SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation (DOT or Department) hereby extends the comment period on the proposed rule requiring certain foreign and domestic air carriers to report complaints that they receive alleging inadequate accessibility or discrimination on the basis of disability.

DATES: The comment period is extended from April 15, 2002, to June 1, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this action must refer to the docket and notice numbers cited at the beginning of this document and must be submitted to the Docket Management Facility of the Office of the Secretary (OST), located on the Plaza Level of the Nassif Building at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. The DOT Docket Facility is open to the public from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday. Comments will be available for inspection at this address and will also be viewable via the dockets link on the Department's web site (www.dot.gov). Commenters who wish the receipt of their comments to be acknowledged should include a stamped, self-addressed postcard with their comments. The Docket Clerk will date-stamp the postcard and mail it back to the commenter.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Dols, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street, SW., Room 4116, Washington, DC 20590, 202–366–6828 (voice), (202) 366–0511 (TTY), 202–366–7152 (fax), or *jonathan.dols@ost.dot.gov* (email). Arrangements to receive this document in an alternative format may be made by contacting the above named individual.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 8, 2002, the Air Transport Association of America (ATA) and the Regional Airline Association (RAA) filed a request to extend to June 1, 2002, the comment period on the Department's proposed rule requiring certain foreign and domestic air carriers to report disability-related complaints (see 67 FR 6892, February 14, 2002). In their request, ATA and RAA stated that they and their members need additional time to analyze the proposed rule, to assess its impact, to devise an appropriate survey, and to develop substantive recommendations. They maintain that additional time will yield more insightful comments that will, in turn, improve the final rule. The Department concurs that an extension of the comment period is necessary to allow members of industry sufficient time to analyze the impact of the proposed rule and determines that this extension would not unduly affect the public's or the government's interest. Moreover, the Department has not received any objection to the extension of time requested by ATA and RAA. Accordingly, the Department finds that this constitutes good cause to extend the comment period on the proposed rule from April 15, 2002, to June 1, 2002.

Issued in Washington, DC this 3rd day of April, 2002, under authority delegated to me by 14 CFR 385.17(c).

Robert C. Ashby,

Deputy Assistant General Counsel for Regulation and Enforcement, U.S. Department of Transportation.

[FR Doc. 02–8552 Filed 4–9–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[REG–165706–01]

RIN 1545–BA46

Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: This document contains proposed regulations on the definition of refunding issue applicable to tax-exempt bonds issued by States and local governments. This document provides a notice of public hearing on these proposed regulations.

DATES: Written or electronic comments must be received by July 9, 2002. Outlines of topics to be discussed at the public hearing scheduled for July 30, 2002, at 10 a.m., must be received by July 9, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send submissions to: CC:ITA:RU (REG–165706–01), room 5226, Internal Revenue Service, POB 7604, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044. Submissions may be hand delivered between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. to: CC:ITA:RU (REG–165706–01), courier's desk, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. Alternatively, submissions may be made electronically to the IRS Internet site at *www.irs.gov/regs.* The public hearing will be held in the Auditorium, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the regulations, Michael P. Brewer, (202) 622–3980; concerning submissions and the hearing, Treena Garrett, (202) 622–7190 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 150 of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) provides certain definitions and special rules for purposes of applying the tax-exempt bond limitations contained in sections 103 and 141 through 150. On June 18, 1993, final regulations (TD 8476) under section 150 were published in the **Federal Register** (58 FR 33510). On May 9, 1997, additional final regulations (TD 8718) under section 150 were published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 25502). This document proposes to modify the definition of refunding issue under § 1.150–1(d).

Explanation of Provisions

Section 1.150–1(d) of the current regulations provides a definition of *refunding issue*. In general, a refunding issue is an issue of obligations the proceeds of which are used to pay principal, interest, or redemption price on another issue. The current regulations contain certain exceptions to this general rule. One exception (the *change in obligor exception*) provides that an issue is not a refunding issue to the extent that the obligor of one issue is neither the obligor of the other issue nor a related party with respect to the obligor of the other issue. Another exception (the *six-month exception*) provides that if a person assumes (including taking subject to) obligations of an unrelated party in connection with an asset acquisition (other than a transaction to which section 381(a) applies if the person assuming the obligation is the acquiring corporation within the meaning of section 381(a)), and the assumed issue is refinanced within six months before or after the date of the debt assumption, the refinancing issue is not treated as a refunding issue.

