Oregon, to close the bascule span from 8 a.m. on June 17 to 6 p.m. on July 10, 2002. This temporary closure is necessary to allow the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to refurbish the bridge deck and install a pedestrian walkway in a timely manner. This course of action is intended to minimize disruption to highway traffic without significantly impacting navigation of the waterway.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This deviation is effective from 8 a.m. on June 17 to 6 p.m. on July 10, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Unless otherwise noted, documents referred to in this notice are available for inspection and copying at Commander (oan), Thirteenth Coast Guard District, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98174–1067, room 3510 between 7:45 a.m. and 4:15 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Bridge Section of the Aids to Navigation and Waterways Management Branch maintains the docket for this temporary deviation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Austin Pratt, Chief, Bridge Section, Aids to Navigation and Waterways Management Branch, Telephone (206) 220–7282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Old Youngs Bay Bridge across Youngs Bay, mile 2.4, at Astoria, Oregon, provides 13 feet of vertical clearance above mean high water and 20 feet above mean low water. Navigation on the waterway consists mostly of small open vessels. In recent years, requests for openings have consistently decreased. In 2001, the bridge was opened only four times for the passage of vessels. Three of those openings were during the winter months. This deviation from the regulations in 33 CFR 117.899 will allow ODOT to complete their project faster, with less disruption to highway traffic and with a minimal impact on navigation.

Dated: April 12, 2002.

R.W. Wicklund,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Thirteenth Coast Guard District, Acting. [FR Doc. 02–10034 Filed 4–23–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117 [CGD01-01-182] RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulations: Hutchinson River, Eastchester Creek, NY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule; revision.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is revising the temporary final rule regulating the operation of the Pelham Parkway Bridge, mile 0.4, across the Hutchinson River in New York. The temporary rule, in effect from November 15, 2001 through May 12, 2002, requires the bridge to open on signal, after a onehour advance notice is given, between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday. This revision provides that the draw need not open for vessel traffic from 6 a.m. to 7 p.m., on April 18, 19, 29, and 30, 2002. This action is necessary to facilitate necessary maintenance repairs at the bridge. **DATES:** This temporary final rule is effective from November 15, 2001 through May 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District Office, 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110, 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (617) 223–8364.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joe Schmied, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, at (212) 668–7165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was not published for this regulation. Good cause exists for not publishing a Notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register**.

On October 17, 2001, we published a temporary final rule governing the operation of the Pelham Parkway Bridge (66 FR 52684). The temporary final rule required a one-hour advance notice for any bridge opening from November 15, 2001 through May 12, 2002. In the course of the ongoing rehabilitation of the bridge it was determined that certain work at the bridge required that it remain in the closed position for two two-day periods. After contacting all known waterway users to coordinate the closure dates, we decided to revise the

temporary final rule to provide that the bridge remain closed on April 18, 19, 29, and 30, 2002.

Any delay encountered in this regulation's effective date would be unnecessary and contrary to the public interest since immediate action is needed to facilitate necessary electrical and mechanical maintenance at the bridge.

Background and Purpose

The Pelham Parkway Bridge has a vertical clearance of 13 feet at mean high water and 20 feet at mean low water in the closed position. The current operating regulations for the bridge, listed at 33 CFR 117.793, require the bridge to open on signal at all times.

The bridge owner, New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT), requested an additional temporary change to the operating regulations governing the Pelham Parkway Bridge to facilitate several bridge closures previously not anticipated in the original scope of work. As a result of the above information, we are revising the temporary final rule (66 FR 52684) we published on October 17, 2001, to add the additional closure dates to the rulemaking.

The additional work at the bridge will require the bridge to remain in the closed position on April 18, 19, 29, and 30, 2002.

The Coast Guard believes this additional temporary change to the drawbridge operation regulations is reasonable and will meet the present needs of navigation based upon coordination with the operators that use this waterway.

Regulatory Evaluation

This temporary final rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; Feb. 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this temporary final rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This conclusion is based on the fact that the additional bridge closures were coordinated with the mariners that normally use this waterway.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) we considered whether this temporary final rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This conclusion is based on the fact that the additional bridge closures were coordinated with the mariners that normally use this waterway.

Collection of Information

This temporary final rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this temporary final rule in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this temporary final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this temporary final rule and concluded that, under Section 2.B.2., Figure 2–1, paragraph (32)(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this temporary final rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because promulgation of changes to drawbridge regulations have been found not to have a significant effect on the environment. A written "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is not required for this temporary final rule.

Indian Tribal Governments

This final rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. From November 15, 2001, through May 12, 2002, § 117.793(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 117.793 Hutchinson River Eastchester Creek

* * * * *

(d) The Pelham Parkway Bridge, mile 0.4, shall open on signal; except that, from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, the draw shall open on signal after at least a one-hour advance notice is given by calling the number posted at the bridge; except that, from 6 a.m. to 7 p.m., on April 18, 19, 29, and 30, 2002, the draw need not open for vessel traffic.

Dated: April 11, 2002.

G.N. Naccara,

Rear Admiral, Coast Guard Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 02–10035 Filed 4–24–02; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 262-0338c; FRL-7174-2]

Interim Final Determination That State Has Corrected the Rule Deficiencies and Stay of Sanctions in California, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Interim final determination.

SUMMARY: Elsewhere in today's **Federal** Register, EPA has proposed approval of revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) Rule 4354. Based on the proposed approval, EPA is making an interim final determination that the State has corrected deficiencies in the rule for which a sanction clock began on October 2, 2000. This action will stay the imposition of the offset sanctions and defer the imposition of the highway sanctions. Although this action is effective upon publication, EPA will take comment and will publish a final rule taking into consideration any comments received on this interim final determination.

DATES: This interim final determination is effective April 24, 2002. Comments must be received by May 24, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Section (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report for the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are available for inspection at the following locations:

Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave., Fresno, CA 93726.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charnjit Bhullar, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,