#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 3565]

### Privacy Act of 1974 as Amended; Removal of a System of Records

Notice is hereby given that the Department of State is removing a system of records, "Privacy Act Requests Records, STATE-40" pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552a(r)), and in accordance with the record-keeping practices and the reorganization of the Bureau of Administration.

As reported in Public Notice 3487 dated November 27, 2000 (65 FR 75761, No. 233, December 4, 2000), the relevant records reflected in STATE-40 are now part of "Information Access Programs Records STATE-35," and STATE-40 consequently has been removed.

Dated: January 29, 2001.

#### Patrick F. Kennedy,

Assistant Secretary for the Bureau of Administration, Department of State. [FR Doc. 01–2879 Filed 2–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–24–U

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/D-160]

# WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Regarding Section 110(5) of the U.S. Copyright Act

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative ("USTR") is providing notice of the date by which the United States is to respond to the recommendations and rulings of the Dispute Settlement Body ("DSB") of the World Trade Organization ("WTO") in United States—Section 110(5) of the U.S. Copyright Act, a dispute brought by the European Communities (the "EC"), to examine Section 110(5) of the U.S. Copywright Act. In this dispute, the EC alleged that Section 110(5) is inconsistent with obligations of the United States under the WTO Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs Agreement). After full briefing and hearings, the Panel determined that Section 110(5)(A)(the "homestyle exemption") did not violate the TRIPs Agreement, but that Section 110(5)(B) (the "Fairness in Music Licensing Act of 1998") was inconsistent with U.S. obligations. In September 2000, the United States confirmed to the DSB its

commitment to implement the recommendations and rulings of the DSB in a manner which respects U.S. WTO obligations. As a result of arbitral proceedings the United States has a period of twelve months from the date of adoption of the panel report—i.e., until July 27, 2001—to implement the recommendations and rulings of the DSB. The USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the manner in which it should respond. DATES: Comments should be submitted by February 26, 2001, to be assured of timely consideration by the USTR in developing a response to the DSB recommendations and rulings. ADDRESSES: Comments are to be submitted to Sandy McKinzy, Litigation Assistant, Office of Monitoring and Enforcement, Room 122, Attn: U.S.-Section 110(5) Dispute, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melida N. Hodgson, Associate General Counsel, (202) 395–3582; Claude Burcky, Director of Intellectual Property, (202) 395–6864.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 15, 1999, the EC submitted a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel to examine Section 110(5) of the U.S. Copyright Act, which provides that, under certain conditions, the communications of musical works via a radio or television by certain establishment shall not constitute copyright infringement. The DSB established a panel for this purpose on May 26, 1999, and the panel was composed on August 6, 1999. In June 15, 2000, after full briefing and hearings, the panel issued recommendations and rulings. These were adopted by the DSB on July 27, 2000. In August and September 2000 the United States affirmed that it would implement the DSB's recommendations and rulings. On October 23, 2000 the EC requested arbitration on the reasonable period of time for the United States to implement the DSB's recommendations and rulings. The arbitrator issued a report on January 15, 2001, granting the United States a period of twelve months, or until July 27, 2001 to implement the DSB's recommendations and rulings.

# Major Issues Raised and Legal Basis of the Complaint

The EC alleged that Section 110(5), as amended by the Fairness in Music Licensing Act of 1998, violates Article 9(1) of the TRIPS Agreement, which incorporates Articles 1 to 21 of the Berne Convention for the Protection of

Literary and Artistic Works (the "Berne Convention"). More specifically, the EC alleged that Section 110(5) is inconsistent with Articles 11(1) and 11bis(1) of the Berne Convention which grants authors of literary and artistic works, including musical works, certain exclusive rights. Section 110(5) provides under subparagraph (A) that the communication of a transmission embodying a performance or display of a work by the public reception of the transmission on a single receiving apparatus of a kind commonly used in private homes is not an infringement of copyright unless a direct charge is made to see or hear the transmission, or the transmission thus received is further transmitted to the public. Subparagraph (B) of Section 110(5) provides that, under certain conditions relating, inter alia, to the size of the establishment and the number of loudspeakers or audiovisual devices, the communication by an establishment of a transmission or retransmission embodying a performance or display of a nondramatic musical work intended to be received by the general public, originated by a licensed radio or television broadcast station, is not an infringement of copyright.

The Panel found that Section 110(5)(A) was consistent with the TRIPs Agreement, but that Section 110(5)(B) was too broad and therefore did not satisfy the requirements of an exception

to TRIPs.

# **Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions**

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies to Sandy McKinzy at the address provided above. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitting person. Confidential business information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by the USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitting person believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the

submitting person—

- (1) Must so designate the information or advice:
- (2) Must clearly mark the material as "SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy; and
- (3) Is encouraged to provide a nonconfidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), the USTR maintains a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room: Room 101, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include all non-confidential comments received by the USTR from the public in response to this request. An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/D-160, United States—Section 110(5) of the U.S. Copy right Act) may be made by calling Brenda Webb, (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

#### A. Jane Bradley,

Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 01–2796 Filed 2–1–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Intent To Rule on Request To Release Airport Property at Monroe Municipal Airport, Monroe, LA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of request to release

Airport property.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the release of land at Monroe Municipal Airport under the provisions of Section 125 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment Reform Act for the 21st Century (AIR 21).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Lacey D. Spriggs, Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Louisiana/New Mexico Airports Development Office, ASW-640, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0640.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must

be mailed or delivered to The Honorable Melvin L. Rambin, Mayor of Monroe, Louisiana, at the following address: City of Monroe, P.O. Box 2738, Monroe, Louisiana 71207–2738.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John Dougherty, Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, LA/NM ADO, ASW-640C, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0640.

The request to release property may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA invites public comment on the request to release property at the Monroe Municipal Airport under the provisions of the AIR 21.

On December 19, 2000, the FAA determined that the request to release property at Monroe Municipal Airport submitted by the City met the procedural requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 155. The FAA may approve the request, in whole or in part, no later than June 1, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the request:

The City of Monroe requests the release of 15.861 acres of airport property. The release of property will allow for the expansion of an existing business with the Airport Industrial Park. The sale is estimated to provide \$275,000 toward the construction of new toilets and elevators in the existing airport terminal facility. These improvements are required to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disability Act.

Any person may inspect the request in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Monroe Municipal Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on January 23, 2001.

#### Joseph G. Washington,

Acting Manager, Airports Division.
[FR Doc. 01–2856 Filed 2–1–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

[Summary Notice No. PE-2001-08]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR, dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before February 26, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You must identify the docket number FAA–2000–XXXX at the beginning of your comments. If you wish to receive confirmation that FAA received your comments, include a self-addressed, stamped postcard.

You may also submit comments through the Internet to http://dms.dot.gov. You may review the public docket containing the petition, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Dockets Office (telephone 1–800–647–5527) is on the plaza level of the NASSIF Building at the Department of Transportation at the above address. Also, you may review public dockets on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Forest Rawls (202) 267–8033, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267–8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 30, 2001

#### Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

### **Petitions for Exemption**

Docket No.: FAA-2000-8062.