By direction of the Commission, Chairman Muris not participating.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–5966 Filed 3–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 012 3182]

Interstate Bakeries Corp.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 8, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments filed in electronic form should be directed to: *consentagreement@ftc.gov*, as prescribed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Engle or Richard Kelly, Bureau of Consumer Protection, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–3161 or 326–3304.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for March 6, 2002), on the World Wide Web, at *http://www.ftc.gov/os/2002/03/index.htm.* A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If a comment contains nonpublic information, it must be filed in paper form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled ''confidential.'' Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form (in ASCII format, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word) as part of or as an attachment to email messages directed to the following email box: *consentagreement@ftc.gov.* Such comments will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with § 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Interstate Bakeries Corporation (IBC).

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter involves allegedly unsubstantiated representations made on television and in Internet advertising about the effects of the calcium in Wonder Bread on children's memory and brain function. According to the FTC complaint, IBC made unsubstantiated claims that as a good source of calcium, Wonder Bread helps children's minds work better and helps children remember things.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent IBC from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I of the proposed order prohibits IBC from making any unsubstantiated claim (a claim lacking competent and reliable scientific evidence) that as a good source of calcium, Wonder Bread helps children's minds work better, or as a good source of calcium, Wonder Bread helps children remember things.

Part II of the order requires IBC to have competent and reliable scientific evidence for any claim that any of its breads, bread products, rolls or muffins or any of their ingredients, helps brain function or memory, or can treat, cure or prevent any disease or related health condition. Part II also provides that a mere statement that a product contains a particular vitamin or mineral will not, without more, be considered for purposes of this order a representation that the product can treat, cure or prevent any disease or related health condition.

Part IV of the order states that the order does not apply to any label or labeling printed before the order is served on IBC and shipped by IBC's bakeries to distributors or retailers within nine months after the order is issued.

Part III of the order notes that this order does not prohibit IBC from making any claim that is specifically permitted in labeling pursuant to the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990. Parts V through VIII of the order require IBC to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements, to provide copies of the order to certain of its personnel, to notify the Commission of changes in corporate structure, and to file a compliance report with the Commission. Part IX provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years under certain circumstances.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission, Commissioner Anthony recused.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–5967 Filed 3–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 002 3332]

Palm, Inc.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment

describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 5, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments filed in electronic form should be directed to: *consentagreement@ftc.gov*, as prescribed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Ostheimer, Bureau of Consumer Protection, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2699.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for March 6, 2002), on the World Wide Web, at *http://www.ftc.gov/os/2002/03/index.htm.* A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326–2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Comments filed in paper form should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159–H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If a comment contains nonpublic information, it must be filed in paper form, and the first page of the document must be clearly labeled ''confidential.'' Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form (in ASCII format, WordPerfect, or Microsoft Word) as part of or as an attachment to e-mail messages directed to the following e-mail box: *consentagreement@ftc.gov.* Such comments will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with § 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's rules of practice, 16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Palm, Inc. (''Palm'').

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter involves alleged misleading representations about Palm handheld computers or personal digital assistants (''PDAs''). This matter concerns allegedly false and deceptive advertising claims made in advertisements regarding the ability of Palm devices to wirelessly access the Internet and e-mail accounts and to perform other functions.

According to the FTC complaint, Palm misrepresented that Palm PDAs, as sold, contain everything that consumers need to wirelessly access the Internet and their e-mail accounts. In fact, in order to wirelessly access the Internet and e-mail accounts using Palm PDAs, other than the Palm VII model line, consumers must purchase and carry a separate wireless modem or a device to connect the Palm to certain mobile telephones; and, moreover, many mobile telephones currently in use in the U.S. are not compatible with Palm PDAs. The complaint also alleges that in representing that consumers can use Palm PDAs, as sold, to access the Internet and their e-mail accounts wirelessly, Palm failed to disclose or failed to disclose adequately that in order to wirelessly access the Internet and their e-mail accounts, consumers must purchase and carry a separate wireless modem or a device to connect the Palm to certain mobile telephones. The complaint alleges that the failure to disclose this material fact is a deceptive practice.

The proposed complaint also challenges as false the claim that Palm PDAs, as sold, can perform common business functions such as data base management, custom form creation, and viewing Microsoft Word and Excel documents. To perform these functions using Palm PDAs, consumers must purchase and install additional software. The complaint also alleges that in representing that consumers can use Palm PDAs, as sold, to perform these functions, respondent failed to disclose or failed to disclose adequately that in order to perform these functions using Palm PDAs, consumers must purchase and install additional software. The complaint alleges that the failure to disclose this material fact is a deceptive practice.