Section 1.150–1(b) of the current regulations provides that the term *related party* means, in reference to a governmental unit or a 501(c)(3) organization, any member of the same controlled group. Section 1.150–1(e) of the current regulations provides that the term *controlled group* means a group of entities controlled directly or indirectly by the same entity or group of entities. The determination of control is made on the basis of all the relevant facts and circumstances. One entity or group of entities (the *controlling entity*) generally controls another entity or group of

entities (the *controlled entity*) if the controlling entity possesses either of the following rights or powers and the rights or powers are discretionary and non-ministerial: (i) The right or power both to approve and to remove without cause a controlling portion of the governing body of the controlled entity; or (ii) the right or power to require the use of funds or assets of the controlled entity for any purpose of the controlling entity.

Recently, questions have arisen regarding the application of these provisions with respect to certain issuances of bonds for 501(c)(3) organizations that operate hospital systems. In question generally is whether bonds issued in connection with the combination of two or more 501(c)(3) organizations to refinance outstanding bonds should be characterized as refunding bonds. One question is how the change in obligor exception and the six-month exception should be applied when the obligor of the new issue becomes related to the obligor of the other issue as part of the refinancing transaction. Another question is whether the acquisition by a 501(c)(3) organization of the sole membership interest in another 501(c)(3) organization should be treated as an asset acquisition for purposes of the six-month exception. A third question is what assets should be treated as financed by the new bonds under both the change in obligor exception and the six-month exception.

In general, the proposed regulations retain the change in obligor exception and the six-month exception, with certain modifications. The proposed regulations clarify that the determination of whether persons are related for purposes of the change in obligor exception and the six-month exception is generally made immediately before the transaction. However, a refinancing issue is a refunding issue under the proposed regulations if the obligor of the refinanced issue (or any person that is related to the obligor of the refinanced issue immediately before the transaction) has or obtains in the transaction the right to appoint the majority of the members of the governing body of the obligor of the refinancing issue (or any person that controls the obligor of the refinancing issue).

The proposed regulations state that the six-month exception applies to *acquisition transactions*. An acquisition transaction is a transaction in which a person acquires from an unrelated party: (i) Assets, other than an equity interest in an entity, if the acquirer is treated as acquiring such assets for all Federal income tax purposes; (ii) stock of a corporation with respect to which a valid election under section 338 is made; or (iii) control of a governmental unit or a 501(c)(3) organization through the acquisition of stock, membership interests or otherwise.

The proposed regulations retain the exclusion under which the six-month exception does not apply to transactions to which section 381(a) applies, and broaden its scope. In particular, under the proposed regulations the exclusion may apply even if the person assuming the obligations is not the acquiring corporation within the meaning of section 381(a) (for example, a transaction in which a corporation assumes the obligations of a target corporation in a transaction to which section 381(a) applies and then contributes all of the assets of the target corporation to a controlled subsidiary). The proposed regulations also extend the application of this rule for section 381(a) transactions to the change in obligor exception.

The proposed regulations provide two new, additional requirements for purposes of the change in obligor exception and the six-month exception. In certain circumstances where the obligors of the issues are affiliated before the transaction or become affiliated as part of the transaction, the proposed regulations provide that an issue will be treated as a refunding issue unless: (i) The refinanced issue is redeemed on the earliest date on which the issue may be redeemed, and (ii) the new issue is treated as being used to finance the assets that were financed with the proceeds of the refinanced issue. These new requirements are intended to further the Congressional policy against overburdening the tax-exempt bond market, as expressed in sections 148 and 149(d). In particular, they are intended to prevent overburdening in the case of transactions between affiliated persons that contain certain economic characteristics of a refunding.

Proposed Effective Date

The proposed regulations will apply to bonds sold on or after the date of publication of final regulations in the **Federal Register**. However, issuers may apply the proposed regulations in whole, but not in part, to any issue that is sold on or after the date the proposed regulations are published in the **Federal Register** and before the applicability date of the final regulations.

Special Analyses

It has been determined that this notice of proposed rulemaking is not a significant regulatory action as defined in Executive Order 12866. Therefore, a regulatory assessment is not required. It has also been determined that section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 5) does not apply to these regulations, and because the regulations do not impose a collection of information on small entities, the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6) does not apply. Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, this notice of proposed rulemaking will be submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on its impact on small business.

Comments and Public Hearing

Before these proposed regulations are adopted as final regulations, consideration will be given to any written comments that are submitted timely (preferably a signed original and eight copies) to the IRS. All comments will be available for public inspection and copying.

A public hearing has been scheduled for July 30, 2002, at 10:00 a.m. in the Auditorium, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. Because of access restrictions, visitors will not be admitted beyond the lobby more than 15 minutes before the hearing starts.

The rules of 26 CFR 601.601(a)(3) apply to the hearing.

Persons who wish to present oral comments at the hearing must submit written comments by July 9, 2002 and submit an outline of the topics to be discussed and the amount of time to be devoted to each topic by July 9, 2002.

A period of 10 minutes will be allotted to each person for making comments.

An agenda showing the scheduling of the speakers will be prepared after the deadline for receiving outlines has passed. Copies of the agenda will be available free of charge at the hearing.

Drafting Information

The principal authors of these regulations are Bruce M. Serchuk, Office of Chief Counsel (Tax-exempt and Government Entities), Internal Revenue Service and Stephen J. Watson, Office of Tax Legislative Counsel, Department of the Treasury. However, other personnel from the IRS and Treasury Department participated in their development.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Proposed Amendments to the Regulations

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

Par. 2. Section 1.150–1 is amended as follows:

1. Paragraph (a)(2)(iii) is added.

2. Paragraphs (d)(2)(ii) and (d)(2)(v) are revised.

The added and revised provisions read as follows:

§ 1.150–1 Definitions.

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(iii) *Special effective date for paragraphs (d)(2)(ii) and (d)(2)(v).* Paragraphs (d)(2)(ii) and (d)(2)(v) of this section apply to bonds sold on or after the date of publication of final regulations in the **Federal Register**, and may be applied by issuers in whole, but not in part, to any issue that is sold on or after April 10, 2002.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) * * *

(ii) *Certain issues with different obligors*—(A) *In general.* An issue is not a refunding issue to the extent that the obligor (as defined in paragraph (d)(2)(ii)(B) of this section) of one issue is neither the obligor of the other issue nor a related party with respect to the obligor of the other issue. The determination of whether persons are related for this purpose is generally made immediately before the issuance of the refinancing issue. This paragraph (d)(2)(ii)(A) does not apply to any issue that is issued in connection with a transaction to which section 381(a) applies.

(B) *Definition of obligor.* The obligor of an issue means the actual issuer of the issue, except that the obligor of the portion of an issue properly allocable to an investment in a purpose investment means the conduit borrower under that purpose investment. The obligor of an issue used to finance qualified mortgage loans, qualified student loans, or similar program investments (as defined in § 1.148–1) does not include the ultimate recipient of the loan (e.g., the homeowner, the student).

(C) *Certain integrated transactions.* If, within six months before or after a person assumes (including taking subject to) obligations of an unrelated party in connection with an acquisition transaction (other than a transaction to which section 381(a) applies), the assumed issue is refinanced, the refinancing issue is not a refunding issue. An acquisition transaction is a transaction in which a person acquires from an unrelated party—

(*1*) Assets (other than an equity interest in an entity);

(*2*) Stock of a corporation with respect to which a valid election under section 338 is made; or

(*3*) Control of a governmental unit or a 501(c)(3) organization through the acquisition of stock, membership interests or otherwise.

(D) *Special rule for affiliated persons.* Paragraphs (d)(2)(ii)(A) and (C) of this section do not apply to any issue that is issued in connection with a transaction between affiliated persons (as defined in paragraph (d)(2)(ii)(E) of this section), unless—

(*1*) The refinanced issue is redeemed on the earliest date on which it may be redeemed (or otherwise within 90 days after the date of issuance of the refinancing issue); and

(*2*) The refinancing issue is treated for all purposes of sections 103 and 141 through 150 as financing the assets that were financed with the refinanced issue.

