

that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.—5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 6, 2002.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 02-5803 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

[Docket Number FRA-2002-11415]

*Applicant:* Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. Phil M. Abaray, Chief Engineer—Signals, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179-1000.

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of two automatic signals; one approach "D" signal, and conversion of an automatic signal to an operative "D" signal. The two westbound automatic signals that are proposed to be removed are approach signals to a controlled signal that governs train movements from the Julesburg branch onto the UP main line at Julesburg, Colorado. The eastbound automatic signal is proposed to be converted to an operative "D" signal. These signals are located at M.P. 0.7 on

the Julesburg Subdivision. The eastbound "D" signal that is proposed to be removed is located at M.P. 2.0.

The reason given for the proposed changes is due to decreased traffic from the Julesburg branch, the signals are no longer needed.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.—5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 6, 2002.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 02-5801 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad

Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

#### Docket Number FRA-2002-11414

*Applicant:* Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. Phil M. Abaray, Chief Engineer—Signals, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179-1000.

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of a switch machine, power-operated derail and four controlled signals; 163L, 163R, 164L, and 164R, at Cyanamid, Louisiana, M.P. 16.5, on the Livonia Subdivision.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that these signals protected a crossover switch location that had been retired at an earlier time. Due to removal of the crossover and decrease in traffic on the spur caused by removal of connection to a foreign railroad, the control point is no longer needed. The mainline signals are being retired to eliminate a short block between the existing location and a controlled power switch location at M.P. 17.1.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.—5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately

present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 6, 2002.

**Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 02-5802 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Maritime Administration

[DOCKET NO. MARAD-11788]

#### Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intentions to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before May 13, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20590. Comments may also be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at <http://dmses.dot.gov/submit>. Specifically address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rita Jackson, Maritime Administration, MAR-250, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. Telephone: 202-366-0284; FAX 202-493-2288, or e-mail: [rita.jackson@marad.dot.gov](mailto:rita.jackson@marad.dot.gov).

Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Application for Admission to the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy.

*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved information collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2133-0010.

*Form Numbers:* KP-2-65

*Expiration Date of Approval:* October 31, 2002.

*Summary of Collection of Information:* The collection consists of Parts I, II, and III of Form KP 2-65 (U.S. Merchant Marine Academy Application for Admission.) Part I of the form is completed by individuals wishing to be admitted as students to the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy.

*Need and Use of the Information:* The information is necessary to select the best qualified candidates for the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy.

*Description of Respondents:* Individuals desiring to become students at the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy.

*Annual Responses:* 2,500

*Annual Burden:* 12,500 hours

Dated: March 7, 2002.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

**Joel C. Richard,**

*Secretary, Maritime Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-5910 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-81-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2001-10944; Notice 2]

#### Advanced Bus Industries, Grant of Application for Decision That Noncompliance Is Inconsequential to Motor Vehicle Safety

Advanced Bus Industries, LLC, (ABI) of Marysville, Ohio, has determined that approximately 68 Mauck Special Vehicles (MSV) with tag axles, manufactured between May 31, 1995 and February 2, 2000, do not meet the requirements of paragraph S5.1 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 105, "Hydraulic and Electric Brake Systems." Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), ABI petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Section 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports."

Notice of receipt of the application was published on November 14, 2001, with a 30-day comment period (66 FR 57151). NHTSA received no comments on this application.

ABI is the original equipment manufacturer of the MSV. ABI manufactures the MSV as a complete bus, which is then purchased by city transit organizations, or as a shell, which is purchased by up-fitters that customize and sell it to a first purchaser.

The four-wheel independent suspension of the MSV is augmented by a tag axle with small wheels. The tag axle is manufactured by Dexter, has a maximum support capacity of 3,500 pounds, and is installed behind the MSV's two rear wheels. A supporting force of 1,500 pounds is provided by the tag axle via the air pressure inside the two air-filled rubber springs mounted between the tag axle and the MSV chassis.

Vehicle braking is provided by the hydraulic, caliper-disc service brakes on the four main wheels. The two small wheels of the tag axle are not fitted with brakes. The lack of brakes on the two small wheels of the tag axle does not satisfy paragraph S5.1 of FMVSS 105, which states that a vehicle must have service brakes at all wheels.

ABI argued that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety because these vehicles exceed the current FMVSS No. 105 braking performance requirements. To support this claim ABI submitted, along with its petition for inconsequential non-compliance, a test report compiled in August 1999. The test facility that produced the report, Radlinski & Associates, tested a MSV to the procedures specified in FMVSS No. 105 and a complete Certification Test Report was generated. The FMVSS No. 105 Certification Test Report indicates that the MSV exceeded all FMVSS No. 105 performance requirements.

The agency believes that the true measure of inconsequentiality in this case is the effect of the noncompliance on the vehicle's ability to meet the stopping distance and vehicle stability performance requirements of FMVSS No. 105. The report of the testing conducted by Radlinski & Associates in August 1999 indicates that the brake system of the MSV complies with the fully functional and partially failed brake system requirements of FMVSS No. 105.

On February 8, 2000, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) granted ABI a temporary exemption from the requirement in FMVSS No. 105, paragraph S5.1, for service brakes at all wheels. The temporary exemption expired on January 1, 2002. Based on information supplied to the agency by ABI, including the report of brake testing according to FMVSS No. 105 procedures