Finally, the complaint alleges that in representing that consumers can use the Palm VII model line to access the Internet and their e-mail accounts wirelessly, Palm failed to disclose or failed to disclose adequately that consumers must subscribe to Palm.Net, a proprietary for-fee service. The complaint alleges that the failure to disclose this material fact is a deceptive practice.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent Palm from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I of the proposed order prohibits respondent from making misrepresentations that any PDA or handheld Internet or e-mail access device can perform any common business function that it cannot perform without additional products or services that consumers must purchase. Part I also prohibits misrepresentations that wireless Internet or e-mail service coverage for the product is available everywhere or almost everywhere in the U.S.

Part II of the proposed order prohibits misrepresentations about performance characteristics relating to Internet or e-mail account access of any non-wireless PDA or handheld Internet or e-mail access device (*i.e.*, one that requires the use of an additional device in order to access the Internet or e-mail accounts wirelessly).

Part III requires that when respondent makes any claims about the ability of any PDA or handheld Internet or e-mail access device to perform any function that requires the purchase of additional products or services, it must make a clear and conspicuous disclosure, depending upon the function being discussed. When the function involves accessing the Internet or e-mail accounts, respondent must disclose any other products (such as a modem, mobile telephone, or adapter) or Internet or e-mail access services (other than general-purpose ISP service, as defined in the order), that consumers must purchase in order to access the Internet or e-mail accounts. When the function does not involve accessing the Internet or e-mail accounts, respondent must disclose that additional products must

be purchased in order to perform such function(s).

Part IV of the proposed order provides that, for up to 120 days after service of the order, respondent may continue to ship products from existing stock in packaging with nonconforming labeling, as long as the packaging was printed less than 30 days after the date respondent signed the consent agreement.

Parts VI through IX require Palm to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements, to provide copies of the order to certain of its personnel, to notify the Commission of changes in corporate structure, and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part X provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years under certain circumstances.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–5968 Filed 3–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Meeting of the National Human Research Protections Advisory Committee (NHRPAC)

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Secretary, Office of Public Health and Science, Office for Human Research Protections.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the National Human Research Protections Advisory Committee (NHRPAC).

The meeting will be open to the public, with attendance limited to space available. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should notify the contact person listed below. Individuals planning on attending the meeting and who want to ask questions must submit their requests in writing in advance of the meeting to the contact person listed below.

DATES: The Committee will hold its next meeting on April 29–30, 2002. The meeting will convene EST from 8:30 a.m. to its recess at approximately 5:30 p.m. on April 29 and resume at 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. on April 30.

ADDRESSES: Hyatt Regency Bethesda Hotel, One Bethesda Metro, Bethesda, MD, (301) 657–1234.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keisha Johnson, Program Assistant, National Human Research Protections Advisory Committee, Office for Human Research Protections, The Tower Building, 1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 200, Rockville, Maryland 20852, (301) 435–4917. The electronic mail address is: *kjohnson@osophs.dhhs.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Human Research Protections Advisory Committee was established on June 6, 2000, to provide expert advice and recommendations to the Secretary of HHS, Assistant Secretary for Health, the Director, Office for Human Research Protections, and other departmental officials on a broad range of issues and topics pertaining to or associated with the protection of human research subjects.

Information about NHRPAC, and the draft agenda for the Committee's April 2002 meeting, will be posted on the NHRPAC website at: *http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/nhrpac/nhrpac.htm.*

Dated: March 7, 2002.

Greg Koski,

Executive Secretary, National Human Research Protections Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 02–5925 Filed 3–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 01N–0437]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; New Animal Drugs for Investigational Use; Correction

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a notice that appeared in the **Federal Register** of January 14, 2002 (67 FR 1772). The document announced that a proposed collection of information had been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The document was published with an incorrect OMB control number. This document corrects that error.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Tucker, Office of Policy, Planning, and Legislation (HF–27), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–7010.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 02–855, appearing on page 1772 in the **Federal Register** of Monday, January 14, 2002, the following correction is made:

1. On page 1772, in the second column, in the fourteenth line, ''0910–0017'' is corrected to read ''0910–0117''.

Dated: March 5, 2002.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 02–5922 Filed 3–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 02D–0073]

''Guidance for Industry: Validation of Procedures for Processing of Human Tissues Intended for Transplantation;'' Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a guidance document entitled ''Guidance for Industry: Validation of Procedures for Processing of Human Tissues Intended for Transplantation'' dated March 2002. The guidance document is intended to remind all tissue establishments that the current requirement to prepare, validate, and follow procedures to prevent infectious disease contamination or cross-contamination during the processing of human tissues intended for transplantation includes such infectious disease agents as viruses, bacteria, fungi, and will include transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE)-associated prions as technology progresses.

DATES: General comments on agency guidance documents are welcome at any time. The agency is soliciting public comment, but is implementing this guidance document immediately because of public health concerns. FDA is requesting that you submit with your comments any information on specific methods currently used by tissue establishments to prevent infectious disease contamination and cross-