

advertising falsely represented that Indigo earnings data described in the ads represent trades that were actually made and that resulted in the profits stated in the advertisements; that the annual returns for the years 1990 through 1999 enumerated in the advertisements were actually achieved by users of respondents' Indigo trading program; and that users of respondents' Indigo investment trading program can reasonably expect to trade with little financial risk. According to the complaint, the Indigo earnings data described on the site do not represent trades that were actually made and that resulted in the profits stated in the advertisements; instead, the data represent results of hypothetical trading and are prepared with the benefit of hindsight using historical data. The annual returns for the years 1990 through 1999 enumerated in the advertisements were not actually achieved by users of respondents' Indigo trading program; instead, the annual returns are based upon hypothetical trades using historical data. Indeed, respondents' Indigo trading program did not exist until 1995. Additionally, the complaint alleges, users of respondents' Indigo trading program cannot reasonably expect to trade with little financial risk; indeed, consumers who trade in stocks risk a substantial loss of capital, and trading some Indigo models represents a high risk speculative investment.

The complaint further alleges that respondents made several unsubstantiated claims. It alleges that respondents' advertising represented that most users of respondents' Indigo trading program who have invested in conservative portfolios have achieved an annual return of 40% over the past three years; that most users of respondents' Indigo trading program who have invested in aggressive portfolios with "hot" Internet stocks have achieved returns of several hundred percent; that testimonials appearing in the advertisements for respondents' Indigo trading program reflect the typical or ordinary experience of members of the public who use the program; and that users of respondents' Indigo trading program can reasonably expect to achieve substantial profits on a consistent basis, whether pursuing a conservative or aggressive trading strategy. Respondents, however, lacked a reasonable basis to substantiate these claims, according to the complaint.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I of

the order would require, with regard to the sale of any trading program, that respondents possess a reasonable basis for future representations about the amount of earnings, income, or profit, or the rate of return, that a user of such trading program could reasonably expect to attain; the usual or typical earnings, income, profit, or rate of return, achieved by users of such trading program or any part thereof; or any financial benefit or other benefit of any kind from the purchase or use of such trading program.

Part II of the order prohibits respondents, in connection with sale of any trading program, from misrepresenting that hypothetical or simulated earnings data represent actual trading results; that users of such trading program can reasonably expect to trade with little risk; or the extent of risk to which users of the trading program are exposed.

Part III requires that future benefits claims be accompanied by the statement that "STOCK [or CURRENCY, OPTIONS, ETC., as applicable] TRADING involves high risks and YOU can LOSE a significant amount of money." Part IV prohibits respondents from representing that the experience represented by any user, testimonial or endorsement of the trading program represents the typical or ordinary experience of members of the public who use the trading program unless respondents can substantiate the typicality representation or they disclose either what the generally expected results would be for users of the trading program, or the limited applicability of the endorser's experience to what users may generally expect to achieve.

The remaining parts of the order contain standard record keeping, order distribution, reporting, compliance, and sunset provisions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comments on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-3192 Filed 2-06-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 992 3263]

Sharp Electronics Corp.; Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 26, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kerry O'Brien or Matthew Gold, Federal Trade Commission, Western Regional Office, 901 Market Street, Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103. (415) 356-5266.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for January 25, 2001), on the World Wide Web, at "<http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/01/index.htm>." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627.

Public comment is invited. Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580. Two paper copies of each comment should be filed, and should be accompanied, if possible, by a 3½ inch diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and

will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Sharp Electronics Corporation ("Sharp").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

Sharp advertises and sells the "Mobilon" line of hand-held personal computers ("HPCs"). Sharp's Mobilon HPCs, as well as similar devices from several other manufacturers, use the Microsoft Windows CE operating system. This operating system and several applications, including a word processor, a spreadsheet, and a database, are installed on these devices' ROM board. HPCs are designed to be upgradeable to newer versions of the operating system and/or applications through the purchase and installation of a new ROM board.

This matter concerns allegedly false and deceptive advertising of Sharp's Mobilon HPCs. The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that Sharp claimed that it would offer to its Mobilon customers an upgrade to a later version of the Microsoft Windows CE operating system when such a later version became available. In fact, Sharp never offered to its Mobilon customers an upgrade to a later version of the Microsoft Windows CE operating system when such a later version became available. Further, the company continued to represent that its Mobilon HPCs were upgradeable for several months after deciding not to offer an upgrade.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent Sharp from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I of the proposed Order prohibits the company from misrepresenting the availability of any upgrade product. Part II of the proposed order requires Sharp to offer the promised upgrade to consumers who purchased a Mobilon 4100, 4500, or 4600 handheld PC. Under this provision, Mobilon owners may obtain

the upgrade for the payment of a shipping and handling charge of \$10. Parts III through VI of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part VII is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By the direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-3193 Filed 2-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Notice of Interest Rate on Overdue Debts

January 31, 2001.

Section 30.13 of the Department of Health and Human Services' claims collection regulations (45 CFR part 30) provides that the Secretary shall charge an annual rate of interest as fixed by the Secretary of the Treasury after taking into consideration private consumer rates of interest prevailing on the date that HHS becomes entitled to recovery. The rate generally cannot be lower than the Department of Treasury's current value of funds rate or the applicable rate determined from the "Schedule of Certified Interest Rates with Range of Maturities." This rate may be revised quarterly by the Secretary of the Treasury and shall be published quarterly by the Department of Health and Human Services in the **Federal Register**.

The Secretary of the Treasury has certified a rate of 14⅞% for the quarter ended December 31, 2000. This interest rate will remain in effect until such time as the Secretary of the Treasury notifies HHS of any change.

Dated: January 31, 2001.

George Strader,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Finance.

[FR Doc. 01-3154 Filed 2-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-01-19]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639-7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques for other forms of information technology. Send comments to Anne O'Connor, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Evaluating HIV Prevention Programs in Community-Based Organizations (CBOs)—New—The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP) proposes to develop and test a model of HIV prevention community-based organization (CBO) functioning using a one time data collection questionnaire. Each CBO will be asked to answer questions related to the existence and importance of factors affecting their HIV prevention interventions. This data collection is necessary for CDC to better (a) assess CBO applications systematically for funding, (b) develop materials CBOs can use to assess their own programmatic needs and create a social map of their target populations, including a CBO profile of organizational, environmental, target population, intervention program