(E) *Affiliated persons.* For purposes of paragraph (d)(2)(ii)(D) of this section, persons are affiliated persons if—

(*1*) At any time during the six months prior to the transaction, more than 5 percent of the voting power of the governing body of either person is in the aggregate vested in the other person and its directors, officers, owners, and employees; or

(*2*) During the one-year period beginning six months prior to the transaction, the composition of the governing body of the acquiring person (or any person that controls the acquiring person) is modified or established to reflect (directly or indirectly) representation of the interests of the acquired person or the person from whom assets are acquired (or there is an agreement, understanding, or arrangement relating to such a modification or establishment during that one-year period).

(F) *Reverse acquisitions.* Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph (d)(2)(ii), a refinancing issue is a refunding issue if the obligor of the refinanced issue (or any person that is related to the obligor of the refinanced issue immediately before the transaction) has or obtains in the transaction the right to appoint the majority of the members of the governing body of the obligor of the refinancing issue (or any person that controls the obligor of the refinancing issue). See paragraph (d)(2)(v) *Example 2* of this section.

* * * * *

(v) *Examples.* The provisions of this paragraph (d)(2) are illustrated by the following examples:

Example 1. Consolidation of 501(c)(3) hospital organizations. (i) A and B are unrelated hospital organizations described in section 501(c)(3). A has assets with a fair market value of $175 million, and is the obligor of outstanding tax-exempt bonds in the amount of $75 million. B has assets with a fair market value of $145 million, and is the obligor of outstanding tax-exempt bonds in the amount of $50 million. In response to significant competitive pressures in the healthcare industry, and for other substantial business reasons, A and B agree to consolidate their operations. To accomplish the consolidation, A and B form a new 501(c)(3) hospital organization, C. A and B each appoint one-half of the members of the initial governing body of C. Subsequent to the initial appointments, C's governing body is self-perpetuating. On December 29, 2003, State Y issues bonds with sale proceeds of $129 million and lends the entire sale proceeds to C. The 2003 bonds are collectively secured by revenues of A, B and C. Simultaneously with the issuance of the 2003 bonds, C acquires the sole membership interest in each of A and B. C's ownership of these membership interests entitles C to exercise exclusive control over the assets and operations of A and B. C uses the $129 million of sale proceeds of the 2003 bonds to defease the $75 million of bonds on which A was the obligor, and the $50 million of bonds on which B was the obligor. All of the defeased bonds will be redeemed on the first date on which they may be redeemed. In addition, C treats the 2003 bonds as financing the same assets as the defeased bonds. The 2003 bonds do not constitute a refunding issue because the obligor of the 2003 bonds (C) is neither the obligor of the defeased bonds nor a related party with respect to the obligors of those bonds immediately before the issuance of the 2003 bonds. In addition, the requirements of paragraph (d)(2)(ii)(D) of this section have been satisfied.

(ii) The facts are the same as in paragraph (i) of this *Example 1,* except that C acquires the membership interests in A and B subject to the obligations of A and B on their respective bonds, and the 2003 bonds are sold within six months after the acquisition by C of the membership interests. The 2003 bonds do not constitute a refunding issue.

Example 2. Reverse acquisition. D and E are unrelated hospital organizations described in section 501(c)(3). D has assets with a fair market value of $225 million, and is the obligor of outstanding tax-exempt bonds in the amount of $100 million. E has assets with a fair market value of $100 million. D and E agree to consolidate their operations. On May 18, 2004, Authority Z issues bonds with sale proceeds of $103 million and lends the entire sale proceeds to E. Simultaneously with the issuance of the 2004 bonds, E acquires the sole membership interest in D. In addition, D obtains the right

to appoint the majority of the members of the governing body of E. E uses the $103 million of sale proceeds of the 2004 bonds to defease the bonds of which D was the obligor. All of the defeased bonds will be redeemed on the first date on which they may be redeemed. In addition, E treats the 2004 bonds as financing the same assets as the defeased bonds. The 2004 bonds constitute a refunding issue because the obligor of the defeased bonds (D) obtains in the transaction the right to appoint the majority of the members of the governing body of the obligor of the 2004 bonds (E). See paragraph (d)(2)(ii)(F) of this section.

Example 3. Relinquishment of control. The facts are the same as in *Example 2,* except that D does not obtain the right, directly or indirectly, to appoint any member of the governing body of E. Rather, E obtains the right both to approve and to remove without cause each member of the governing body of D. In addition, prior to being acquired by E, D experiences financial difficulties as a result of mismanagement. Thus, as part of E's acquisition of D, all of the former members of D's governing body resign their positions and are replaced with persons appointed by E. The 2004 bonds do not constitute a refunding issue.

* * * * *

Robert E. Wenzel,

Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

[FR Doc. 02–8655 Filed 4–5–02; 2:41 pm]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 4

[Notice No. 941]

RIN 1512–AC65

Proposal To Recognize Synonyms for Petite Sirah and Zinfandel Grape Varieties (2001R–251P)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms is proposing two amendments to its list of prime grape variety names used to designate American wines. The first amendment would recognize the name ''Durif'' as a synonym for the Petite Sirah grape, while the second would recognize the name ''Primitivo'' as a synonym for the Zinfandel grape. The Bureau's proposal is based on recent DNA research into the identity of these grapes.

DATES: Written comments must be received by June 10, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 50221, Washington, DC 20091–0221 (Attn: Notice No. 941). *See* the ''Public Participation'' section of this notice for alternative means of commenting.

Copies of the proposed regulation, background materials, and any written comments received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at the ATF Reading Room, Office of Public Affairs and Disclosure, Room 6480, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Berry, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Regulations Division, 111 W. Huron Street, Room 219, Buffalo, NY 14202–2301; telephone (716) 434–8039.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

What Is ATF's Authority To Regulate Grape Variety Names?

Under the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (27 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.*) (FAA Act), wine labels must provide the consumer with ''adequate information as to the identity'' of the product. The FAA Act also requires that the information appearing on wine labels not mislead the consumer. In addition, the Act authorizes the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) to issue regulations to carry out the Act's provisions.

Regulations concerning wine labeling, including those that designate prime grape variety names, are contained in 27 CFR part 4, Labeling and Advertising of Wine. Under 27 CFR 4.23(b) and (c), a wine bottler may use an approved grape variety name as the designation of a wine if at least 75 percent of the wine (51 percent in the case of wine made from *Vitis labrusca* grapes) is derived from that grape variety. Under § 4.23(d), a bottler may use two or more approved grape variety names as the designation of a wine if all of the grapes used to make the wine are of the labeled varieties and the percentage of the wine derived from each grape variety is shown on the label.

Treasury Decision ATF–370 (T.D. ATF–370), issued on January 8, 1996 (61 FR 522), adopted a list of grape variety names that ATF determined to be appropriate for use in designating American wines. The list of prime grape variety names and their synonyms appears at § 4.91, while additional alternative grape names temporarily authorized for use are listed at § 4.92. Synonyms are as acceptable as prime names and can stand alone on a label as a wine's designation. We believe the listing of approved grape variety names for American wines will help standardize wine label terminology, provide important information about the wine, and prevent consumer confusion.

How Did ATF Decide Which Names To Include in § 4.91?

The original prime grape variety name list was created through a two-part research and rulemaking process. In 1982, ATF established the Winegrape Varietal Names Advisory Committee whose members included wine industry members and academic viticultural researchers. The Committee reviewed hundreds of grape varietal names and synonyms then used in the production of American wine, and, in 1984, issued a report listing those names it determined were the most accurate and appropriate for use on American wine labels.

Using this report as a basis for rulemaking, ATF published Notice 581 on February 4, 1986 (51 FR 4392), followed by Notice 749 on September 3, 1992 (57 FR 40380), soliciting comments from the public on the proposed list. After reviewing the more than 200 comments received in response to Notices 581 and 749, ATF published T.D. ATF–370, which added the list of American grape variety names to 27 CFR part 4, Labeling and Advertising of Wine.

T.D. ATF–370 also established a process for the approval of new grape variety names. Under § 4.93, any interested person may petition ATF to add additional grape varieties to the list of prime grape names. Under the regulations, petitioners should submit evidence that:

- The grape variety is accepted;
- The name identifying the grape variety is valid;
- The variety is used or will be used in winemaking; and
- The variety is grown and used in the United States.

Since the publication of T.D. ATF–370, we have added several grape names to the prime grape name list in § 4.91 through this petition process.

Evidence Supporting Proposed Revisions

Petite Sirah/Durif

The names ''Petite Sirah'' and ''Durif'' were each listed as separate prime grape variety names in T.D. ATF–370. ATF originally proposed these names as synonyms in Notice 749, based on a widely held belief that these were two names for the same grape variety. However, Dr. Carole Meredith of the Department of Viticulture and Enology,