these islands of Channel Islands
National Park. The proposed action was
developed in concert with the Island
Conservation and Ecology Group and is
based on worldwide evaluation of other
island rat eradication projects. Actions
to eradicate existing and prevent
potential Black rat infestations are
necessary because of the ecological
damage occurring on Anacapa Island,
the benefit this action would have for
migratory birds, and the potential
negative impact they would have if
introduced to other islands in Channel
Islands National Park.

Dated: March 7, 2002.

Rowan W. Gould,

Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

[FR Doc. 02–8126 Filed 4–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [OR110-6310-DP; HAG02-0126]

Notice of Availability of the Draft Kelsey Whisky Landscape Management Plan, Associated Amendments to the Medford Resource Management Plan, and Draft Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Glendale Resource Area, Medford District, Bureau of Land Management.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Draft Kelsey Whisky Landscape Management Plan (LMP), Associated Amendments to the Medford Resource Management Plan (RMPA), and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (RMPA/LMP/EIS).

SUMMARY: The Glendale Resource Area is providing the Draft Kelsey Whisky Landscape Management Plan (LMP), Associated Amendments to the Medford Resource Management Plan (RMPA), and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (RMPA/LMP/EIS) for public review and comment. The planning area encompasses approximately 104,000 acres of public land managed by the Glendale Resource Area, Medford District and located in Josephine, Douglas and Curry counties in southwestern Oregon. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has and will continue to work closely with all interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to the needs of the public. The public is invited to review and comment on the range and adequacy of the draft alternatives and associated environmental effects. For comments to

be most helpful, they should relate to specific concerns or conflicts that are within the legal responsibilities of the BLM and they must be able to be resolved in this planning process. Specific comments are the most useful in helping us improve the analysis and development of the preferred alternative. Documents referenced in this draft EIS may be examined at the Medford District Office during normal working hours.

DATES: The comment period will end 90 days after the publication of the Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability of the draft RMPA/LMP/EIS in the Federal Register. Comments must be received on or before the end of the comment period at the address listed below. No public meetings, open houses or field tours of the project area have been scheduled at this time. If there is sufficient public interest, public meetings will be arranged to discuss the management alternatives and answer questions. At least 15 days notice in local media will be given for activities where the public is invited to attend. All meetings will be published on the Medford District web site www.or.blm.gov/Medford under "Planning Documents" (subject to internet availability) and in the Grant's Pass Courier and Umpqua Free Press newspapers. Comments, including names and addresses of commentors, will be available for public review. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name and/or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Sherwood Tubman, Ecosystem Planner, Glendale Resource Area, Bureau of Land Management, 3040 Biddle Road, Medford, Oregon 97504. Planning records are available at this address for inspection during normal working hours. Requests for copies of the draft plan can also be made by telephone to Sherwood Tubman at 541–618–2399 or Lynda Boody at 541–618–2279.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There are three action alternatives and a no-action alternative, each developed with differing emphasis. The range of

management direction includes timber harvest of anywhere from 4.8 to 12.9 million board feet (MMBF), restoration activities, road decommissionings, water source enhancement projects, fuel hazard reduction treatments, and other land management direction. Public comments were considered in developing and analyzing issues and alternatives, along with local government, known interest groups and data developed by BLM staff. The alternatives were designed to address, in different ways, the land and resource management issues identified in the early stages of the planning process.

Authority: Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Dated: March 14, 2002.

Lynda Boody,

Field Manager, Glendale Resource Area. [FR Doc. 02–8228 Filed 4–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–33–U

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-449]

Certain Abrasive Products Made Using a Process for Powder Preforms, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision to Affirm ALJ Order No. 40 and Not to Review a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the final initial determination (ID) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) on February 8, 2002, finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the above-captioned investigation, and determined to affirm ALJ Order No. 40 issued by the ALJ on October 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205– 3041. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

Copies of the public version of ALJ Order No. 40, the Commission's opinion affirming that Order, the ID, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 5, 2001, based upon a complaint filed on January 5, 2001, by Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co. ("3M") of St. Paul, Minnesota and Ultimate Abrasive Systems, LLC ("UAS") of Atlanta, Georgia. 66 FR 9720 (Feb. 9, 2001). Their complaint named Kinik Company ("Kinik") of Taipei, Taiwan and Kinik Corporation ("Kinik Corp.") of Anaheim, California as respondents.

Complainants alleged that respondents had violated section 337 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and selling within the United States after importation certain abrasive products that are made using a process for making powder preforms that is covered by claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,620,489 ("the '489 patent"), owned by UAS and exclusively licensed to 3M. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

Complainants moved to terminate the investigation with respect to Kinik Corp. after they concluded that Kinik Corp was not manufacturing or importing products that infringed the '489 patent. The ALJ granted this motion on June 19, 2001, in an ID (Order No. 15) and the Commission determined not to review that ID. On August 8, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 19) that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement was satisfied with respect to the claims at issue of the 489 patent, and the Commission determined not to review that ID.

An evidentiary hearing was held on October 10–17, 27, and 30, 2001. On February 8, 2002, the ALJ issued his final ID, in which he determined that Kinik's accused DiaGrid abrasive products infringed claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 of the '489 patent and that the '489 patent was valid and enforceable. Based upon these findings, he found a violation of section 337.

The ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order barring

importation of all Kinik abrasive products that infringe the '489 patent, which includes products produced using Kinik's DiaGrid process. He also recommended issuance of a cease and desist order, and a bond during the Presidential review period in the amount of 5 percent of the entered value of the infringing Kinik products.

On February 21, 2002, Kinik

On February 21, 2002, Kinik petitioned for review of the ALJ's final ID. Kinik also appealed Order No. 40, issued by the ALJ on October 12, 2001. That Order precluded Kinik from asserting 35 U.S.C. 271(g) as a non-infringement defense. On February 28, 2002, 3M and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed oppositions to Kinik's petition for review and its appeal of Order No. 40.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the parties' written submissions, the Commission has determined to affirm Order No. 40 and not to review the ID in its entirety. The Commission will issue an opinion explaining its reasons for affirming Order No. 40.

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/ or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in Kinik being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background information, see the Commission Opinion, Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Publication 2843 (Dec. 1994).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the

aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount to be determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions

The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the February 8, 2002 recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on April 11, 2002. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on April 18, 2002. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.42, 210.43, 210.45, 210.46, and 210.50 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.42, 210.43, 210.45, 210.46, and 210.50.

Issued: March 29, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-8106 Filed 4-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–929 to 931 (Final)]

Silicomanganese From India, Kazakhstan and Venezuela; Notice of Commission Determination to Conduct a Portion of the Hearing in Camera

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing to the public.

SUMMARY: Upon request of Transnational Co. Kazchrome and Aksu Ferroalloy Plant and Consider, Inc. (collectively "K&C"), the Commission has determined to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned investigation scheduled for April 2, 2002, in camera. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission has determined that sevenday advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurent de Winter, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–708–5452, e-mail lwinter@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission believes that K&C have justified the need for a closed session. They seek a closed session to allow testimony concerning petitioner's financial performance, capacity utilization, and market share. Because there is only one domestic producer of silicomanganese, such discussions will necessitate disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI), and they can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held in camera. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by petitioners and by respondents, with questions from the Commission. In addition, the hearing will include an in camera session for a confidential presentation by K&C and a rebuttal presentation by petitioner. Questions from the Commission relating to the BPI will follow each of the in camera presentations. During the in camera session the room will be cleared of all persons except those who have been granted access to BPI under a Commission administrative protective order (APO) and are included on the Commission's APO service list in this investigations. See 19 CFR 201.35(b)(1), (2). The time for the parties' presentations and rebuttals in the in camera session will be taken from their respective overall allotments for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the in camera portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.

Authority: On behalf of the General Counsel, the Deputy General Counsel has certified, pursuant to Commission Rule 201.39 (19 CFR § 201.39) that, in his opinion, a portion of the Commission's hearing in Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan and Venezuela, Invs. Nos. 731–TA–929 to 931 (Final) may be closed to the public to prevent the disclosure of BPI.

Issued: April 1, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–8136 Filed 4–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; FY 2002 Community Policing Discretionary Grants

AGENCY: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services ("COPS") announces the availability of funds under the Tribal Resources Grant Program, a program designed to meet the most serious needs of law enforcement in Indian communities through a comprehensive grant program that will offer a variety of funding options including: New, additional police officer positions; basic and/or specialized training for new and existing officers; training in community policing, grants management and computer training; uniforms and basic issue equipment; department-wide technology; and police vehicles. This

program, which complements the COPS Office's efforts to fund additional community policing officers and to support innovative community policing, will enhance law enforcement infrastructures and community policing efforts in tribal communities which have limited resources and are affected by high rates of crime and violence. Applications should reflect the department's most serious law enforcement needs and must link these needs to the implementation or enhancement of community policing. In addition, a Retention Plan Certification form outlining how COPS-funded officer positions will be retained after Federal funding has ended must be submitted with the grant application.

All Federally Recognized Tribes with established police departments or existing police efforts are eligible to apply. Federally Recognized Tribes that wish to establish police departments and meet specific criteria are also eligible to apply. Federally Recognized Tribes may also apply as a consortium with a written partnership agreement that names a lead agency and describes how requested resources will serve the consortium's population. In addition, tribes that are currently served by Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) law enforcement may request funding under this grant program to supplement their existing police services. Tribes whose law enforcement services are exclusively provided by local policing agencies through a contract agreement are not eligible under the COPS TRGP program, but may be eligible to apply to the COPS Universal Hiring Program for police officer positions only.

DATES: Applications will be sent to all Federally Recognized Tribes with existing law enforcement efforts by April 2002. Tribes or villages that wish to apply as a start-up or consortium may request an application kit from the COP Office. The deadline for the submission of applications is May 17, 2002. Applications must be postmarked by May 17, 2002 to be considered eligible.

ADDRESSES: To obtain an application or for more information, call the U.S. Department of Justice Response Center at 1–800–421–6770. A copy of the application kit will also be available in April on the COPS Office web site at: http://www.cops.usdoj.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The U.S. Department of Justice Response Center, 1–800–421–6770 and ask to speak with your Grant Program Specialist.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: