analyses and to support the proper performance of the functions of the GGNRA and Marin County in evaluating the best alternative operations in the interest of the government and the general public, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the NPS estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) how to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, while maintaining an unbiased sample, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Public comments will be accepted on or before March 26, 2001.

Send Comments to: GGNRA, Attn. Mike Savidge, Bay and Franklin St., Bldg. 201, Ft. Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Savidge at (415) 561-4725 or Jennifer Coile at (415) 561-4933.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* Scope of Work for Marin Parklands Comprehensive Transportation Management Plan.

Bureau Form Number: None.

OMB Number: To be requested.

Expiration Date of Approval: To be requested.

Type of Request: Request for new clearance.

Description of Need: The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) of the San Francisco Bay Area has identified updated data

collection and surveys of this nature as critical to the foundation of improving alternative transportation access to GGNRA and state park sits in the Bay Area, and particularly to the feasibility of developing a potential shuttle service to park sites. GGNRA has also been identified as one of five national park demonstration sites to improve alternative transportation access through a coordinated program with the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) because of its over 15 million visitors per year. To support these efforts, GGNRA needs information to better develop ridership potential to alternate park sites, and to determine the specific market feasibility and operational plans for alternative modes of access to the Marin Parklands sites. Such a need was identified in a GGNRA Travel Study completed in 1977 and remains today. GGNRA and Marin County seek to acquire this information in order to plan for increasing alternative access modes to the five park sites and to reduce the congestion on the local roadway corridors to park sites that presently results in traffic delays for visitors and other residents.

Automated Data Collection: At the present time, there is no automated way to gather this information, since the information gathering process involves asking tourists and/or the general public to identify characteristics, use patterns, expectations, preferences and perceptions that are relevant to a study of alternative park access modes and services. Computerized responses could not be controlled for bias as intercept and random digit dialing surveys can be.

Description of Respondents: Intercept interviews will be conducted with a random sample of individuals who visit non-park sites in Marin or San Francisco Counties. Telephone surveys will be conducted with a random sample of residents of the Counties of Marin, San Francisco, Alameda and one or two other counties surrounding the Bay as yet unselected. The postcard O-D survey will be distributed to a random sample of drivers passing through the Tam Junction intersection.

Estimated Average Number of Respondents: 800 (completed telephone interviews); 400 (completed intercept interviews); 400 (completed postcard O-D surveys).

Estimated Average Number of Responses: Each respondent will respond only one time, so the number of responses will be the same as the number of respondents.

Estimated Average Burden Hours per Response: 15 minutes (telephone interviews); 15 minutes (intercept

surveys); 5 minutes (postcard O-D survey).

Frequency of Response: 1 time per respondent.

Estimated Annual Reporting Burden: 333 hours.

Dated: January 17, 2001.

Leonard E. Stowe,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, WASO Administrative Program Center, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 01-1878 Filed 1-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Director's Order 12—Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis and Decision-Making and Final Handbook

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of final director's order 12—conservation planning, environmental impact analysis and decision-making and final handbook.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) is converting and updating its current system of internal instructions in conformance with a new system of NPS internal guidance documents. As part of this process the NPS invited public and agency comments (59 FR 43355) concerning improvements to our implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act. Based on the public and internal scoping comments the NPS developed a draft revision of the Director's Order and Handbook. The NPS then made a draft of the Director's Order and Handbook available for public review and comment (62 FR 45270 and 62 FR 51144). Comments received during the public comment period were general and primarily editorial in nature and have been incorporated in the approved version. The approved Director's Order revises NPS policies, standards, and requirements for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) within units of the National Park System. Based on this revision, a modification will be made to the Department of the Interior's Departmental Manual (section 516 appendix 7) regarding implementation of NEPA by the NPS.

DATES: Director's Order 12: Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis and Decision-making and the Handbook accompanying the

Director's Order were signed on January 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Director's Order 12 and its Handbook are available on the Internet at the following address: <http://www.nps.gov/refdesk/Dorders/index.htm>. Requests for copies should be sent to: Jacob Hoogland, National Park Service, Environmental Quality Division, 1849 C Street, N.W. Room 2749, Washington, D.C 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacob Hoogland at (202) 208-3163 or jacob_hoogland@nps.gov.

Dated: January 16, 2001.

Michael Soukup,

Associate Director, Natural Resource Stewardship and Science.

[FR Doc. 01-1876 Filed 1-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee; Notice of Establishment

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of establishment.

SUMMARY: This notice is published in accordance with Section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463). Following consultation with the General Services Administration, notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Interior is establishing the California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee (Committee). The purpose of the Committee is to provide assistance and recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior and the Governor of California through the CALFED Policy Group or its successor on implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program as described in the Programmatic Record of Decision which outlines the long-term comprehensive solution for addressing the problems affecting the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. The Committee will provide recommendations on implementation of each element of the CALFED Program through the completion of State 1 (first 7 years). Specific responsibilities of the Committee include: (1) Making recommendations on annual priorities and coordination of Program actions to achieve balanced implementation of the Program elements; (2) providing recommendations on effective integration of program elements to provide continuous, balanced improvement of each of the Program

objectives (ecosystem restoration, water quality, levee system integrity, and water supply reliability); (3) evaluating implementation of Program actions in State 1, including assessment of Program area performance; (4) reviewing, commenting and making recommendations on Annual Reports regarding the implementation of Program elements as set forth in Programmatic Record of Decision to the Secretary, Governor, the Congress, the California Legislature, and other interested parties; (5) recommending program actions based on recommendations from the Committee workgroups and subcommittees; and (6) liaison between the Committee's workgroups, subcommittees, the State and Federal agencies and the public.

The Committee will consist of approximately 20 to 30 members who will be appointed by the Secretary in consultation with the Governor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nan Yoder, CALFED Program Manager, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95821-1898, telephone (916) 978-5523.

The certification of establishment is published below:

Certification

I hereby certify that establishment of the California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior.

Bruce Babbitt,

Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 01-1873 Filed 1-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA")

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States, et al. v. TRW Vehicle Safety Systems Inc.*, Civil Action No. 01 0095 PHX VAM, was lodged on January 18, 2001 with the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

In this Action, the United States and the State of Arizona have sought civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6902 *et seq.*, for violations at the Mesa, Arizona facility of TRW Vehicle Safety Systems, Inc. ("VSSI"), an airbag manufacturing facility.

The United States has now agreed to settlement of its claims under RCRA in

the proposed consent decree, which provides for civil penalty of \$5.67 million to be split evenly between the United States and the State of Arizona; a comprehensive package of Supplemental Environmental Projects estimated to cost \$5.76 million to implement; contribution by VSSI to a cleanup fund for an off-site landfill, the Butterfield Station Landfill, located in Mobile, Arizona; measures related to future waste management at the Mesa facility; and assessment and cleanup of the Mesa facility.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of the publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20044, and should refer to *United States, et al. v. TRW Vehicle Safety Systems Inc.*, Civil Action No. 01 0095 PHX VAM, and DOJ #90-7-1-06715.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of Arizona, Federal Building, Room 4000, 230 North First Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85025. A copy of the proposed consent decree also may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$21.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs) per Consent Decree, payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Walker Smith,

Principal Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01-2051 Filed 1-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection under Review: National Interest Waivers; Supplemental Evident to I-140 and I-485.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork