Functional Test

- (c) Within 5 days after September 23, 1992, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 75 hours time-in-service, or immediately following any maintenance action where the power levers are moved with the airplane on jacks, until the requirements of paragraph (d) of this AD have been accomplished, conduct a functional test of the backup flight idle stop system for engine 1 and engine 2 by performing the following steps:
- (1) Move both power levers to the "MAX" position.
- (2) Turn the aircraft power select switch on.
- (3) Open both "AIR/GROUND SYSTEM" circuit breakers CB0283 and CB0286 to simulate in-flight conditions with weight-off-wheels. Wait for at least 15 seconds, then move both power levers back toward the propeller reverse position with the flight idle gate triggers raised. Verify that the power lever for each engine cannot be moved below the flight idle position, even though the flight idle gate trigger on each power lever is raised.
- (4) If the power lever can be moved below the flight idle position, prior to further flight, restore the backup flight idle stop system to the configuration specified in EMBRAER 120–076–0009, Change No. 4, dated November 1, 1990; and perform a functional test.
- **Note 4:** If the power lever can be moved below flight idle, this indicates that the backup flight idle stop system is inoperative.
- (5) Move both power levers to the "MAX" position.
- (6) Close both "AIR/GROUND SYSTEM" circuit breakers CB0283 and CB0286. Wait for at least 15 seconds, then move both power levers back toward the propeller reverse position with the flight idle gate triggers raised. Verify that the power lever for each engine can be moved below the flight idle position.
- (7) If either or both power levers cannot be moved below the flight idle position, prior to further flight, inspect the backup flight idle stop system and the flight idle gate system, and accomplish either paragraph (c)(7)(i) or (c)(7)(ii) of this AD, as applicable:
- (i) If the backup flight idle stop system is failing to disengage with weight-on-wheels, prior to further flight, restore the system to the configuration specified in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–076–0009, Change No. 4, dated November 1, 1990.
- (ii) If the flight idle gate system is failing to open even though the trigger is raised, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with the EMBRAER Model EMB–120 maintenance manual.
- (8) Turn the power select switch off. The functional test is completed.

New Requirements of This AD

Terminating Action

(d) Within 18 months or 4,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs earlier, modify the secondary flight idle stop system (SFISS), as required by paragraph (d)(1), (d)(2), or (d)(3) of this AD; as applicable. Accomplishment of the modification constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

- (1) For airplanes having serial number 120004, and serial numbers 120006 through 120067 inclusive, and 120069 through 120344 inclusive; as listed in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0018, Change No. 04, dated March 30, 2001: Accomplish the actions required by either paragraph (d)(1)(ii) or (d)(1)(ii) of this AD, as applicable.
- (i) If the actions specified by EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0018, Change No. 01, dated September 9, 1999; or Change No. 02, dated November 22, 1999; HAVE NOT been accomplished: Modify the SFISS per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0018, Change No. 03, dated May 26, 2000; or Change No. 04; or
- (ii) If the actions specified by EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0018, Change No. 01; or Change No. 02; HAVE been accomplished: Perform additional inspections per Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0018, Change No. 04.
- (2) For the airplane having serial number 120068: Modify the SFISS per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0015, Change No. 06, dated October 3, 2000.
- (3) For airplanes having serial numbers 120345 through 120354 inclusive: Modify the SFISS per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120–76–0022, Change No. 01, dated October 9, 2000; or Change No. 02, dated February 8, 2001.

Note 5: This AD references the following service information for applicability, inspection, and modification information: EMBRAER Service Bulletin 120-76-0015, Change No. 06, dated October 3, 2000; Service Bulletin 120-76-0018, Change No. 04, dated March 30, 2001; and Service Bulletin 120-76-0022, Change No. 01, dated October 9, 2000; or Change No. 02, dated February 8, 2001. In addition, this AD specifies compliance-time requirements beyond those included in Brazilian airworthiness directive 90-07-04R4, dated October 4, 1999; or the service information. Where there are differences between this AD and previously referenced documents, this AD prevails.

Note 6: Accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (d) of this AD does not remove or otherwise alter the requirement to perform the repetitive (400-flight-hour) CAT 8 task checks specified by the Maintenance Review Board.

Corrective Actions

(e) During any visual check or inspection required by this AD, if any countersunk-head bolt was NOT used to attach the power control cable to the bellcrank, or if any hexhead bolt WAS used to attach the cable to the bellcrank: Prior to further flight, repair per a method approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA; or the Departmento de Aviacao Civil (or its delegated agent).

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Atlanta ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Atlanta ACO.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously for paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of AD 92–16–51, are considered to be approved as alternative methods of compliance with the inspection requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this AD. No alternative methods of compliance have been approved per AD 92–16–51 as terminating action for this AD.

Note 7: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Atlanta ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(g) Special flight permits may be issued per §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 8: The subject of this AD is addressed in Brazilian airworthiness directive 90–07–04R4, dated October 4, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 8, 2002

Vi L. Lipski,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–12067 Filed 5–14–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165 [COTP San Diego 02–008] RIN 2115–AA97

Safety Zone; Colorado River, Laughlin, NV

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes a temporary safety zone near Laughlin, NV on the navigable waters of the Colorado River for the Laughlin 4th of July fireworks show. The safety zone would encompass that portion of the Colorado River between Laughlin Bridge and the Golden Nugget Hotel and Casino. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crew, spectators, participants of the event, participating vessels and other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels are prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before June 4, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to Marine Safety Office San Diego, 2716 N. Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101-1064. Marine Safety Office San Diego Port Operations maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket [COTP San Diego 02–008] and will be available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office San Diego, 2716 N. Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101-1064 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Petty Officer Austin Murai at (619) 683–6495.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking [COTP San Diego 02-008], indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Marine Safety Office San Diego Port Operations at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

This proposed temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crew, spectators, and participants of the 4th of July fireworks show. This proposed safety zone is also necessary to protect other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels would be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety

zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

The proposed safety zone encompasses that portion of the Colorado River between Laughlin Bridge and the Golden Nugget Hotel and Casino. We are proposing to enforce this safety zone from 9 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. on July 4, 2002. The on scene Captain of the Port designated representative is expected to be a Coast Guard patrol commander. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, spectators, and sponsor vessels of the Laughlin 4th of July fireworks show.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

Because of its limited duration, of one half (1/2) hour, we expect the economic impact of this proposed rule would be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5

U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed safety zone would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it will be in effect for only half (1/2) an hour on July 4, 2002.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it,

please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Petty Officer Austin Murai, Marine Safety Office San Diego at (619) 683–6495.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

To help the Coast Guard establish regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with Indian and Alaskan Native tribes, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 36361, July 11, 2001) requesting comments on how to best carry out the Order. We invite your comments on how this proposed rule might impact tribal governments, even if that impact may not constitute a "tribal implication" under the Order.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, this proposed rule, a safety zone, is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in

the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. From 9 p.m. on July 4, 2002 to 9:30 p.m. on July 4, 2002, add a new § 165.T11–040 to read as follows:

§ 165.T11-040 Safety Zone; Colorado River, Laughlin, NV.

- (a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: that portion of the Colorado River between Laughlin Bridge and the Golden Nugget Hotel and Casino.
- (b) Enforcement periods. This section is effective from 9 p.m. on July 4th, 2002 to 9:30 p.m. on July 4, 2002.
- (c) Regulations. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transit through or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, San Diego, or his designated representative.

Dated: April 22, 2002.

S.P. Metruck,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Diego.

[FR Doc. 02–12167 Filed 5–14–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL214-1b; FRL-7164-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois Emission Reporting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to Illinois rules for emission reporting. These revisions restructure previously approved emission reporting rules and add requirements for sources in the Chicago area trading program to

report emissions of hazardous air pollutants. Illinois requested these revisions on November 6, 2001.

In separate action in today's **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the submittals as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this action is set forth in the direct final rule.

If EPA receives no adverse written comments in response to these actions, we contemplate no further activity in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive adverse written comments, we will withdraw the direct final rule and will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 14, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to:

J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

A copy of the State submittal is available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Summerhays, Environmental Scientist, at (312) 886–6067.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 19, 2002.

Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 02–12007 Filed 5–14–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA185-4191; FRL-7211-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Control of Volatile Organic Compounds From Solvent Cleaning Operations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).