

“contributed importantly” test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers’ firm’s customers. The Department conducted a survey of the subject company’s major customers regarding their purchases of greige bottom-weight cotton rich apparel fabrics. The survey revealed that none of the customers increased their import purchases of greige bottom-weight cotton rich apparel fabrics during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that price and illegal imports are factors leading to the downturn in the textile industry. The petitioner further states that studies done by the North Carolina State University show this.

As noted above, the Department of Labor normally examines if the “contributed importantly” test is met through a survey of the workers’ firm’s customers. A review of the survey results shows that the customers did not increase their imports of greige bottom-weight cotton rich apparel fabrics during the relevant period.

In reference to petitioner’s allegation concerning price, the price of a product is not relevant to meeting the “contributed importantly” criterion of the Trade Act of 1974.

Further, studies such as those by the North Carolina State University are considered, however the Department puts the overwhelming majority of weight on the direct impact of imports on the subject firm by the use of customer surveys to test if the “contributed importantly” test is met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–13542 Filed 5–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–40,039]

TNS Mills Inc., Rockingham Plant, Rockingham, NC; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated March 19, 2002, the company, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department’s negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on February 15, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2002 (67 FR 9324).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at TNS Mills Incorporated, Rockingham Plant, Rockingham, North Carolina engaged in the production of ring spun carded cotton yarn, was denied because the “contributed importantly” group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The “contributed importantly” test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers’ firm’s customers. The Department conducted a survey of the subject company’s major customers regarding their purchases of ring spun carded cotton yarn. The survey revealed that none of the customers increased their import purchases of ring spun carded cotton yarn during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that various customers of the subject firm were certified for TAA. Therefore, they believe that due to the number of customers certified for TAA, they should be certified for TAA.

The certification of the subject firm’s customers is irrelevant unless the customers are affiliated with the subject firm by corporate ownership. If there was corporate affiliation the workers could receive consideration for

eligibility under TAA. The customers certified under TAA were outside the TNS Mills corporate structure, and therefore cannot be considered eligible for TAA under those certifications.

The petitioner also alleges that imports of ring spun cotton yarn are lower in price than the domestic market, thus impacting the subject firm workers.

The price of ring spun cotton yarns is not relevant to the TAA investigation that were filed on behalf of workers producing ring spun cotton yarns.

The petitioner further claims that imported carded yarns impacted the closing of the subject plant. The petitioner supplied a chart with import trends of various yarn imports.

Although, the Department uses industry data in their TAA determinations, the Department of Labor normally examines if the “contributed importantly” test is met through a survey of the workers’ firm’s customers. A review of the survey results shows that the customers did not increase their imports of ring spun carded cotton yarn during the relevant period. Further, the ratio of imports of carded yarn to U.S. production is relatively low during the relevant period and therefore not a major contributing factor relating to the declines in sales and employment at the subject firm.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–13541 Filed 5–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 02–066]

Notice of Information Collection Under Emergency Review

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of information collection under emergency review

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) has submitted the following information

collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the emergency review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Emergency review and approval of this collection has been requested from OMB by June 30, 2002. NASA, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this information collection concurrent with the OMB review period. The information obtained in this collection will assist NASA in assessing the effectiveness of aviation safety programs.

DATES: All comments should be submitted by June 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Desk Officer for NASA; Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs; Office of Management and Budget; Room 10236; New Executive Office Building; Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Nancy Kaplan, NASA Reports Officer, (202) 358-1372.

Title: National Aviation Operations Monitoring Service: General Aviation Pilots

OMB Number: 2700-

Type of review: New collection

Need and Uses: The information collected will be analyzed and used by NASA Aviation Safety Program managers to evaluate their progress in improving aviation over the next decade.

Affected Public: Individuals or households

Number of Respondents: 10,000

Responses Per Respondent: 1

Annual Responses: 10,000

Hours Per Request: Approx. 1/2 hour

Annual Burden Hours: 6,280

Frequency of Report: Quarterly; Annually

David B. Nelson,

Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02-13459 Filed 5-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 02-067]

Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel.

DATES: Thursday, June 20, 2002, 8 a.m. to 12 Noon.

ADDRESSES: Country Inns & Suites-Huntsville, 4880 University Drive, Huntsville, AL 35816. Tele: (256) 837-4070. The meeting will be held in the Commons Room.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David M. Lengyel, Code Q-1, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/358-0391. Members of the public should contact Ms. Vickie Smith on 202/358-1650, if you plan to attend.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel will meet to deliberate topics for inclusion in its Annual Report for 2002. This is pursuant to carrying out its statutory duties for which the Panel reviews, identifies, evaluates, and advises on those program activities, systems, procedures, and management activities that can contribute to program risk. Priority is given to those programs that involve the safety of human flight. The Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel is currently chaired by Ms. Shirley C. McCarty and is composed of 9 members and 7 consultants.

The meeting will be open to the public up to the capacity of the room (approximately 40 persons including members of the Panel). It is imperative that the meeting be held on this date to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Members of the public will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: May 22, 2002.

Sylvia K. Kraemer,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-13460 Filed 5-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 02-065]

Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectivity, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of guidelines and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Pub. L. 106-554) directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to issue government-wide information quality guidelines. OMB's final guidelines, re-published on February 22, 2002, require each Federal agency to issue Agency-specific implementing guidelines for ensuring the quality of disseminated information. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) is seeking comments on its draft information quality guidelines. The draft sets out guidelines for ensuring the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of NASA's information and describes an administrative mechanism for seeking correction of information publicly disseminated by NASA.

DATES: Written comments regarding NASA's draft information quality guidelines must be submitted on or before 30 days after date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Nancy R. Kaplan, Code AO, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001. Comments may also be e-mailed to nkaplan@hq.nasa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy R. Kaplan, Code AO, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001. Telephone: (202) 358-1372.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Draft Guidelines for Ensuring the Quality of Information

A. Purpose

Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Pub. L. 106-554; H.R. 5658; hereafter referred to as Section 515) directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to issue government-wide information quality guidelines. OMB's final guidelines, entitled "Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectivity, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by Federal Agencies," were re-published on February 22, 2002 (67 FR 8451.) The OMB guidelines require each Federal agency to issue their own, Agency-specific, implementing guidelines for ensuring the quality of disseminated information.

This document outlines the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA's) information quality guidelines; details corresponding